

# СИБИРСКИЕ ЭЛЕКТРОННЫЕ МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИЕ ИЗВЕСТИЯ

Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports

<http://semr.math.nsc.ru>

Том 10, стр. 583–590 (2013)

УДК 512.546.3

MSC 22D10,46E30

## AMENABILITY OF CLOSED SUBGROUPS AND ORLICZ SPACES

YA.A. KOPYLOV

**ABSTRACT.** We prove that a closed subgroup  $H$  of a second countable locally compact group  $G$  is amenable if and only if its left regular representation on an Orlicz space  $L^\Phi(G)$  for some  $\Delta_2$ -regular  $N$ -function  $\Phi$  almost has invariant vectors. We also show that a noncompact second countable locally compact group  $G$  is amenable if and only if the first cohomology space  $H^1(G, L^\Phi(G))$  is non-Hausdorff for some  $\Delta_2$ -regular  $N$ -function  $\Phi$ .

**Keywords:** locally compact group, amenable group, second countable group, closed subgroup,  $N$ -function, Orlicz space, 1-cohomology.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout, we assume all topological groups separated.

A locally compact topological group is called *amenable* [7] if there exists a  $G$ -invariant mean on  $L^\infty(G)$  or, equivalently,  $G$  possesses the *fixed point property*: for every action of  $G$  by continuous affine transformations on a nonempty convex compact subset  $Q$  of a locally convex space  $W$ , there is a fixed point for  $G$  in  $Q$ .

Let  $V$  be a Banach  $G$ -module, i.e., a real or complex Banach space endowed with a continuous linear representation  $\alpha : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(V)$ . We say that  $V$  *almost has invariant vectors* if, for every compact subset  $F \subset G$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a unit vector  $v \in V$  such that  $\|\alpha(g)v - v\| \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $g \in F$ . Here  $\mathcal{B}(V)$  stands for the space of all bounded linear endomorphisms of a Banach space  $V$ .

Let  $V$  be a normed space of functions  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ( $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ) such that if  $f \in V$  then, for every  $g \in G$ , the function

$$\lambda_G(g)f(x) = f(g^{-1}x), \quad x \in G,$$

KOPYLOV YA.A., AMENABILITY OF CLOSED SUBGROUPS AND ORLICZ SPACES.

© 2013 KOPYLOV YA.A.

The author was partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Grant 12-01-00873-a), the State Maintenance Program for the Leading Scientific Schools and Junior Scientists of the Russian Federation (Grant NSh-921.2012.1), and the Integration Project 12-II-CO-01M-002 “Geometric Analysis of Actual Problems in Function Theory and Differential Equations” of the Siberian and Far-Eastern Branches of the Russian Academy of Sciences.

*Received May, 28, 2013, published September, 27, 2013.*

lies in  $V$  and  $\|\lambda_G(g)f\|_V = \|f\|_V$ . Then  $\lambda_G : G \rightarrow B(V)$  is called the *left regular representation* of  $G$  in  $V$ .

Examples of such function spaces  $V$  are given by the space  $L^p(G)$  of all real-valued functions on  $G$  integrable to the power  $p$  over  $G$  with respect to a left-invariant Haar measure  $\mu_G$ . Instead of the  $L^p$  spaces, one can consider more general Orlicz spaces  $L^\Phi(G)$  of real-valued functions on  $G$  with the finite “gauge” norm

$$\|f\|_{(\Phi)} = \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \int_G \Phi \left( \frac{f(x)}{k} \right) d\mu_G(x) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

for an  $N$ -function  $\Phi$  ( $N$ -functions are defined in Section 2). Orlicz spaces on locally compact groups were considered in [5, 10] and more recently in [1, 2, 14, 15].

In [20] (see also [19, Theorem 8.3.2]), Stegeman proved that, for a locally compact group, the following conditions  $(P_p)$  (called Reiter’s conditions) are equivalent for all  $p \geq 1$ :

$(P_p)$  for every compact set  $F \subset G$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a function  $f \in L^p(G)$  with  $f \geq 0$  and  $\|f\|_{L^p(G)} = 1$  such that  $\|\lambda_G(z)f - f\|_{L^p(G)} < \varepsilon$  for all  $z \in F$ .

In [7] Eymard extended this equivalence to quotients  $G/H$  of locally compact groups by closed subgroups and proved that conditions  $(P_p)$  are equivalent to the amenability of  $G/H$ .

In [15, Proposition 2, pp. 387–389], Rao proved that a locally compact group  $G$  is amenable if and only if, given a  $\Delta_2$ -regular  $N$ -function  $\Phi$ ,  $G$  satisfies the property

$(P_\Phi)$  for every compact set  $F \subset G$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a function  $f \in L^\Phi(G)$  with  $f \geq 0$  and  $\|f\|_{L^\Phi(G)} = 1$  such that  $\|\lambda_G(z)f - f\|_{L^\Phi(G)} < \varepsilon$  for all  $z \in F$ .

Here  $\|\cdot\|_{L^\Phi(G)}$  stands for the gauge norm in the space  $L^\Phi(G)$ .

In 2005, Bourdon, Martin, and Valette established the following [4, Lemma 2]:

**Theorem A.** *Suppose that  $p \geq 1$ . Let  $X$  be a countable set on which a countable group  $H$  acts freely. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The natural “permutation” representation  $\lambda_X$  of  $H$  on  $L^p(X)$  almost has invariant vectors;*
- (ii)  *$H$  is amenable.*

In [11], in an attempt to generalize this assertion, we proved:

**Theorem B.** *Assume that  $p \geq 1$ . Let  $G$  be a second countable locally compact group and let  $H$  be a closed subgroup in  $G$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The left regular representation of  $H$  on  $L^p(G)$  almost has invariant vectors;*
- (ii)  *$H$  is amenable.*

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall some basic notions concerning  $N$ -functions and Orlicz spaces. Section 3 contains some necessary information on integration on locally compact groups and homogeneous spaces. In Section 4, we prove a generalization of Theorem B, where  $L^p(G)$  is replaced by the Orlicz space  $L^\Phi(G)$  for any  $\Delta_2$ -regular  $N$ -function  $\Phi$  (Theorem 1). In Section 5, using the equivalence of amenability and the fulfillment of the above condition  $(P_\Phi)$  and a general result by Guichardet, we deduce that the nonreduced and reduced first cohomology of a noncompact second countable locally compact group coincide if and only if it is not amenable.

## 2. $N$ -FUNCTIONS AND ORLICZ FUNCTION SPACES

**Definition 1.** *A function  $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called an  $N$ -function if*

- (i)  *$\Phi$  is even and convex;*
- (ii)  *$\Phi(x) = 0 \iff x = 0$ ;*

$$(iii) \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi(x)}{x} = 0; \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(x)}{x} = \infty.$$

An  $N$ -function  $\Phi$  has left and right derivatives (which can differ only on an at most countable set, see, for instance, [17, Theorem 1, p. 7]). The left derivative  $\varphi$  of  $\Phi$  (we write  $\varphi = \Phi'$  below) is left continuous, nondecreasing on  $(0, \infty)$ , and such that  $0 < \varphi(t) < \infty$  for  $t > 0$ ,  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t) = \infty$ . The function

$$\psi(s) = \inf\{t > 0 : \varphi(t) > s\}, \quad s > 0,$$

is called the *left inverse* of  $\varphi$ .

The functions  $\Phi, \Psi$  given by

$$\Phi(x) = \int_0^{|x|} \varphi(t) dt, \quad \Psi(x) = \int_0^{|x|} \psi(t) dt$$

are called *complementary  $N$ -functions*.

The  $N$ -function  $\Psi$  complementary to an  $N$ -function  $\Phi$  can also be expressed as

$$\Psi(y) = \sup\{x|y| - \Phi(x) : x \geq 0\}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$N$ -functions are classified in accordance with their growth rates as follows:

**Definition 2.** An  $N$ -function  $\Phi$  is said to satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for large  $x$  (for small  $x$ , for all  $x$ ), which is written as  $\Phi \in \Delta_2(\infty)$  ( $\Phi \in \Delta_2(0)$ , or  $\Phi \in \Delta_2$ ), if there exist constants  $x_0 > 0$ ,  $K > 2$  such that  $\Phi(2x) \leq K\varphi(x)$  for  $x \geq x_0$  (for  $0 \leq x \leq x_0$ , or for all  $x \geq 0$ ); and it satisfies the  $\nabla_2$ -condition for large  $x$  (for small  $x$ , or for all  $x$ ), denoted symbolically as  $\Phi \in \nabla_2(\infty)$  ( $\Phi \in \nabla_2(0)$ , or  $\Phi \in \nabla_2$ ) if there are constants  $x_0 > 0$  and  $c > 1$  such that  $\Phi(x) \leq \frac{1}{2c}\Phi(cx)$  for  $x \geq x_0$  (for  $0 \leq x \leq x_0$ , or for all  $x \geq 0$ ).

Henceforth, let  $\Phi$  be an  $N$ -function and let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a measure space.

**Definition 3.** The set  $\tilde{L}^\Phi = \tilde{L}^\Phi(\Omega) = L^\Phi(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is defined to be the set of measurable functions  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\rho_\Phi(f) := \int_\Omega \Phi(f) d\mu < \infty.$$

**Proposition 1.** [18] The set  $\tilde{L}^\Phi$  is a vector space in the following cases:

- (i)  $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$ ,  $\Phi \in \Delta_2(\infty)$ ;
- (ii)  $\mu(\Omega) = \infty$ ,  $\Phi \in \Delta_2$ .
- (iii)  $\Omega$  is countable,  $\mu$  is the counting measure on  $\Omega$ ,  $\Phi \in \Delta_2(0)$ .

**Definition 4.** The linear space

$L^\Phi = L^\Phi(\Omega) = L^\Phi(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu) = \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable} : \rho_\Phi(af) < \infty \text{ for some } a > 0\}$  is called an *Orlicz space* on  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ .

For an Orlicz space  $L^\Phi = L^\Phi(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ , the  $N$ -function  $\Phi$  is called  $\Delta_2$ -regular if  $\Phi \in \Delta_2(\infty)$  when  $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$  or  $\Phi \in \Delta_2$  when  $\mu(\Omega) = \infty$  or  $\Phi \in \Delta_2(0)$  for  $\mu$  a counting measure.

Let  $\Psi$  be the complementary  $N$ -function to  $\Phi$ .

Below we as usual identify two functions equal on a set of measure zero.

If  $f \in L^\Phi$  then the functional  $\|\cdot\|_\Phi$  (called the *Orlicz norm*) defined by

$$\|f\|_\Phi = \|f\|_{L^\Phi(\Omega)} = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_\Omega fg d\mu \right| : \rho_\Psi(g) \leq 1 \right\}$$

is a seminorm. It becomes a norm if  $\mu$  satisfies the *finite subset property* (see [17, p. 59]): if  $A \in \Sigma$  and  $\mu(A) > 0$  then there exists  $B \in \Sigma$ ,  $B \subset A$ , such that  $0 < \mu(B) < \infty$ .

The *gauge* (or *Luxemburg*) *norm* of a function  $f \in L^\Phi$  is defined by the formula

$$\|f\|_{(\Phi)} = \|f\|_{L^{(\Phi)}(\Omega)} = \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \rho_\Phi \left( \frac{f}{k} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

This is a norm without any constraint on the measure  $\mu$  (see [17, p. 54, Theorem 3]).

Suppose that the measure  $\mu$  satisfies the finite subset property. As is proved in [16, Chapter 10], a left-invariant Haar measure on a locally compact group has this property.

It is well known that the Orlicz and gauge norms are equivalent, namely (see, for example, [17, pp. 61–62]):

$$\|f\|_{(\Phi)} \leq \|f\|_\Phi \leq 2\|f\|_{(\Phi)}.$$

We will need the following version of Hölder’s inequality for Orlicz spaces [17, p. 62]:

**Hölder’s Inequality.** *If  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are two complementary  $N$ -functions then  $fg \in L^1$  and*

$$\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_{(\Phi)} \|g\|_\Psi \quad (\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_\Phi \|g\|_{(\Psi)}). \tag{1}$$

### 3. INTEGRATION ON LOCALLY COMPACT GROUPS AND BOREL SECTIONS

Recall some basic facts and definitions from the theory of integration on locally compact groups.

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $H$  be a closed subgroup in  $G$ . Denote by  $\mu_G$  and  $\mu_H$  left-invariant Haar measures on  $G$  and  $H$  respectively and denote by  $\pi$  the projection  $G \rightarrow G/H$ .

Denote by  $\Delta_K$  the modulus of a locally compact group  $K$ .

Given a function  $f$  and a class  $u \in G/H$ , take an arbitrary representative  $x$  in  $u$  and consider the function  $\alpha : y \rightarrow f(xy)$  on  $H$ . If  $\alpha$  is integrable over  $H$ , the left invariance of  $\mu_H$  implies that  $\int_H f(xy) d\mu_H(y)$  is independent of the choice of  $x$  with  $\pi(x) = u$ .

It is well known that the homogeneous space  $G/H$  admits a *quasi- $G$ -invariant* measure  $\mu_{G/H}$  on  $H$  which is unique up to equivalence. Here the “quasi- $G$ -invariance” means that all left translates of  $\mu_{G/H}$  by the elements of  $G$  are equivalent to  $\mu_{G/H}$ . The measure  $\mu_{G/H}$  can be described as follows (see [3, Chapter VII, 2.5] or [7]).

(a) There exists a positive continuous function  $\rho$  on  $G$  such that  $\rho(xy) = \frac{\Delta_H(y)}{\Delta_G(y)} \rho(x)$  for all  $x \in G$  and  $y \in H$ .

Put  $\mu_{G/H} = (\rho\mu_G)/\mu_H$  (see [3, Definition 1 in Chapter VII, 2.2]).

(b) If  $f \in L^1(G, \rho\mu_G)$  then the set of  $\bar{x} = \pi(x) \in G/H$  for which  $y \mapsto f(xy)$  is not  $\mu_H$ -integrable is  $\mu_{G/H}$ -negligible, the function  $\bar{x} = \pi(x) \mapsto \int_H f(xy) d\mu_H(y)$  is  $\mu_{G/H}$ -integrable, and

$$\int_G f(x)\rho(x) d\mu_G(x) = \int_{G/H} d\mu_{G/H}(\bar{x}) \int_H f(xy) d\mu_H(y).$$

(c) There exists a nonnegative continuous function  $h$  on  $G$  with  $\int_H h(xy) dy = 1$  for all  $x \in G$  such that a function  $w$  on  $G/H$  is  $\mu_{G/H}$ -measurable ( $\mu_{G/H}$ -integrable)

if and only if  $h(w \circ \pi)$  is  $\rho\mu_G$ -measurable ( $\rho\mu_G$ -integrable). If  $w \in L^1(G/H, \mu_{G/H})$  then

$$\int_{G/H} w(\bar{x}) d\mu_{G/H}(\bar{x}) = \int_G h(x)w(\pi(x))\rho(x) d\mu_G(x).$$

Note that a second countable locally compact space is Polish (*polonais*) (see [3]). As follows from Dixmier’s lemma (see [6]), if  $G$  is a Polish group and  $H$  is a closed subgroup in  $G$  then there exists a Borel section  $\sigma : G/H \rightarrow G$  (in particular,  $\pi \circ \sigma = \text{id}_{G/H}$ ). We will need the following technical assertion (see [11] for a proof):

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a second countable locally compact group,  $H$  is a closed subgroup in  $G$ ,  $\sigma : G/H \rightarrow G$  is a Borel section, and  $f \in L^1(G, \rho\mu_G)$ . Then, in the above notations,*

$$\int_G f(x)\rho(x) d\mu_G(x) = \int_H d\mu_H(y) \int_{G/H} f(\sigma(\bar{x})y) d\mu_{G/H}(\bar{x}).$$

4. AMENABILITY OF CLOSED SUBGROUPS

The main result of this section is as follows:

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that  $\Phi$  is a  $\Delta_2$ -regular  $N$ -function. Let  $G$  be a second countable locally compact group and let  $H$  be a closed subgroup in  $G$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The left regular representation of  $H$  on  $L^\Phi(G)$  almost has invariant vectors;*
- (ii)  *$H$  is amenable.*

*Proof.* Put  $\Phi' = \varphi$  and let  $\Psi$  be the complementary  $N$ -function to  $\Phi$ .

Observe first that (ii) implies (i) by the equivalence of amenability and the fulfillment of the Rao–Reiter condition  $(P_\Phi)$ , established by Rao in [15, Proposition 2, pp. 387–389]:

$(P_\Phi)$  For every compact set  $F$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a function  $f \in L^\Phi(H)$  with  $f \geq 0$  and  $\|f\|_{L^\Phi(H)} = 1$  such that  $\|\lambda_H(z)f - f\|_{L^\Phi(H)} < \varepsilon$  for all  $z \in F$ .

Now, prove (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose that  $L^\Phi(G)$  almost has invariant vectors for  $H$  and deduce from this that  $H$  meets Reiter’s condition  $(P_1)$ .

By the  $\Delta_2$ -regularity of  $\Phi$ , we conclude from [17, Proposition 8, p. 79] that

$$S := \sup\{\rho_\Psi(\varphi \circ |v|) : v \in L^\Phi(G), \|v\|_{L^\Phi(G)} \leq 1\} < \infty.$$

Take  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a compact set  $F \subset H$ ; choose  $f \in L^\Phi(G)$ ,  $\|f\|_{L^\Phi(G)} = 1$ , such that

$$\|\lambda_G(z)f - f\|_{L^\Phi(G)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2(S+1)} \tag{2}$$

for all  $z \in F$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $f \geq 0$  (taking  $|f|$  instead of  $f$  if necessary).

Note that

$$|\Phi(a) - \Phi(b)| \leq |a - b|(\varphi(a) + \varphi(b)), \quad a, b \geq 0, \tag{3}$$

because  $\varphi$  is monotone and nonnegative (cf. [15, p. 388]).

Put  $u = \Phi \circ f$ . Since  $\Phi$  is  $\Delta_2$ -regular, we have ([12, p. 78]):

$$\int_G u(x) d\mu_G(x) = \int_G \Phi(f(x)) d\mu_G(x) = \|f\|_{L^\Phi(G)} = 1.$$

For  $z \in F$ , using (3), Hölder’s inequality (1), (2), and the inequality

$$\|v\|_{L^\Psi(G)} \leq \rho_\Psi(v) + 1,$$

we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_G(z)u - u\|_{L^1(G)} &= \int_G |\Phi(f(z^{-1}x)) - \Phi(f(x))| d\mu_G(x) \\ &\leq \int_G |f(z^{-1}x) - f(x)| |\varphi(f(z^{-1}x)) + \varphi(f(x))| d\mu_G(x) \\ &\leq \|\lambda_G(z)f - f\|_{L^{(\Phi)}(G)} \|\varphi \circ \lambda_G(z)f + \varphi \circ f\|_{L^\Psi(G)} \\ &\leq \|\lambda_G(z)f - f\|_{L^{(\Phi)}(G)} (\|\varphi \circ \lambda_G(z)f\|_{L^\Psi(G)} + \|\varphi \circ f\|_{L^\Psi(G)}) \\ &= 2\|\varphi \circ f\|_{L^\Psi(G)} \|\lambda_G(z)f - f\|_{L^{(\Phi)}(G)} \leq 2(\rho_\psi(\varphi \circ f) + 1) \|\lambda_G(z)f - f\|_{L^{(\Phi)}(G)} \\ &\leq 2(S + 1)\|\lambda_G(z)f - f\|_{L^{(\Phi)}(G)} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let  $\sigma : G/H \rightarrow G$  be a Borel section. Consider the function

$$U(y) = \int_{G/H} \frac{u(y\sigma(\bar{x}))}{\rho(\sigma(\bar{x}))} d\mu_{G/H}(\bar{x}), \quad y \in H,$$

where  $\rho$  is the function described in Section 3. By Lemma 1, since  $u$  is nonnegative, we have

$$\|U\|_{L^1(H)} = \int_G u(x) d\mu_G(x) = 1.$$

Involving Lemma 1 again, we obtain the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_H(z)U - U\|_{L^1(H)} &= \int_H \left| \int_{G/H} \frac{u(z^{-1}y\sigma(\bar{x})) - u(y\sigma(\bar{x}))}{\rho(\sigma(\bar{x}))} d\mu_{G/H}(\bar{x}) \right| d\mu_H(y) \\ &\leq \int_H d\mu_H(y) \int_{G/H} \left| \frac{u(z^{-1}y\sigma(\bar{x})) - u(y\sigma(\bar{x}))}{\rho(\sigma(\bar{x}))} \right| d\mu_{G/H}(\bar{x}) \\ &= \int_G \frac{|u(z^{-1}x) - u(x)|}{\rho(x)} \rho(x) d\mu_G(x) = \int_G |u(z^{-1}x) - u(x)| d\mu_G(x) = \|\lambda_H(z)u - u\|_{L^1(G)}. \end{aligned}$$

So, if  $z \in F$  then  $\|\lambda_H(z)U - U\|_{L^1(H)} < \varepsilon$ . Thus,  $H$  has property  $(P_1)$  and hence is amenable. Theorem 1 is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Theorem 1 is informative only if  $H$  is noncompact since (i) and (ii) are both fulfilled when  $H$  is compact.

### 5. FIRST COHOMOLOGY AND AMENABILITY

Let  $G$  be a topological group and let  $V$  be a topological  $G$ -module, i.e., a real or complex topological vector space endowed with a linear representation  $\pi : G \times V \rightarrow V, (g, v) \mapsto \pi(g)v$ . The space  $V$  is called a *Banach  $G$ -module* if  $V$  is a Banach space and  $\pi$  is a representation of  $G$  by isometries of  $V$ . Introduce the notation:

$$Z^1(G, V) := \{b : B \rightarrow V \text{ continuous} \mid b(gh) = b(g) + \pi(g)b(h)\} \quad (1\text{-cocycles});$$

$$B^1(G, V) = \{b \in Z^1(G, V) \mid (\exists v \in V) (\forall g \in G) b(g) = \pi(g)v\} \quad (1\text{-coboundaries});$$

$$H^1(G, V) = Z^1(G, V)/B^1(G, V) \quad (1\text{-cohomology with coefficients in } V).$$

Endow  $Z^1(G, V)$  with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $G$  and denote by  $\overline{B^1}(G, V)$  the closure of  $B^1(G, V)$  in this topology. The quotient  $\overline{H^1}(G, V) = Z^1(G, V)/\overline{B^1}(G, V)$  is called the *reduced 1-cohomology* of  $G$  with coefficients in the  $G$ -module  $V$ .

The following assertion was established by Guichardet (see [8, Théorème 1]):

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact second countable group and let  $V$  be a Banach module such that*

$$V^G := \{v \in V \mid \pi(g)v = v \text{ for all } g \in G\} = 0.$$

*Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $H^1(G, V) = \overline{H}^1(G, V)$ ;
- (ii)  $V$  does not almost have invariant vectors, that is, there exists a compact subset  $F \subset G$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\sup_{g \in F} \|\pi(g)v - v\| \geq \varepsilon\|v\|$  for all  $v \in V$ .

As is well known, if a locally compact group  $G$  is noncompact then the Haar measure of the whole group is infinite [9, Theorem 15.9]. Hence, constant functions are not integrable over  $G$ . Therefore,  $L^\Phi(G)^G = 0$ . Thus, combining Lemma 2 with the Rao–Reiter condition  $(P_\Phi)$ , we obtain the following generalization of Corollary 2.4 in [13, p. 86] to coefficients in an Orlicz space:

**Proposition 2.** *Suppose that  $\Phi$  is a  $\Delta_2$ -regular  $N$ -function. If  $G$  is a noncompact second countable locally compact group then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $H^1(G, L^\Phi(G)) = \overline{H}^1(G, L^\Phi(G))$ ;
- (ii)  $G$  is not amenable.

The author is indebted to the referee for valuable remarks.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] I. Akbarbaglu and S. Maghsoudi, On certain porous sets in the Orlicz space of a locally compact group, *Colloq. Math.* **129** (2012), no. 1, 99–111. MR3007669
- [2] I. Akbarbaglu and S. Maghsoudi, Banach-Orlicz algebras on a locally compact group, *Mediterr. J. Math.* (2013), DOI: 10.1007/s00009-013-0267-z.
- [3] N. Bourbaki, *Intégration. Chapitres VII, VIII*, Act. Sci. Ind., No. 1306, Hermann, Paris, 1963. MR0179291
- [4] M. Bourdon, F. Martin, and A. Valette, Vanishing and non-vanishing for the first  $L^p$ -cohomology of groups, *Comm. Math. Helv.*, **80** (2005), no. 2, 377–389. MR2142247
- [5] I. M. Bunde, Birbaum-Orlicz spaces of functions on groups, *Pac. J. Math.* **58** (1975), 351–359. MR0385462
- [6] J. Dixmier, Dual et quasi-dual d’une algèbre de Banach involutive, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104** (1962), No. 2, 278–283. MR0139960
- [7] P. Eymard, *Moyennes Invariantes et Représentations Unitaires*, Springer Verlag, Lect. Notes in Math. **300**, 1972. MR0447969
- [8] A. Guichardet, Sur la cohomologie des groupes topologiques. II, *Bull. Sci. Math. II.* **96** (1972), 305–332. MR0340464
- [9] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis. Vol. I*, 2nd ed. Berlin–Heidelberg–New York: Springer-Verlag, 1979. MR0551496
- [10] A. Kamińska and J. Musielak, On convolution operator in Orlicz spaces, *Rev. Mat. Univ. Complutense Madr.* **2**, Suppl. (1989), 157–178. MR1057217
- [11] Ya. Kopylov, An  $L_p$ -criterion of amenability for a locally compact group, *Sib. Èlektron. Mat. Izv.* **2** (2005), 186–189. MR2177991
- [12] M. A. Krasnosel’skiĭ and Ya. B. Rutickii, *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*. Groningen,: P.Noordhoff Ltd, 1961.
- [13] F. Martin and A. Valette, On the first  $L^p$ -cohomology of discrete groups, *Groups Geom. Dyn.* **1** (2007), no. 1, 81–100. MR2294249
- [14] M. M. Rao, Convolutions of vector fields. II: Random walk models, *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **47** (2001), no. 6, 3599–3615.
- [15] M. M. Rao, Convolutions of vector fields. III: Amenability and spectral properties, in: Rao, M. M. (ed.), *Real and stochastic analysis. New perspectives*, Trends in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA (2004), 375–401. MR2090756
- [16] M. M. Rao, *Measure Theory and Integration*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 265. New York, NY: Marcel Dekker, 2004. MR2031535
- [17] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz Spaces*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 146. New York etc.: Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1991. MR1113700
- [18] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, *Applications of Orlicz Spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 250. New York, NY: Marcel Dekker. 2002. MR1890178

- [19] H. Reiter and J. D. Stegeman, *Classical Harmonic Analysis and Locally Compact Groups*, 2nd ed, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2000. MR1802924
- [20] J. D. Stegeman, On a property concerning locally compact groups, *Nederl. Akad. Wet., Proc., Ser. A* **68** (1965), 702–703. MR0194909

YAROSLAV A. KOPYLOV  
SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,  
PROSPEKT AKAD. KOPTYUGA 4,  
630090, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA  
AND  
NOVOSIBIRSK STATE UNIVERSITY  
UL. PIROGOVA 2  
630090, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA  
*E-mail address:* yakop@math.nsc.ru