

СИБИРСКИЕ ЭЛЕКТРОННЫЕ
МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИЕ ИЗВЕСТИЯ

Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports

<http://semr.math.nsc.ru>

Том 12, стр. 795–801 (2015)

DOI 10.17377/semi.2015.12.065

УДК 519.17

MSC 05C25

AUTOMORPHISMS OF A DISTANCE-REGULAR GRAPH WITH
INTERSECTION ARRAY $\{100, 66, 1; 1, 33, 100\}$

K.S.EFIMOV, A.A.MAKHNEV

ABSTRACT. A. A. Makhnev and D. V. Paduchikh have found intersection arrays of distance-regular graphs, in which neighborhoods of vertices are strongly-regular graphs with second eigenvalue 3. A. A. Makhnev suggested the program to research of automorphisms of these distance-regular graphs. In this paper it is obtained possible orders and subgraphs of fixed points of automorphisms of a hypothetical distance-regular graph with intersection array $\{100, 66, 1; 1, 33, 100\}$. In particular, this graph does not vertex symmetric.

Keywords: distance-regular graph, vertex symmetric graph.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. Given a vertex a in a graph Γ , we denote by $\Gamma_i(a)$ the subgraph induced by Γ on the set of all vertices, that are at a distance i from a . The subgraph $[a] = \Gamma_1(a)$ is called the *neighbourhood of the vertex a* .

A. A. Makhnev and D. V. Paduchikh have found [1] intersection arrays of distance-regular graphs, in which neighborhoods of vertices are strongly-regular graphs with second eigenvalue 3. A. A. Makhnev suggested the program to research of automorphisms of these distance-regular graphs. In this moment only cases

EFIMOV, K.S., MAKHNEV, A.A., AUTOMORPHISMS OF A DISTANCE-REGULAR GRAPH WITH INTERSECTION ARRAY $\{100, 66, 1; 1, 33, 100\}$.

© 2015 EFIMOV K.S., MAKHNEV A.A.

This work is partially supported by RSF, project 14-11-00061 (theorem 1 and corollary) and by the program of the government support of leading universities of Russian Federation, agreement №02.A03.21.0006 from 27.08.2013 (theorem 2). Thirst author also supported by the RFBR (project 14-01-31298).

Received October, 27, 2015, published November, 6, 2015.

$\{100, 66, 1; 1, 33, 100\}$, $\{176, 150, 1; 1, 25, 176\}$ and $\{256, 204, 1; 1, 51, 256\}$ are not investigated.

In this paper it is obtained possible orders and subgraphs of fixed points of automorphisms of a hypothetical distance-regular graph with intersection array $\{100, 66, 1; 1, 33, 100\}$. Possible automorphisms of a strongly-regular graph with parameters $(100, 33, 8, 12)$ found in [2].

Proposition 1. *Let Γ be a strongly-regular graph with parameters $(100, 33, 8, 12)$, g be an element of prime order p in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and $\Delta = \text{Fix}(g)$. Then $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 11\}$ and one of the following assertions holds:*

- (1) Δ is empty graph, $p = 2$ and $\alpha_1(g) = 20t$ or $p = 5$ and $\alpha_1(g) = 0, 50, 100$;
- (2) Δ is 4-clique, $p = 2$ and $\alpha_1(g) - 12$ is divided by 20, or $p = 3$ and $\alpha_1(g) - 12$ is divided by 30;
- (3) Δ is γ -coclique and either
 - (i) $\gamma = 1$, $p = 3$ and $\alpha_1(g) = 3, 33, 63, 93$ or $p = 11$ and $\alpha_1(g) = 33$, or
 - (ii) $p = 3$, $\gamma = 4, 7, \dots, 16$ and $\alpha_1(g) - 3\gamma$ is divided by 30;
- (4) Δ is an union of $n \geq 2$ isolated m_i -cliques, $p = 2$, $m_i \in \{2, 4\}$ and $|\Delta| \leq 20$;
- (5) Δ contains geodesic 2-path and either
 - (i) $p = 3$, $|\Delta| = 3l + 1$, $3 \leq l \leq 8$, or
 - (ii) $p = 2$, $|\Delta| = 2e$, $3 \leq e \leq 20$ and a^\perp is not in Δ for each vertex $a \in \Delta$.

Graph Γ with intersection array $\{100, 66, 1; 1, 33, 100\}$ has $v = 1 + 100 + 200 + 2 = 303$ vertices and spectrum $100^1, 10^{101}, -1^{100}, -10^{101}$. By the Hoffman-Delsart's boundary we have maximal order of clique in Γ is not any more than $1+100/10 = 11$.

Theorem 1. *Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with intersection array $\{100, 66, 1; 1, 33, 100\}$, $G = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, g be an element of G with prime order p and $\Omega = \text{Fix}(g)$ contains exactly s vertices in t antipodal classes. Then $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 29, 31, 101\}$ and one of the following assertions holds:*

- (1) Ω is an empty graph and either $p = 101$, $\alpha_1(g) = 101$, or $p = 3$, $\alpha_1(g) = 60m + 27l + 21$;
- (2) $p = 31$, Ω is a distance-regular graph with intersection array $\{7, 4, 1; 1, 2, 7\}$;
- (3) $p = 29$, Ω is a distance-regular graph with intersection array $\{13, 8, 1; 1, 4, 13\}$;
- (4) $p = 11$ and $t = 2, 13, 24$;
- (5) $p = 7$ and either Ω is a distance-regular graph with intersection array $\{16, 10, 1; 1, 5, 16\}$, or $t = 24, 31$;
- (6) $p = 5$ and $t = 1, 16, 21, 26, 31$;
- (7) $p = 3$, $s = 3$ and $t = 2, 5, \dots, 32$;
- (8) $p = 2$, t is odd and either $s = 3$, $t = 1, 3, 5, \dots, 33$, or $s = 1$ and $t = 1, 3, 5, \dots, 11$.

Theorem 2. *Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with intersection array $\{100, 66, 1; 1, 33, 100\}$, in which neighbourhoods of vertices are strongly-regular graphs with parameters $(100, 33, 8, 12)$, $G = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, g be an element of G with prime order $p > 2$ and $\Omega = \text{Fix}(g)$ is not empty graph, which contains s vertices in t antipodal classes. Then $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 11, 101\}$ and one of the following assertions holds:*

- (1) $p = 11$, $s = 3$ and $t = 2$;
- (2) $p = 3$, $s = 3$ and either $t = 5$, Ω is an union of isolated 5-cliques, or $t = 5, 8, \dots, 17$ and neighbourhoods of vertices in Ω are cocliques, or $t = 11, 14, \dots, 26$ and neighbourhood of any vertex in Ω contains geodesic 2-path;

(3) $p = 2$, either Ω contained in antipodal class, or $t = 5$ and Ω is a union of isolated 5-cliques and $s = 1, 3$, or neighbourhoods of vertices in Ω are unions of isolated cliques and $s = 3, t = 3, 5$, or neighbourhood of any vertex in Ω contains geodesic 2-path and $s = 3, t = 7, 9, \dots, 33$.

Corollary 1. *Distance-regular graph with intersection array $\{100, 66, 1; 1, 33, 100\}$ is not vertex symmetric.*

2. AUTOMORPHISMS OF A DISTANCE-REGULAR GRAPH WITH INTERSECTION ARRAY $\{100, 66, 1; 1, 33, 100\}$

Lemma 1. *Let Γ be a strongly-regular graph with parameters $(v, k, 8, 12)$. Then Γ has parameters $(16, 12, 8, 12)$, $(100, 33, 8, 12)$ or $(1000, 108, 8, 12)$.*

Proof. If Γ is a strongly-regular graph with parameters $(v, k, 8, 12)$, then $16 + 4(k - 12) = 4u^2$, therefore $k = u^2 + 8$, Γ has secondary eigenvalues $u + 2, -(u + 2)$ and a multiplicity of $-(u + 2)$ is equal $(u + 1)(u^2 + 8)(u^2 + u + 10)/24u$. From here Γ has parameters $(16, 12, 8, 12)$, $(100, 33, 8, 12)$ or $(1000, 108, 8, 12)$. □

The proof of the theorem 1 use Higmen’s method for investigation automorphisms of distance-regular graphs, represented in third chapter in Cameron’s monograph [3]. Let Γ be a distance-regular graph of diameter d with v vertices. Then we have the symmetric association scheme (X, \mathbf{R}) with d classes, where X is the set of vertices of Γ and $R_i = \{(u, w) \in X^2 \mid d(u, w) = i\}$. For vertex $u \in X$ set $k_i = |\Gamma_i(u)|$. Let A_i be the adjacency matrix of the graph Γ_i . Then $A_i A_j = \sum p_{ij}^l A_l$ for some integer numbers $p_{ij}^l \geq 0$, which are called the intersection numbers. Note that $p_{ij}^l = |\Gamma_i(u) \cap \Gamma_j(w)|$ for every vertices u, w with $d(u, w) = l$.

Let P_i be the matrix in which in the (j, l) entry there is p_{ij}^l . Then the eigenvalues $k = p_1(0), \dots, p_1(d)$ of the matrix P_1 are eigenvalues of Γ with multiplicities $m_0 = 1, \dots, m_d$. Note that the matrix P_i is the value of some integer polinomial of P_1 , so the ordering of eigenvalues of the matrix P_1 gives the ordering of eigenvalues of P_i . The matrices P and Q with (i, j) entry $p_j(i)$ and $Q_{ji} = m_j p_i(j)/k_i$ are called the first and the second eigenmatrix of Γ and $PQ = QP = vI$, where I is the identity matrix of order $d + 1$. Let u_j and w_j be the left and the right eigenvectors of matrix P_1 affording eigenvalue $p_1(j)$ and having the first coordinate 1. Then the multiplicity m_j of the eigenvalue $p_1(j)$ is equal $v/\langle u_j, w_j \rangle$. In fact, w_j are the columns of the matrix P and $m_j u_j$ are the rows of the matrix Q .

The permutation representation of the group $G = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ on the vertex set of Γ naturally gives the matrix representation ψ of G in $GL(v, \mathbb{C})$. The space \mathbb{C}^v is the orthogonal direct sum of the eigenspaces W_0, W_1, \dots, W_d of the adjacent matrix $A = A_1$ of Γ . For every $g \in G$ we have $\psi(g)A = A\psi(g)$, so the subspace W_i is $\psi(G)$ -invariant. Let χ_i be a character of the representation ψ_{W_i} . Then for $g \in G$ we obtain $\chi_i(g) = v^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^d Q_{ij} \alpha_j(g)$, where $\alpha_j(g)$ is the numbers of vertices x of X such that $d(x, x^g) = j$.

Let to the end of paper Γ be a distance-regular graph with intersection array $\{100, 66, 1; 1, 33, 100\}$, $G = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, g be an element of prime order p in G and $\Omega = \text{Fix}(g)$ intersects t antipodal classes along s vertices. In case $p > 3$ we have $\alpha_3(g) = 0$ and each vertex from $\Gamma - \Omega$ is adjacency with t vertices from Ω . Let F is an antipodal class, containing vertex $a \in \Omega$, $\Omega \cap F = \{a, a_2, \dots, a_s\}$ and $b \in \Omega(a)$.

Lemma 2. Let χ_1 be a character of projection of the representation ψ on subspace of dimension 101 (that responds to eigenvalue θ_1), χ_2 be a character of projection of the representation ψ on subspace of dimension 100. Then $\chi_1(g) = (7\alpha_0(g) + \alpha_1(g) - 3\alpha_3(g) - 101)/20$, $\chi_2(g) = (\alpha_0(g) + \alpha_3(g))/3 - 1$, $\chi_1(g) - 101$ and $\chi_2(g) - 100$ are divided by p .

Proof. We have

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 101 & 101/10 & -101/20 & -101/2 \\ 100 & -1 & -1 & 100 \\ 101 & -101/10 & 101/20 & -101/2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore $\chi_1(g) = (20\alpha_0(g) + 2\alpha_1(g) - \alpha_2(g) - 10\alpha_3(g))/60$. By substituting $\alpha_2(g) = 303 - \alpha_0(g) - \alpha_1(g) - \alpha_3(g)$, we obtain $\chi_1(g) = (7\alpha_0(g) + \alpha_1(g) - 3\alpha_3(g) - 101)/20$.

Similarly, $\chi_2(g) = (100\alpha_0(g) - \alpha_1(g) - \alpha_2(g) + 100\alpha_3(g))/303$. By substituting $\alpha_1(g) + \alpha_2(g) = 303 - \alpha_0(g) - \alpha_3(g)$, we obtain $\chi_2(g) = (\alpha_0(g) + \alpha_3(g))/3 - 1$.

The other conclusions are follows from the lemma 1 [4]. The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 3. The following assertions hold:

- (1) if Ω is an empty graph, then either $p = 101$, $\alpha_1(g) = 101$, or $p = 3$, $\alpha_1(g) = 60m + 27l + 21$;
- (2) if Ω is a clique, then $p = 2$ and $|\Omega| \in \{1, 3, \dots, 11\}$;
- (3) if $t = 1$, then either $p = 2$, $s = 1, 3$ and $\alpha_1(g) = 40l + 10(s - 1)$, or $p = 5$, $s = 3$ and $\alpha_1(g) = 100l$.

Proof. Let Ω is an empty graph and $\alpha_i(g) = pw_i$ for $i \geq 1$. As $v = 303$, then p is equal 3 or 101.

Let $p = 101$, then $w_3 = 0$ and $\chi_1(g) = 101(w_1 - 1)/20$. From here $w_1 = 1$.

Let $p = 3$, then $w_1 + w_2 + w_3 = 101$, $\chi_2(g) = w_3 - 1$ and $\chi_2(g) - 100$ is divided by 3. From here $w_3 = 3l + 2$, $\chi_1(g) = 3(w_1 - 9l - 107)/20$ and $w_1 = 20m + 9l + 7$.

Let Ω is t -coclique, then $s = 1$, p divides $3 - s$ and $101 - t$. From here $p = 2$, t is odd, therefore $t \in \{1, 3, \dots, 11\}$. Let $t = 1$, then $p = 2, 5$. In case $p = 5$ we have $\chi_1(g) = \alpha_1(g)/20 - 4$ and $\alpha_1(g) = 100l$. In case $p = 2$ we have $s = 1$ and $\chi_1(g) = \alpha_1(g)/20 - 5$ and $\alpha_1(g) = 40l$ or $s = 3$ and $\chi_1(g) = \alpha_1(g)/20 - 4$ and $\alpha_1(g) = 40l + 20$. \square

Lemma 4. (Theorem 5.4 from [5]). Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with diameter 3 and with $\lambda = \mu$. Let n^* is square-free part of natural number n . Then:

- (1) if $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and r is even, then $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ for each odd prime of number p divisible by k^* ;
- (2) if k is even, then $(-1)^{(r-1)/2}r$ is a square by the module p for each odd prime of number p , divisible by k^* .

Lemma 5. If $p > 7$, then one of the following assertions holds:

- (1) $p = 31$, Ω is a distance-regular graph with intersection array $\{7, 4, 1; 1, 2, 7\}$;
- (2) $p = 29$, Ω is a distance-regular graph with intersection array $\{13, 8, 1; 1, 4, 13\}$;
- (3) $p = 11$ and $t = 2, 13, 24$.

Proof. If $p > 31$, then for vertices $a, b \in \Omega$ with condition $d(a, b) \leq 2$, subgraph $[a] \cap [b]$ contains in Ω . In this case Ω is a distance-regular graph with intersection array $\{t - 1, 66, 1; 1, 33, t - 1\}$, contradiction.

Let $p = 31$, then $101 - t$ is divided by 31 and $t \in \{8, 39, 70\}$. As each vertex from $\Gamma - \Omega$ is adjacency with t vertices from Ω then $t = 8$. For each two vertices $a, b \in \Omega$ such that $d(a, b) \leq 2$, the number $|\Omega(a) \cap [b]|$ is equivalent with 2 by the module 31. Therefore $\Omega(b)$ contains 3 vertices from a^\perp , 2 vertices from $[a_2]$, 2 vertices from $[a_3]$ and Ω is a distance-regular graph with intersection array $\{7, 4, 1; 1, 2, 7\}$.

Let $p = 29$, then $101 - t$ is divided by 29 and $t \in \{14, 43, 72\}$. As each vertex from $\Gamma - \Omega$ is adjacency with t vertices from Ω , then $t = 14$. For each two vertices $a, b \in \Omega$ such that $d(a, b) \leq 2$, the number $|\Omega(a) \cap [b]|$ is equivalent with 4 by the module 29. Therefore $\Omega(b)$ contains 5 vertices from a^\perp , 4 vertices from $[a_2]$, 4 vertices from $[a_3]$ and Ω is a distance-regular graph with intersection array $\{13, 8, 1; 1, 4, 13\}$.

Contradictions are obtained in cases $p = 17, 19, 23$.

Let $p = 13$, then $101 - t$ is divided by 13 and $t = 10, 23$. For each two vertices $a, b \in \Omega$ such that $d(a, b) \leq 2$, the number $|\Omega(a) \cap [b]|$ is equivalent with 7 by the module 13. therefore $\Omega(b)$ contains 8 vertices from a^\perp , 7 vertices from $[a_2]$, 7 vertices from $[a_3]$ and Ω is a distance-regular graph with intersection array $\{22, 14, 1; 1, 7, 22\}$. By the lemma 4 this graph does not exist.

Let $p = 11$, then $101 - t$ is divided by 11 and $t = 2, 13, 24$. □

Lemma 6. *The following assertions hold:*

- (1) *if $p = 7$, then either Ω is a distance-regular graph with intersection array $\{16, 10, 1; 1, 5, 16\}$, or $t = 24, 31$;*
- (2) *if $p = 5$, then $t = 16, 21, 26, 31$;*
- (3) *if $p = 3$, then $s = 3$ and $t = 2, 5, \dots, 32$;*
- (4) *if $p = 2$, then t is odd and either $s = 3$ and $t = 3, 5, \dots, 33$, or $s = 1$ and $t = 3, 5, \dots, 11$.*

Proof. Let $p = 7$, then $101 - t$ is divided by 7 and $t \in \{3, 10, \dots, 31\}$. For each two vertices $a, b \in \Omega$ such that $d(a, b) \leq 2$, the number $|\Omega(a) \cap [b]|$ is equivalent with 5 by the module 7. Therefore $\Omega(b)$ contains 6 vertices from a^\perp , 5 vertices from $[a_2]$, 5 vertices from $[a_3]$, $t \geq 17$ and in case $t = 17$ graph Ω is a distance-regular graph with intersection array $\{16, 10, 1; 1, 5, 16\}$.

Let $p = 5$. Then $101 - t$ is divided by 5 and $t \in \{6, 11, \dots, 31\}$. For each two vertices $a, b \in \Omega$ such that $d(a, b) \leq 2$, the number $|\Omega(a) \cap [b]|$ is equivalent with 3 by the module 5. Therefore $\Omega(b)$ contains 4 vertices from a^\perp , 3 vertices from $[a_2]$, 3 vertices from $[a_3]$, $t \geq 11$ and in case $t = 11$ Ω is a distance-regular graph with intersection array $\{10, 6, 1; 1, 3, 10\}$. By the lemma 4 this graph does not exist.

Let $p = 3$, then $101 - t$ is divided by 3, $s = 3$ and $t \in \{2, 5, \dots, 32\}$. For each two vertices $a, b \in \Omega$ such that $d(a, b) \leq 2$, the number $|\Omega(a) \cap [b]|$ is divided by 3.

Let $p = 2$, then t is odd and for each vertex $u \in \Gamma - \Omega$ the number $[u] \cap \Omega$ is even.

If $s = 3$, then each vertex from $\Gamma - \Omega$ is adjacent with t vertices from Ω , therefore $t = 3, 5, \dots, 33$.

Let $s = 1$, then Ω is clique and $t = 3, 5, \dots, 11$. Lemma and the theorem 1 are proved. □

3. DISTANCE-REGULAR GRAPH WITH STRONGLY REGULAR LOCAL SUBGRAPHS

Let us prove the theorem 2. Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with intersection array $\{100, 66, 1; 1, 33, 100\}$, in which neighbourhoods of vertices are strongly-regular with parameters $(100, 33, 8, 12)$. Then the order of clique in Γ is not greater than 5. By the theorem 1 we have $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 11, 101\}$. Let $a \in \Omega$, $\Delta = \Omega(a)$ and $\alpha'_i(g) = |\{u \in [a] - \Delta \mid d(u, u^g) = i\}|$. By the proposition one of the following assertions holds:

- (1) Δ is empty graph, $p = 2$ and $\alpha'_1(g) = 20t$ or $p = 5$ and $\alpha'_1(g) = 0, 50, 100$;
- (2) Δ is 4-clique, $p = 2$ and $\alpha'_1(g) - 12$ is divided by 20, or $p = 3$ and $\alpha'_1(g) - 12$ is divided by 30;
- (3) Δ is γ -coclique and either
 - (i) $\gamma = 1$, $p = 3$ and $\alpha'_1(g) = 3, 33, 63, 93$ or $p = 11$ and $\alpha'_1(g) = 33$, or
 - (ii) $p = 3$, $\gamma = 4, 7, \dots, 16$ and $\alpha'_1(g) - 3\gamma$ is divided by 30;
- (4) Δ is an union $n \geq 2$ of isolated m_i -cliques, $p = 2$, $m_i \in \{2, 4\}$ and $|\Delta| \leq 20$;
- (5) Δ contains geodesic 2-path and either
 - (i) $p = 3$, $|\Delta| = 3l + 1$, $3 \leq l \leq 8$, or
 - (ii) $p = 2$, $|\Delta| = 2e$, $3 \leq e \leq 20$ and a^\perp is not contained in Δ for each vertex $a \in \Delta$.

Lemma 7. *One of the following assertions holds:*

- (1) Δ is empty graph, $p = 2$ and Ω is contained in antipodal class;
- (2) Δ is 4-clique, $p = 2, 3$ and $t = 5$;
- (3) Δ is γ -coclique and either $p = 3, 11$, $\gamma = 1$ and $t = 2$, or $p = 3$ and $t = 5, 8, \dots, 17$;
- (4) Δ is an union of $n \geq 2$ isolated m_i -cliques, $p = 2$, $t = 3, 5$ and $s = 3$;
- (5) Δ contains geodesic 2-path and either
 - (i) $p = 3$ and $t = 11, 14, \dots, 26$, or
 - (ii) $p = 2$, $s = 3$, $t = 7, 9, \dots, 33$.

Proof. Let Δ is an empty graph. Then $p = 2$ and Ω is contained in antipodal class.

Let Δ is a 4-clique. Then $s = 1, t = 4$ and $p = 2, 3$.

Let Δ is a γ -coclique. Then $t = \gamma + 1$. If $\gamma = 1$, then $p = 3, 11$ and Ω contains an isolated edge. Therefore $t = 2$. If $\gamma > 1$, then $p = 3$ and $t = 5, 8, \dots, 17$.

Let Δ is an union of $n \geq 2$ isolated m_i -cliques, $p = 2$, $m_i \in \{2, 4\}$. Then $s = 3$ and $t = 3, 5$.

Let Δ contains geodesic 2-path and $p = 2, 3$. In case $p = 3$ we have $|\Delta| = 3l + 1$, $3 \leq l \leq 8$, therefore $t = 11, 14, \dots, 26$. In case $p = 2$ we have $|\Delta| = 2e$, $3 \leq e \leq 20$, therefore $t = 7, 9, \dots, 33$. Lemma and the theorem 2 are proved. \square

4. DISTANCE-REGULAR GRAPH WITH INTERSECTION ARRAY $\{100, 66, 1; 1, 33, 100\}$ IS NOT VERTEX SYMMETRIC

Let us prove the Corollary.

Lemma 8. *One of the following assertions holds:*

- (1) if f is an element of order 101 from G , then $C_G(f) = \langle f \rangle$;
- (2) $F(G)$ is a 3-group and either $F(G) = 1$, or $\bar{G} = G/F(G)$ acts irreducibly on $F(G)$;
- (3) the socle \bar{T} of group \bar{G} is isomorphic to $L_2(101)$, $U_3(101)$ or $U_5(17)$.

Proof. By the theorem 1 subgraph $\text{Fix}(f)$ is empty graph and $\alpha_1(f) = 101$. Let g be an element of prime order $p < 101$ from $C_G(f)$. From action f to Ω it follows that subgraph Ω is empty graph and $p = 3$. Contradiction with action g on $U = \{u \mid d(u, u^f) = 1\}$.

By the theorem of Gruenberg-Kegel [6] a soluble radical of group G is equiv to $F(G)$. As 3 does not divide $N_G(\langle f \rangle)$, then $F(G)$ is a 3-group. Let $\bar{G} = G/F(G)$. If $F(G) \neq 1$, then $|F(G) : F(G)_a| = 3$ and \bar{G} acts irreducibly on $F(G)$.

By table 3 from [7] a group \bar{T} is isomorphic to $L_2(101)$, $U_3(101)$ and $U_5(17)$. The Lemma is proved. \square

Let we finish the proof of the corollary. The order of a group $U_3(101)$ is divided by 101^3 . The order of a group $U_5(17)$ is divided by 13. A group $L_2(101)$ does not contain subgroups of index, dividing $101 \cdot 3$. In all cases we have a contradiction. The Corollary is proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. A. Makhnev and D. V. Paduchikh, *Distance-regular graphs, in which neighbourhoods of vertices are strongly regular with the second eigenvalue at most 3*, Doklady Mathematics **92**:2 (2015), 568–571.
- [2] M. S. Nirova, *Edge-symmetric strongly-regular graphs with number of vertices is not greater 100*, Siberian Electr. Math. Reports **10** (2013), 22–30. MR3039983
- [3] P. J. Cameron, *Permutation Groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999. MR1721031
- [4] A. I. Gavriluk and A. A. Makhnev, *On automorphisms of a distance-regular graph with intersction array $\{56, 45, 1; 1, 9, 56\}$* , Doklady Akademii Nauk **432**:5 (2010), 512–515. MR2766516
- [5] C. D. Godsil and A. D. Henzel, *Distance-regular covers of the complete graphs*, J. Comb. Theory (B), **56**:2 (1992), 205–238. MR1186756
- [6] A. S. Kondratiev, *Quasirecognition by the set of element orders of the groups $E_6(q)$ u ${}^2E_6(q)$* , Sib. Math. J. **48** (2007), 1001–1018.
- [7] A. V. Zavarnitsine, *Finite simple groups with narrow prime spectrum*, Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports, **6** (2009), 1–12. MR2586673

KONSTANTIN SERGEEVICH EFIMOV
 URAL FEDERAL UNIVERSITY,
 STR. MIRA, 15,
 620000, EKATERINBURG, RUSSIA
E-mail address: konstantin.s.efimov@gmail.com

ALEXANDR ALEKSEEVICH MAKHNEV
 N.N. KRASOVSKY INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MECKHANICS,
 STR. S. KOVALEVSKOY, 4,
 620990, EKATERINBURG, RUSSIA
E-mail address: makhnev@imm.uran.ru