

СИБИРСКИЕ ЭЛЕКТРОННЫЕ
МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИЕ ИЗВЕСТИЯ

Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports

<http://semr.math.nsc.ru>

Том 15, стр. 1174–1181 (2018)

УДК 519.172.2

DOI 10.17377/semi.2018.15.095

MSC 05C75

**ALL TIGHT DESCRIPTIONS OF 3-PATHS IN PLANE GRAPHS
WITH GIRTH AT LEAST 9**

V.A. AKSENOV, O.V. BORODIN, A.O. IVANOVA

ABSTRACT. Lebesgue (1940) proved that every plane graph with minimum degree δ at least 3 and girth g at least 5 has a path on three vertices (3-path) of degree 3 each. A description is tight if no its parameter can be strengthened, and no triplet dropped.

Borodin et al. (2013) gave a tight description of 3-paths in plane graphs with $\delta \geq 3$ and $g \geq 3$, and another tight description was given by Borodin, Ivanova and Kostochka in 2017.

Borodin and Ivanova (2015) gave seven tight descriptions of 3-paths when $\delta \geq 3$ and $g \geq 4$. Furthermore, they proved that this set of tight descriptions is complete, which was a result of a new type in the structural theory of plane graphs. Also, they characterized (2018) all one-term tight descriptions if $\delta \geq 3$ and $g \geq 3$. The problem of producing all tight descriptions for $g \geq 3$ remains widely open even for $\delta \geq 3$.

Recently, several tight descriptions of 3-paths were obtained for plane graphs with $\delta = 2$ and $g \geq 4$ by Jendrol', Maceková, Montassier, and Soták, four of which descriptions are for $g \geq 9$.

In this paper, we prove ten new tight descriptions of 3-paths for $\delta = 2$ and $g \geq 9$ and show that no other tight descriptions exist.

Keywords: plane graph, structure properties, tight description, 3-path, minimum degree, girth.

AKSENOV, V.A., BORODIN, O.V., IVANOVA, A.O., ALL TIGHT DESCRIPTIONS OF 3-PATHS IN PLANE GRAPHS WITH GIRTH AT LEAST 9.

© 2018 AKSENOV V.A., BORODIN O.V., IVANOVA A.O.

The first author was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant 18-01-00353). The second author was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant 16-01-00499). The third author's work was performed as a part of government work "Leading researchers on an ongoing basis" (1.7217.2017/6.7).

Received September, 5, 2018, published October, 16, 2018.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper, G is a plane graph. Let $\delta(G)$ be the minimum vertex degree and let $w_k(G)$ be the minimum degree-sum of a path on k vertices in G . We will drop the argument when G is clear from context. The degree of a vertex v or a face f , that is the number of edges incident with v or f , is denoted by $d(v)$ or $d(f)$, respectively. A k -vertex is a vertex v with $d(v) = k$. By k^+ or k^- we denote any integer not smaller or not greater than k , respectively. Hence, a k^+ -vertex v satisfies $d(v) \geq k$, etc. An edge uv is an (i, j) -edge if $d(u) \leq i$ and $d(v) \leq j$. A path uvw is a path of type (i, j, k) or (i, j, k) -path if $d(u) \leq i$, $d(v) \leq j$, and $d(w) \leq k$.

Already in 1904, Wernicke [23] proved that every G with $\delta = 5$ has a $(5, 6)$ -edge, and Franklin [12] strengthened this to the existence of at least two 6^- -neighbors of a 5^- -vertex; this implies that $w_3 \leq 17$, which bound is sharp.

It follows from Lebesgue's [22] results in 1940 that each G with $\delta \geq 3$ satisfies $w_2 \leq 14$. For 3-connected plane graphs, Kotzig [21] proved a precise result: $w_2 \leq 13$.

In 1972, Erdős (see [13]) conjectured that Kotzig's bound $w_2 \leq 13$ holds for all plane graphs with $\delta \geq 3$. Barnette (see [13]) announced to have proved this conjecture, but the proof has never appeared in print. The first published proof of Erdős' conjecture is due to Borodin [3]. More generally, Borodin [4, 5] proved that every G with $\delta \geq 3$ contains a $(3, 10)$ -, or $(4, 7)$ -, or $(5, 6)$ -edge, which description is tight.

In 1993, Ando, Iwasaki, Kaneko [2] proved that every 3-connected G satisfies $w_3 \leq 21$, which is sharp due to the Jendrol' construction in [14]. This was refined by Borodin [6] in 1997 as follows: every 3-connected G has: (i) either $w_3 \leq 18$ or a vertex of degree ≤ 15 adjacent to two 3-vertices, and (ii) either $w_3 \leq 17$ or $w_2 \leq 7$. Here, the bounds $w_3 \leq 21$ and $w_3 \leq 17$ were known to be tight long ago, and the sharpness of $w_3 \leq 18$ was recently confirmed by Borodin et al. [10].

Back in 1997, Jendrol' [15] gave an approximate description of 3-paths: every G with $\delta \geq 3$ and $g \geq 3$ has a 3-path of one of the following types: $(10, 3, 10)$, $(7, 4, 7)$, $(6, 5, 6)$, $(3, 4, 15)$, $(3, 6, 11)$, $(3, 8, 5)$, $(3, 10, 3)$, $(4, 4, 11)$, $(4, 5, 7)$, or $(4, 7, 5)$.

A description of 3-paths is *tight* if no its parameter can be strengthened and no term dropped. Borodin et al. [10] gave the first tight description of 3-paths: every G with $\delta \geq 3$ and $g \geq 3$ has a 3-path of one of the following types: $(3, 4, 11)$, $(3, 7, 5)$, $(3, 10, 4)$, $(3, 15, 3)$, $(4, 4, 9)$, $(6, 4, 8)$, $(7, 4, 7)$, $(6, 5, 6)$. Another similar tight description for $\delta \geq 3$ and $g \geq 3$ was given by Borodin, Ivanova and Kostochka [11] in 2017.

In 2015, Borodin and Ivanova [7] gave seven tight descriptions of 3-paths when $\delta \geq 3$ and $g \geq 4$. Furthermore, they proved that this set of descriptions is complete, which was a result of a new type in the structural theory of plane graphs. Also, they characterized [9] all one-term tight descriptions if $\delta \geq 3$ and $g \geq 3$. The problem of producing all tight descriptions for $g \geq 3$ remains widely open even for $\delta \geq 3$. Other results on k -paths with $k \geq 3$ and $\delta \geq 3$ can be found in surveys Borodin, Ivanova [8] and Jendrol', Voss [20].

Recently, several tight descriptions of 3-paths were obtained for $\delta = 2$ and $g \geq 4$ by Jendrol', Maceková, Montassier, and Soták [16–19], four of which descriptions are for $g \geq 9$ (for details, see Theorem 1 below). In [1], we proved precise upper bounds for w_3 in several natural classes of plane graphs with $\delta = 2$ and $5 \leq g \leq 7$ and disproved a conjecture by Jendrol' and Maceková [16] concerning the case $g = 5$.

The purpose of our paper is to list all tight descriptions of 3-paths for $\delta = 2$ and $g \geq 9$.

Theorem 1. *There exist precisely these tight descriptions of 3-paths in plane graphs with minimum degree 2 and girth g at least 9:*

- (A) $g \geq 16$: $\{(2, 2, 2)\}$ (folklore);
- (B) $11 \leq g \leq 15$: $\{(2, 2, 3)\}$ (Jendrol' et al. [17]) and $\{(2, 3, 2)\}$;
- (C) $g = 10$: $\{(2, 2, 3), (2, 3, 2)\}$ (Jendrol' and Maceková [16], the tightness shown in Jendrol' et al. [17]), $\{(2, 4, 2)\}$ (Jendrol' et al. [17]), $\{(2, 3, 3)\}$, $\{(2, 2, 4), (3, 2, 3)\}$, and $\{(3, 2, 4)\}$;
- (D) $g = 9$: $\{(2, 2, 5), (2, 3, 2)\}$ (Jendrol' et al. [18]), $\{(2, 5, 2), (2, 2, 3)\}$, $\{(2, 2, 5), (3, 2, 3)\}$, $\{(2, 5, 3)\}$, $\{(2, 3, 5)\}$, and $\{(3, 2, 5)\}$.

2. PROVING THEOREM 1(B)

We first prove that $\{(2, 3, 2)\}$ is a description, then that $\{(2, 3, 2)\}$ is tight, and finally that there are no tight descriptions other than $\{(2, 2, 3)\}$ and $\{(2, 3, 2)\}$.

2.1. Proving that $(2, 3, 2)$ is a description. Let G avoid $(2, 3, 2)$ -paths. Without loss of generality, we can assume that G is connected. Let V , E , and F be the sets of vertices, edges and faces of G , respectively. Euler's formula $|V| - |E| + |F| = 2$ for G may be rewritten as

$$(1) \quad \sum_{x \in V \cup F} (d(x) - 4) = -8.$$

Every vertex and face $x \in V \cup F$ contributes the charge $\mu(x) = d(x) - 4$ to (1), so only the charges of 3^- -vertices are negative. We define a local redistribution of μ 's, preserving their sum, such that the new charge $\mu'(x)$ is non-negative for all $x \in V \cup F$. This will contradict the fact that the sum of the new charges is, by (1), equal to -8 .

We apply the following rules of discharging.

R1. *Every face f gives v :*

- (a) $\frac{3}{4}$ to each incident 2-vertex having a 2-neighbor, and
- (b) $\frac{1}{2}$ to each other incident vertex.

R2. *Every 3^+ -vertex gives $\frac{1}{2}$ to each 2-neighbor.*

We now check $\mu'(x) \geq 0$ whenever $x \in V \cup F$.

CASE 1. $v \in V$.

SUBCASE 1.1. $d(v) = 2$. If v belongs to a $(2, 2)$ -path, then v receives $2 \times \frac{3}{4}$ from the incident faces by R1 and $\frac{1}{2}$ from the 3^+ -neighbor by R2 due to the absence of $(2, 3, 2)$ -path, so $\mu'(v) = 2 - 4 + 2 \times \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2} = 0$. Otherwise, we have $\mu'(v) = -2 + 4 \times \frac{1}{2} = 0$.

SUBCASE 1.2. $d(v) \geq 3$. If $d(v) = 3$ then v gives away $\frac{1}{2}$ by R2 at most once due to the absence of $(2, 3, 2)$ -paths in G , so $\mu'(v) \geq 3 - 4 - \frac{1}{2} + 3 \times \frac{3}{4} = 0$ in view of R1. If $d(v) \geq 4$ then $\mu'(v) \geq d(v) - 4 - d(v) \times \frac{1}{2} + d(v) \times \frac{3}{4} \geq 0$ by R1, R2.

CASE 2. $f \in F$. Note that f is incident with at most $\lfloor \frac{d(f)}{3} \rfloor$ $(2, 2)$ -paths since there are no $(2, 2, 2)$ -paths.

If $d(f) = 11$ then there are at most three $(2, 2)$ -paths in the boundary of f , which implies $\mu'(f) \geq 11 - 4 - 6 \times \frac{3}{4} - (11 - 6) \times \frac{1}{2} = 0$ by R1, R2.

If $d(f) \geq 12$ then we similarly have $\mu'(f) \geq d(f) - 4 - \lfloor \frac{d(f)}{3} \rfloor \times (2 \times \frac{3}{4}) - (d(f) - 2 \times \lfloor \frac{d(f)}{3} \rfloor) \times \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{d(f)}{2} - 4 - \frac{1}{2} \times \lfloor \frac{d(f)}{3} \rfloor \geq \frac{d(f)-12}{3} \geq 0$, as desired.

2.2. Proving the tightness of $\{(2, 3, 2)\}$. We now construct a graph G_{10+k} with $g = 10 + k$ whenever $1 \leq k \leq 5$ that avoids all “smaller” 3-paths, which are only $(2, 2, 2)$ -paths, as follows.

In particular, to obtain a G_{15} , it suffices to put two 2-vertices on each edge of the dodecahedron. In the general case, we first split the edges of the dodecahedron into matchings M_1, \dots, M_5 so that each face is incident with representatives of all matchings, which is easy. Then, we put two 2-vertices on all edges from M_1, \dots, M_k and one 2-vertex on each of the other edges, which yields a desired G_{10+k} .

2.3. Proving that there are no tight descriptions other than $\{(2, 2, 3)\}$ and $\{(2, 3, 2)\}$. Suppose $D = \{(x_1, y_1, z_1), \dots, (x_k, y_k, z_k)\}$ is a tight description of 3-paths in plane graphs with $\delta = 2$ and $11 \leq g \leq 15$. This means that

- (1) every such graph has a (x_i, y_i, z_i) -path for at least one i with $1 \leq i \leq k$, and
- (2) if we delete any term (x_i, y_i, z_i) from D or decrease any parameter in D by one without changing the other $3k - 1$ parameters, then the new description is not satisfied by at least one graph of the given class.

Note that, due to its tightness, the description D cannot have triplets (X, Y, Z) and (X', Y', Z') such that $X \leq X', Y \leq Y',$ and $Z \leq Z'$, for $D' = D \setminus \{(X, Y, Z)\}$ is equivalent to D but shorter. In particular, D has no term $(2, 2, 2)$ due to the graph G_{15} above, so suppose $x_1 y_1 z_1$ has an element 3^+ .

If $(x_1, y_1, z_1) = (2^+, 3^+, 2^+)$, then $D = \{(2, 3, 2)\}$ since $\{(2, 3, 2)\}$ is known to be a tight description. If $(x_1, y_1, z_1) = (2^+, 2^+, 3^+)$, then $D = \{(2, 2, 3)\}$ by the same reason.

3. PROVING THEOREM 1(C)

First note that $\{(2, 3, 3)\}$ was not declared to be a tight description in Jendrol' and Maceková [16] and Jendrol' et al. [17], although this fact follows easily from the tight description $\{(2, 2, 3), (2, 3, 2)\}$ obtained in [16] and whose tightness was proved in [17].

Indeed, $\{(2, 3, 3)\}$ is a description as $\{(2, 2, 3), (2, 3, 2)\}$ is a stronger description. On the other hand, each weakest strengthening of $\{(2, 3, 3)\}$, that is $\{(2, 2, 3)\}$ and $\{(2, 3, 2)\}$, is not anymore a description since $\{(2, 2, 3), (2, 3, 2)\}$ is known to be tight; this means that $\{(2, 3, 3)\}$ is tight.

We next prove that $\{(2, 2, 4), (3, 2, 3)\}$ is a description and then that it is tight. The former fact implies that $\{(3, 2, 4)\}$ is also a tight description, as explained in the last two paragraphs. Finally, we will show that there are no tight descriptions for $g = 10$ other than those five listed in Theorem 1(C).

3.1. Proving that $\{(2, 2, 4), (3, 2, 3)\}$ is a description. Suppose on the contrary that G has neither $(2, 2, 4)$ - nor $(3, 2, 3)$ -paths and contract all 2-vertices in G to obtain a graph G^* with $\delta(G^*) \geq 3$. As follows from Lebesgue's Theorem [22], G^* has a face f^* that is either a 3-face incident with a 5^- -vertex, or a 4-face of one of the types $(3, 3, 5, \infty)$, $(3, 4, 4, 5)$, or else a $(3, 3, 3, 3, 5)$ -face.

The pre-image f of f^* under contraction is a 10^+ -face in G by assumption; in particular, f must be incident with at least five 2-vertices.

If $d(f^*) = 3$, then f must actually be incident with at least seven 2-vertices, so we should have a $(2, 2, 2)$ -path in G ; a contradiction.

Suppose $d(f^*) = 4$. Note that at most one 2-vertex can be put on each of at least three edges incident in the boundary of f with 4^- -vertices (whose number is at least two due to Lebesgue's Theorem [22]) when going back from G^* to G due to the absence of $(2, 2, 4)$ -paths in G . Since the forth edge of f may receive at most two 2-vertices, we have $d(f) \leq 4 + 3 + 2 < 10$, a contradiction.

Finally, suppose $d(f^*) = 5$, where $f^* = v_1 \dots v_5$ with $d(v_1) = \dots = d(v_4) = 3$. Now at most one 2-vertex may be put on each of the edges v_1v_2 and v_1v_5 and no 2-vertex may appear on the other three edges incident with f due to the absence of $(3, 2, 3)$ - and $(2, 2, 4)$ -paths in G , respectively. Hence $d(f) \leq 7$, a contradiction.

3.2. Proving the tightness of $\{(2, 2, 4), (3, 2, 3)\}$. We must show that neither $\{(2, 2, 4)\}$ nor $\{(3, 2, 3)\}$ is a description (of plane graphs with $g = 10$).

The former triplet fails to describe a graph H_1 obtained from the dodecahedron by putting a 2-vertex on every edge.

For rejecting the latter, we take the $(3, 4, 4, 4)$ Archimedean solid, which is a plane quadrangulation such that every face is incident with a 3-vertex and three 4-vertices, and put one 2-vertex on every edge incident with a 3-vertex and two 2-vertices on all other edges to obtain a graph H_2 avoiding $(3, 2, 3)$ -paths.

3.3. Proving the non-existence of tight descriptions other than $\{(2, 2, 3), (2, 3, 2)\}$, $\{(2, 4, 2)\}$, $\{(2, 3, 3)\}$, $\{(2, 2, 4), (3, 2, 3)\}$, and $\{(3, 2, 4)\}$.

Suppose $D = \{(x_1, y_1, z_1), \dots, (x_k, y_k, z_k)\}$ is a tight description of 3-paths in plane graphs with $\delta = 2$ and $g = 10$. By symmetry, we can assume that $x_i \leq z_i$ whenever $1 \leq i \leq k$.

CASE 1. $\max\{y_1, z_1, \dots, y_5, z_5\} \geq 4$.

If, say, $y_1 \geq 4$ then $D = \{(2, 4, 2)\}$ since $\{(2, 4, 2)\}$ is tight.

Suppose $z_1 \geq 4$. Now $y_1 = 2$ since otherwise there is a stronger tight description $\{(2, 3, 3)\}$, a contradiction. If $x_1 \geq 3$ then $D = \{(3, 2, 4)\}$ since $\{(3, 2, 4)\}$ is tight.

Thus we have $(x_1, y_1, z_1) = (2, 2, 4^+)$. Note that this term fails to describe the graph H_1 mentioned above, which has no $(2, 2)$ -paths. It further follows from H_1 that D must have a term, say (x_2, y_2, z_2) , which is either $(3^+, 2^+, 3^+)$ or $(2^+, 3^+, 2^+)$.

However, if $(x_2, y_2, z_2) = (2^+, 3^+, 2^+)$ then we have a stronger tight description $\{(2, 2, 3), (2, 3, 2)\}$ than D , a contradiction. It remains to assume that $(x_2, y_2, z_2) = (3^+, 2^+, 3^+)$, in which case $D = \{(2, 2, 4), (3, 2, 3)\}$, as desired.

CASE 2. Each entry of D is 2 or 3.

To be able to describe the graph H_1 , our D must have a term, say (x_1, y_1, z_1) , which is either $(3, 2^+, 3)$ or $(2^+, 3, 2^+)$. Not to majorize the description $\{(2, 3, 3)\}$, this term should in fact be either $(3, 2, 3)$ or $(2, 3, 2)$.

However, if $(x_1, y_1, z_1) = (2, 3, 2)$ then it follows from the tight description $\{(2, 2, 3), (2, 3, 2)\}$ that there should exist a term $(x_2, y_2, z_2) = (2^+, 2^+, 3)$ in D , and so $D = \{(2, 2, 3), (2, 3, 2)\}$.

Hence we can further assume that $y_1 = \dots = y_k = 2$ and $(x_1, y_1, z_1) = (3, 2, 3)$. It follows that in fact $D = \{(2, 2, 3), (3, 2, 3)\}$, but then D fails to describe 3-paths in the graph H_2 mentioned in Section 3.2, a contradiction.

4. PROVING THEOREM 1(D)

Note that the existence and tightness of the three one-term descriptions $\{(2, 5, 3)\}$, $\{(2, 3, 5)\}$, and $\{(3, 2, 5)\}$ follow easily from the same properties of corresponding two-term descriptions, in the same fashion as in Section 3. For example, $\{(2, 5, 3)\}$ follows from $\{(2, 5, 2), (2, 2, 3)\}$.

We first prove that $\{(2, 5, 2), (2, 2, 3)\}$ and $\{(2, 2, 5), (3, 2, 3)\}$ are descriptions and then show them to be tight. Finally, we show that there are no tight descriptions for $g = 9$ other than those six listed in Theorem 1(D).

4.1. Proving that $\{(2, 5, 2), (2, 2, 3)\}$ and $\{(2, 2, 5), (3, 2, 3)\}$ are descriptions.

Suppose on the contrary that G does not obey one of these two descriptions. We consider the contracted graph G^* with $\delta(G^*) \geq 3$, as in Section 3, and its 5^- -faces f^* implied by Lebesgue's Theorem [22].

If $d(f^*) = 3$, then $\partial(f)$ must have two 2-vertices between each two 3^+ -vertices. In particular, this implies both $(2, 5, 2)$ - and $(2, 2, 5)$ -path in $\partial(f)$, a contradiction.

Suppose $d(f^*) = 4$ and $\partial(f)$ has three 5^- -vertices v_1, v_2, v_3 . It is not hard to see that to avoid $(2, 2, 5)$ - or $(2, 5, 2)$ -paths, our f can have at most two 2-vertices on each of the pairs of edges v_1v_2, v_1v_4 and v_2v_3, v_3v_4 . However, then $d(f) \leq 8$, a contradiction.

Finally, suppose $d(f^*) = 5$, where $f^* = v_1 \dots v_5$ with $d(v_1) = \dots = d(v_4) = 3$. Now for G not to obey $\{(2, 5, 2), (2, 2, 3)\}$ or $\{(2, 2, 5), (3, 2, 3)\}$, at most one 2-vertex may be put on each of the pairs of edges v_1v_2, v_1v_5 and v_3v_4, v_4v_5 and at most one 2-vertex on the edge v_2v_3 when going back from f^* to f . This implies $d(f) \leq 5 + 3 \times 1 < 9$, a contradiction.

4.2. Proving the tightness of $\{(2, 5, 2), (2, 2, 3)\}$ and $\{(2, 2, 5), (3, 2, 3)\}$. To see that neither $\{(2, 4, 2), (2, 2, 3)\}$ nor $\{(2, 2, 4), (3, 2, 3)\}$ is a description, it suffices to put two 2-vertices on every edge of the icosahedron and note that the graph H_3 obtained has no $(4, 4, 4)$ -paths.

To reject $\{(2, 5, 2), (2, 2, 2)\}$, we reproduce the graph H_4 obtained in Jendrol' et al. [18]. Take concentric cycles $W_9 = w_1 \dots w_9$, $XY_{18} = x_1y_1 \dots x_9y_9$, $Z_9 = z_1 \dots z_9$, and add a path with two internal 2-vertices between w_i to x_i and also between y_i and z_i whenever $1 \leq i \leq 9$. It remains to observe that $g(H_4) = 9$ and H_4 has no $(2, 5, 2)$ -paths.

Finally, $\{(2, 2, 5), (2, 2, 3)\}$ fails to describe the graph H_1 obtained from the dodecahedron by putting a 2-vertex on every edge.

4.3. Proving the non-existence of tight descriptions other than those six in Theorem 1(D).

Suppose $D = \{(x_1, y_1, z_1), \dots, (x_k, y_k, z_k)\}$ is a tight description of 3-paths in plane graphs with $\delta = 2$ and $g = 9$. By symmetry, we can assume that $x_i \leq z_i$ whenever $1 \leq i \leq k$. It follows from the graph H_3 above that D must have an entry, say y_1 or z_1 , not smaller than 5.

CASE 1. $y_1 \geq 5$. Now $(x_1, y_1, z_1) = (2, 5^+, 2)$ since $\{(2, 5, 3)\}$ is a tight description. It follows from H_4 (which has no $(2, 5, 2)$ -paths) that, say, $(x_2, y_2, z_2) = (2^+, 2^+, 3^+)$. Since $\{(2, 5, 2), (2, 2, 3)\}$ is known to be a tight description, we have $D = \{(2, 5, 2), (2, 2, 3)\}$.

CASE 2. $z_1 \geq 5$. Now $(x_1, y_1, z_1) = (2, 2, 5^+)$ since $\{(3, 2, 5)\}$ and $\{(2, 3, 5)\}$ are tight descriptions. As we remember, H_1 has only $(3, 2, 3)$ - and $(2, 3, 2)$ -paths. This implies that either $(x_2, y_2, z_2) = (3^+, 2^+, 3^+)$ or $(x_2, y_2, z_2) = (3^+, 2^+, 3^+)$.

In the first case, we have $D = \{(2, 2, 5), (3, 2, 3)\}$ since $\{(2, 2, 5), (3, 2, 3)\}$ is a tight description. In the second case, we similarly have $D = \{(2, 2, 5), (2, 3, 2)\}$, as desired.

REFERENCES

- [1] V.A. Aksenov, Borodin O.V., A.O. Ivanova, *Weight of 3-paths in sparse plane graphs*, Electronic J. Combin., **22:3** (2015), Paper #P3.28. <http://www.combinatorics.org/ojs/index.php/eljc/article/view/v22i3p28> MR3414174
- [2] K. Ando, S. Iwasaki, A. Kaneko, *Every 3-connected planar graph has a connected subgraph with small degree sum*, Annual Meeting of Mathematical Society of Japan, (1993). (in Japanese)
- [3] O.V. Borodin, *On the total coloring of planar graphs*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **394** (1989), 180–185. MR0977440
- [4] O.V. Borodin, *Joint extension of two Kotzig’s theorems on 3-polytopes*, Combinatorica, **13:1** (1993), 121–125. MR1221181
- [5] O.V. Borodin, *Precise lower bounds for the number of edges of minor weight in planar maps*, Mathematica Slovaca, **42:2** (1992), 129–142. MR1170097
- [6] O.V. Borodin, *Minimal vertex degree sum of a 3-path in plane maps*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory, **17:2** (1997), 279–284. MR1627959
- [7] O.V. Borodin, A.O. Ivanova, *Describing tight descriptions of 3-paths in triangle-free normal plane maps*, Discrete Math., **338:11** (2015), 1947–1952. DOI 10.1016/j.disc.2015.05.006 MR3357780
- [8] O.V. Borodin, A.O. Ivanova, *New results about the structure of plane graphs: a survey*, AIP Conference Proceedings, **1907** (2017), 030051. DOI 10.1063/1.5012673
- [9] O.V. Borodin, A.O. Ivanova, *All one-term tight descriptions of 3-paths in normal plane maps without $K_4 - e$* , Discrete Math., **341:12** (2018), 3425–3433. DOI 10.1016/j.disc.2018.08.026
- [10] O.V. Borodin, A.O. Ivanova, T.R. Jensen, A.V. Kostochka, M.P. Yancey, *Describing 3-paths in normal plane maps*, Discrete Math., **313:23** (2013), 2702–2711. DOI 10.1016/j.disc.2013.08.018 MR3106442
- [11] O.V. Borodin, A.O. Ivanova, A.V. Kostochka, *Tight descriptions of 3-paths in normal plane maps*, J. Graph Theory, **85:1** (2017), 115–132. DOI 10.1002/jgt.22051 MR3634478
- [12] Ph. Franklin, *The four-color problem*, Amer. J. Math., **44:3** (1922), 225–236. MR1506473
- [13] B. Grünbaum, *New views on some old questions of combinatorial geometry*, Int. Theorie Combinatorie, Rome, 1973, **1** (1976), 451–468. MR0470861
- [14] S. Jendrol’, *Paths with restricted degrees of their vertices in planar graphs*, Czechoslovak Math. J., **49(124):3** (1999), 481–490. MR1708382
- [15] S. Jendrol’, *A structural property of convex 3-polytopes*, Geom. Dedicata, **68:1** (1997), 91–99. MR1485387
- [16] S. Jendrol’, Maceková M., *Describing short paths in plane graphs of girth at least 5*, Discrete Math., **338:2** (2015), 149–158. MR3279266
- [17] S. Jendrol’, Maceková M., Montassier M., Soták R., *Optimal unavoidable sets of types of 3-paths for planar graphs of given girth*, Discrete Math., **339:2** (2016), 780–789. MR3431391
- [18] S. Jendrol’, M. Maceková, M. Montassier, R. Soták, *3-paths in graphs with bounded average degree*. Discuss. Math. Graph Theory, **36:2** (2016), 339–353. MR3482533
- [19] S. Jendrol’, M. Maceková, R. Soták, *Note on 3-paths in plane graphs of girth 4*, Discrete Math., **338:9** (2015), 1643–1648.
- [20] S. Jendrol’, H.-J. Voss, *Light subgraphs of graphs embedded in the plane — a survey*, Discrete Math., **313:4** (2013), 406–421. MR3004475
- [21] A. Kotzig, *Contribution to the theory of Eulerian polyhedra*, Mat. Čas., **5** (1955), 101–113. (in Slovak) MR0074837
- [22] H. Lebesgue, *Quelques conséquences simples de la formule d’Euler*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **19** (1940), 27–43. (in Franch) Zbl 0024.28701
- [23] P. Wernicke, *Über den kartographischen Vierfarbensatz*, Math. Ann., **58** (1904), 413–426. (in German) MR1511242

VALERII ANATOL'EVICH AKSENOV
NOVOSIBIRSK NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY,
STR. PIROGOVA, 1,
630090, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA
E-mail address: akc@belka.sm.nsc.ru

OLEG VENIAMINOVICH BORODIN
SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,
PR. KOPTYUGA, 4,
630090, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA
E-mail address: brdnoleg@math.nsc.ru

ANNA OLEGOVNA IVANOVA
AMMOSOV NORTH-EASTERN FEDERAL UNIVERSITY,
STR. KULAKOVSKOGO, 48,
677000, YAKUTSK, RUSSIA
E-mail address: shmgnanna@mail.ru