

СИБИРСКИЕ ЭЛЕКТРОННЫЕ  
МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИЕ ИЗВЕСТИЯ

Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports

<http://semr.math.nsc.ru>

---

*Том 15, стр. 214–222 (2018)*

УДК 515.126

DOI 10.17377/semi.2018.15.021

MSC 54C08, 54E52

Special issue: Groups and Graphs, Metrics and Manifolds – G2M2 2017

ON PIECEWISE CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS OF  
PARACOMPACT SPACES

S.V. MEDVEDEV

**ABSTRACT.** It is proved that every resolvably measurable mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of a first-countable perfectly paracompact space  $X$  to a regular space  $Y$  is piecewise continuous. If  $X$  is additionally completely Baire, then  $f$  is resolvably measurable if and only if it is piecewise continuous.

**Keywords:** resolvably measurable mapping, piecewise continuous mapping,  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -measurable mapping, completely Baire space.

The study of the relationship between different types of mappings is a classical topological problem. In particular, the close connection between resolvably measurable mappings and piecewise continuous ones for metrizable spaces was announced (without proof) by V. Vinokurov [13]. T. Banach and B. Bokalo [1] continued this line of investigation for perfectly paracompact spaces. Recently, A. Ostrovsky [10] showed that every resolvably measurable function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is countably continuous for subsets  $X$  and  $Y$  of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ . On the other hand, J. Jayne and C. Rogers [5] proved that a mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\Delta_2^0$ -measurable if and only if it is piecewise continuous for an absolute Souslin- $\mathcal{F}$  set  $X$  and a metric space  $Y$ . M. Kačena, L. Motto Ros, and B. Semmes [7] showed that the last statement holds for a regular space  $Y$ . The useful technique for investigation of piecewise continuous mappings was developed by S. Solecki [11]. The relationship between resolvably measurable mappings and weakly discontinuous ones was obtained by T. Banach and B. Bokalo [2].

---

MEDVEDEV, S.V., ON PIECEWISE CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS OF PARACOMPACT SPACES.

© 2018 MEDVEDEV S.V.

The work was supported by Act 211 Government of the Russian Federation, contract № 02.A03.21.0011.

*Received October 22, 2017, published March 13, 2018.*

The main result of the paper (see Theorem 1) states that every resolvably measurable mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of a first-countable perfectly paracompact space  $X$  to a regular space  $Y$  is piecewise continuous. For mappings on completely Baire spaces this statement can be reversed, see Theorem 2. Notice that under the Martin Axiom, T. Banach and B. Bokalo [1, Example 9.3] joint with L. Zdomskyy constructed a  $\Delta_2^0$ -measurable mapping between metrizable separable spaces which is not piecewise continuous.

Lemma 6 gives an internal characterization of completely Baire space via comparison of  $\Delta_2^0$ -sets and resolvable ones. In fact, this is a generalization of the classical Hurewicz's theorem [6] about embedding of the space of rational numbers into metrizable spaces.

Theorem 3 shows that in the study of piecewise continuous mappings defined on perfectly paracompact completely Baire spaces it suffices to consider separable subspaces.

**Notation.** For all undefined terms, see [4].

A space  $X$  is called *perfectly paracompact* if  $X$  is paracompact and each closed subset of  $X$  is of type  $G_\delta$  in  $X$ .

A subset  $E$  of a space  $X$  is *resolvable* if it can be represented as

$$E = (F_1 \setminus F_2) \cup (F_3 \setminus F_4) \cup \dots \cup (F_\xi \setminus F_{\xi+1}) \cup \dots,$$

where  $\langle F_\xi \rangle$  forms a decreasing transfinite sequence of closed sets in  $X$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(X)$  the family of  $F_\sigma$ -sets in  $X$ . Let  $\Delta_2^0(X)$  be the family of  $\Delta_2^0$ -sets in  $X$ , i.e., sets that are both  $F_\sigma$  and  $G_\delta$ .

A mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be

- (1) *resolvably measurable* if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is a resolvable subset of  $X$  for every open set  $U \subset Y$ ,
- (2)  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -*measurable* if  $f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma(X)$  for every open set  $U \subset Y$ ,
- (3)  $\Delta_2^0$ -*measurable* if  $f^{-1}(U) \in \Delta_2^0(X)$  for every open set  $U \subset Y$ ,
- (4) *piecewise continuous* if  $X$  can be covered by a sequence  $X_0, X_1, \dots$  of closed sets such that the restriction  $f|X_n$  is continuous for every  $n \in \omega$ .

A simple example shows that there exists a piecewise continuous mapping which is not resolvably measurable.

**Example 1.** Let  $f: \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow D$  be a one-to-one mapping of the space  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers onto the countable discrete space  $D$ . Clearly,  $f$  is piecewise continuous. Take a set  $A \subset \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $A$  and  $\mathbb{Q} \setminus A$  are both dense in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $A$  is a non-resolvable subset of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The set  $f(A)$  is open in  $D$ . Since  $A = f^{-1}(f(A))$ , the mapping  $f$  is not resolvably measurable.

To prove Theorem 1, we shall slightly modify the technique due to Kačena, Motto Ros, and Semmes [7]. So, the ideas from [7] are used heavily.

The closure of a set  $A \subset X$  is denoted by  $\overline{A}$ . Given a mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , let us denote by  $\mathcal{I}_f$  the family of all subsets  $A \subset X$  for which there is a set  $F \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma(X)$  such that  $A \subset F$  and the restriction  $f|F$  is piecewise continuous. In particular,  $f$  is piecewise continuous if and only if  $X \in \mathcal{I}_f$ .

The sets  $A, B \subset Y$  are *strongly disjoint* if  $\overline{A} \cap \overline{B} = \emptyset$ .

Let  $x \in X$ ,  $X' \subset X$ , and  $A \subset Y$ . Define  $A^f = f^{-1}(Y \setminus \overline{A})$ . The pair  $(x, X')$  is said to be *f-irreducible outside A* if for every neighborhood  $V \subset X$  of  $x$  we have  $A^f \cap X' \cap V \notin \mathcal{I}_f$ . Otherwise we say that  $(x, X')$  is *f-reducible outside A*, i.e., there

exist a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  and a set  $F \in \overline{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(X)}$  such that  $A^f \cap X' \cap V \subset F$  and  $f|_F$  is piecewise continuous. Clearly,  $x \in A^f \cap X'$  if  $(x, X')$  is  $f$ -irreducible outside  $A$ . One can check that if a pair  $(x, X')$  is  $f$ -irreducible outside  $A$  and  $A' \subset A$ , then  $(x, X')$  is also  $f$ -irreducible outside  $A'$ .

The following lemma shows that the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}_f$  is locally generated.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping of a perfectly paracompact space  $X$  to a space  $Y$ . Given  $X' \subset X$ , let  $G = \bigcup\{U \text{ is open in } X: U \cap X' \in \mathcal{I}_f\}$ . Then  $X' \cap G \in \mathcal{I}_f$ .*

*Proof.* Denote by  $\mathcal{U}$  the family  $\{U \text{ is open in } X: U \cap X' \in \mathcal{I}_f\}$ . For every  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  choose a sequence of closed sets  $F_n(U)$  such that  $U \cap X' \subset \bigcup\{F_n(U): n \in \omega\}$  and all restrictions  $f|_{F_n(U)}$  are continuous. Since  $X$  is a perfectly paracompact space, we have  $G = \bigcup\{G_k: k \in \omega\}$ , where each  $G_k$  is closed in  $X$ . By the Michael theorem (see [4, Theorem 5.1.28]),  $G$  is paracompact itself. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a locally finite open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . For every  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  fix  $U_V \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $V \subset U_V$ . Define  $F_n^k = \bigcup\{\bar{V} \cap F_n(U_V) \cap G_k: V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ . The local finiteness of the family  $\mathcal{V}$  implies that all  $F_n^k$  are closed in  $X$  and all restrictions  $f|_{F_n^k}$  are continuous. Since  $X' \cap G \subset \bigcup\{F_n^k: k \in \omega, n \in \omega\}$ , we obtain  $X' \cap G \in \mathcal{I}_f$ .  $\square$

The following lemma is a slight modification of [7, Lemma 3]; in [7] it was proved for a metrizable space  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -measurable mapping. Let  $X'$  be a subset of a perfectly paracompact space  $X$  and  $A$  be a subset of a regular space  $Y$  such that  $X' \subset A^f$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $X' \notin \mathcal{I}_f$ ,
- (2) *there exist a point  $x \in \overline{X'} \cap A^f$  and an open set  $U \subset Y$  strongly disjoint from  $A$  such that  $f(x) \in U$  and the pair  $(x, X')$  is  $f$ -irreducible outside  $U$ .*

*Proof.* (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): If  $(x, X')$  is  $f$ -irreducible outside  $U$ , then  $U^f \cap X' \cap X \notin \mathcal{I}_f$ . Hence,  $X' \notin \mathcal{I}_f$ .

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Denote by  $G$  the open set  $\bigcup\{O \text{ is open in } X: O \cap X' \in \mathcal{I}_f\}$ . By Lemma 1 we have  $X' \cap G \in \mathcal{I}_f$ .

Assume toward a contradiction that (ii) does not hold, i.e., for every  $x \in \overline{X'} \cap A^f$  and every open set  $U \subset Y$  such that  $f(x) \in U$  and  $\overline{U} \cap \overline{A} = \emptyset$  we have that  $(x, X')$  is  $f$ -reducible outside  $U$ .

The intersection  $Z = A^f \cap (\overline{X'} \setminus G)$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $X$ . We claim that the restriction  $f|_Z$  is continuous. Suppose otherwise, so that there are  $x \in Z$  and an open set  $U \subset Y$  such that  $f(x) \in U$  and there is no neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  with  $f(V \cap Z) \subset \overline{U}$ . Because  $f(x) \notin \overline{A}$  by the assumption, we can assume that  $\overline{U} \cap \overline{A} = \emptyset$ . Fix a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  satisfying  $U^f \cap X' \cap V \in \mathcal{I}_f$ . By our hypothesis there is  $x' \in V \cap Z$  such that  $f(x') \notin \overline{U} \cup \overline{A}$ . Using regularity of  $Y$ , we can find a neighborhood  $U'$  of  $f(x')$  which is strongly disjoint from  $U$  and  $A$ . Let now  $V'$  be a neighborhood of  $x'$  given by the failure of (ii), i.e.  $(U')^f \cap X' \cap V' \in \mathcal{I}_f$ . Since  $\overline{U} \cap \overline{U'} = \emptyset$ , we have  $X' \cap V \cap V' \subset U^f \cup (U')^f$ . Therefore  $X' \cap V \cap V' \in \mathcal{I}_f$ . In other words,  $X' \cap V \cap V' \subset X' \cap G$ . But this implies  $x' \notin Z$ , a contradiction. Thus  $f|_Z$  is continuous. Hence  $X' \cap Z \in \mathcal{I}_f$ .

On the whole, we get  $X' = (X' \cap Z) \cup (X' \cap G) \in \mathcal{I}_f$ , a contradiction with (i).  $\square$

**Lemma 3** ([7]). *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping of a space  $X$  to a space  $Y$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $X' \subset X$ ,  $A \subseteq Y$ , and let  $U_0, \dots, U_k$  be a sequence of pairwise strongly disjoint open subsets of  $Y$ . If  $(x, X')$  is  $f$ -irreducible outside  $A$ , then there is at most one  $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$  such that  $(x, X')$  is  $f$ -reducible outside  $A \cup U_i$ .*

For the sake of completeness, we reproduce the concise proof of Lemma 3 from [7].

*Proof.* Assume that there are two indices  $i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ , such that  $(x, X')$  is  $f$ -reducible outside both  $A \cup U_i$  and  $A \cup U_j$ . Then there are neighbourhoods  $V_i$  and  $V_j$  of  $x$  such that  $(A \cup U_i)^f \cap X' \cap V_i \in \mathcal{I}_f$  and  $(A \cup U_j)^f \cap X' \cap V_j \in \mathcal{I}_f$ . Since  $U_i$  and  $U_j$  are strongly disjoint, this implies that

$$A^f \cap X' \cap V_i \cap V_j \in \mathcal{I}_f,$$

and thus  $V_i \cap V_j$  contradicts the fact that  $(x, X')$  is  $f$ -irreducible outside  $A$ . □

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $X$  be a first-countable perfectly paracompact space and  $Y$  be a regular space. Suppose  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -measurable mapping which is not piecewise continuous.*

*Then there exist a countable subset  $Q = \{q_n: n \in \omega\}$  of  $X$  and a sequence  $\langle U_n: n \in \omega \rangle$  of disjoint open sets in  $Y$  such that*

- (1)  $f(q_n) \in U_n$  for every  $n \in \omega$ ,
- (2)  $Q$  is homeomorphic to the space of rational numbers,
- (3) the restriction  $f|_Q$  is a bijection.

*Proof.* Denote by  $2^{<\omega}$  the set of all binary sequences of finite length. The construction will be carried out by induction with respect to the order  $\preceq$  on  $2^{<\omega}$  defined by

$$s \preceq t \iff \text{length}(s) < \text{length}(t) \vee (\text{length}(s) = \text{length}(t) \wedge s \leq_{\text{lex}} t),$$

where  $\leq_{\text{lex}}$  is the usual lexicographical order on  $2^{\text{length}(s)}$ . We write  $s \prec t$  if  $s \preceq t$  and  $s \neq t$ .

The map  $v$  assigns to each  $t \in 2^{<\omega}$  the length of a string of zeros at the end of  $t$ ; for example,  $v(10100) = 2$ .

We will construct a sequence  $\langle x_s: s \in 2^{<\omega} \rangle$  of points of  $X$ , a base  $\langle W_n^s: n \in \omega \rangle$  at the point  $x_s$ , a sequence  $\langle V_s: s \in 2^{<\omega} \rangle$  of subsets of  $X$ , and a sequence  $\langle U_s: s \in 2^{<\omega} \rangle$  of open subsets of  $Y$  such that for every  $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ :

- (1)  $\overline{W_{n+1}^s} \subset W_n^s$  and  $\overline{W_0^{s1}} \subset W_{v(s)}^s \setminus \overline{W_{1+v(s)}^s}$  for each  $n \in \omega$ ,
- (2)  $x_s \in \overline{V_s} \subset W_0^s$ ,
- (3) if  $t \prec s$  then  $V_s \subset V_t$ ,
- (4) if  $s = t0$  then  $x_s = x_t$  and  $U_s = U_t$ ,
- (5)  $f(x_s) \in U_s$ ,
- (6) if the last digit of  $s$  is 1 then  $f(\overline{V_s}) \cap \overline{\bigcup_{r \prec s} U_r} = \emptyset$ ,
- (7)  $(x_t, V_t)$  is  $f$ -irreducible outside  $A$  for every  $t \preceq s$ , where  $A = \bigcup_{r \preceq s} U_r$ ,
- (8) the family  $\{V_t: t \in 2^n\}$  is pairwise strongly disjoint for every  $n \in \omega$ ,
- (9) the family  $\{U_t: t \preceq s \wedge (\text{the last digit of } t \text{ is } 1) \text{ or } t = \emptyset\}$  is pairwise strongly disjoint,
- (10)  $\overline{V_{s0}} \subset W_{1+v(s)}^s$  and  $\overline{V_{s1}} \subset W_{v(s)}^s \setminus \overline{W_{1+v(s)}^s}$ .

For the base step of the induction, let  $x$  and  $U$  be given as in Lemma 2 applied to  $X' = X$  and  $A = \emptyset$ . Then put  $x_\emptyset = x$  and  $U_\emptyset = U$ . Using regularity of  $X$ , find a base  $\langle W_n^\emptyset: n \in \omega \rangle$  at the point  $x_\emptyset$  satisfying condition (1). Define  $V_\emptyset = W_1^\emptyset$ .

Assume that  $x_t, V_t,$  and  $U_t$  have been constructed for any  $t \preceq s$ .

Let  $A = \bigcup\{U_t : t \prec s^0\}$  and  $O = Y \setminus \overline{A}$ . Notice that  $f^{-1}(O) = A^f$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $X$ . By the inductive hypothesis, condition (7) says that  $(x_s, V_s)$  is  $f$ -irreducible outside  $A$ . Then

$$f^{-1}(O) \cap V_s \cap W_{v(s)}^s \notin \mathcal{I}_f.$$

Since  $X$  is perfectly paracompact, every set  $W_n^s \setminus \overline{W_{n+1}^s}$  is  $F_\sigma$  in  $X$ . Now we can find some  $k > 0$  with

$$f^{-1}(O) \cap V_s \cap \left( W_{v(s)}^s \setminus \overline{W_{k+v(s)}^s} \right) \notin \mathcal{I}_f.$$

To simplify notation, we can assume that  $k = 1$ . Since  $f$  is  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -measurable, there exists a set  $C$  closed in  $X$  such that

$$C \subset f^{-1}(O) \cap \left( W_{v(s)}^s \setminus \overline{W_{1+v(s)}^s} \right)$$

and  $X' = C \cap V_s \notin \mathcal{I}_f$ .

Put  $x_{s^0} = x_s, U_{s^0} = U_s, W_n^{s^0} = W_{n+1}^s,$  and  $V_{s^0} = V_s \cap W_1^{s^0}$ .

**Claim.** There are  $x_{s^1} \in \overline{X'}$  and  $U_{s^1} \subset Y$  such that  $f(x_{s^1}) \in U_{s^1}, U_{s^1}$  is open and strongly disjoint from  $A, (x_t, V_t)$  is  $f$ -irreducible outside  $A \cup U_{s^1}$  for every  $t \prec s^1$  and  $(x_{s^1}, X')$  is  $f$ -irreducible outside  $A \cup U_{s^1}$ .

**PROOF OF THE CLAIM.** Let  $k = |\{t \in 2^{<\omega} : t \prec s^1\}|$ . Using Lemma 2, for  $j = 0, \dots, k$  recursively construct  $x_j$  and  $U_j$  such that  $f(x_j) \in U_j, U_j$  is open and strongly disjoint from  $A \cup U_{<j}$  (where  $U_{<j} = \emptyset$  if  $j = 0$  and  $U_{<j} = \bigcup\{U_i : i < j\}$  otherwise), and  $(x_j, X' \cap (U_{<j})^f)$  is  $f$ -irreducible outside  $U_j$ . According to Lemma 3, for each  $t \prec s^1$  there is at most one  $j_t \in \{0, \dots, k\}$  such that  $(x_t, V_t)$  is  $f$ -reducible outside  $A \cup U_{j_t}$ . The pigeonhole principle implies that the claim is satisfied with  $x_{s^1} = x_{j^*}$  and  $U_{s^1} = U_{j^*}$  for some  $j^* \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ .  $\Delta$

Choose a base  $\langle W_n^{s^1} : n \in \omega \rangle$  at the point  $x_{s^1}$  such that  $\overline{W_0^{s^1}} \subset W_{v(s)}^s \setminus \overline{W_{1+v(s)}^s}$  and  $\overline{W_{n+1}^{s^1}} \subset W_n^{s^1}$ . Finally, set  $V_{s^1} = X' \cap W_1^{s^1}$ . Obviously,  $V_{s^1} \subset V_s$ . Since  $V_{s^1} \subset C$ , we obtain condition (6).

By construction,  $\overline{V_{s^0}} \subset W_{1+v(s)}^s$  and  $\overline{V_{s^1}} \subset W_{v(s)}^s \setminus \overline{W_{1+v(s)}^s}$ . Then  $\overline{V_{s^0}} \cap \overline{V_{s^1}} = \emptyset$ . Together with (3), this implies condition (8). One can check that all the conditions (1)–(10) are satisfied.

The set  $Q = \{x_s : s \in 2^{<\omega}\}$  is countable and has no isolated points by (1) and (2). Since  $X$  is first-countable, the Urysohn theorem [4, Theorem 4.2.9] implies that  $Q$  is metrizable. According to the Sierpiński theorem (see [4, Exercise 6.2.A]),  $Q$  is homeomorphic to the space of rational numbers.

Denote by  $J$  the index set  $\{s \in 2^{<\omega} : \text{the last digit of } s \text{ is } 1 \text{ or } s = \emptyset\}$ . Condition (4) implies that  $Q = \{x_s : s \in J\}$ . Given two distinct points  $x_s, x_t \in Q$  with  $s, t \in J$ , we have  $t \neq s$ . By (9),  $U_t \cap U_s = \emptyset$ . Since  $f(x_t) \in U_t$  and  $f(x_s) \in U_s$ , we conclude that the restriction  $f|_Q$  is a bijection.

It remains to number the distinct elements of  $Q$  as  $\{q_n : n \in \omega\}$  and elements of  $\langle U_s : s \in J \rangle$  as  $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  by the rule  $f(q_n) \in U_n$ .  $\square$

We are now ready to give the main result of the paper.

**Theorem 1.** *Every resolvably measurable mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of a first-countable perfectly paracompact space  $X$  to a regular space  $Y$  is piecewise continuous.*

*Proof.* Suppose towards a contradiction that there is a resolvably measurable mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  which is not piecewise continuous. By Lemma 5 (see below), every resolvable subset of  $X$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $X$ . Hence, the mapping  $f$  is  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -measurable.

Using Lemma 4, we can find a set  $Q \subset X$  such that  $Q$  is homeomorphic to the space of rational numbers, the restriction  $f|_Q$  is a bijection, and  $f(Q)$  is relatively discrete. Since  $f$  is a resolvably measurable mapping,  $f|_Q$  is the same. However, the restriction  $f|_Q$  fails to be resolvably measurable as shown by Example 1.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a bijection between first-countable perfectly paracompact spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  such that  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are both resolvably measurable mappings. Then:*

- (1) *there is a cover  $\{X_n: n \in \omega\}$  of  $X$  by closed sets and a cover  $\{Y_n: n \in \omega\}$  of  $Y$  by closed sets such that for every  $n \in \omega$  the restriction  $f|_{X_n}$  is a homeomorphism of  $X_n$  onto  $Y_n$ ,*
- (2)  $\dim X = \dim Y$ ,
- (3)  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact if and only if so is the space  $Y$ .

*Proof.* (1) Theorem 1 implies that  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are both piecewise continuous. Then  $X = \bigcup\{A_i: i \in \omega\}$ , where each  $A_i$  is closed in  $X$  and each restriction  $f|_{A_i}$  is continuous. Each set  $f(A_i)$  is  $F_\sigma$  in  $Y$  because  $f^{-1}$  is  $\Delta_2^0$ -measurable. Using piecewise continuity of  $f^{-1}$ , for every  $i \in \omega$  we can find a sequence  $\langle B_{ij}: j \in \omega \rangle$  of closed sets in  $Y$  such that  $f(A_i) = \bigcup\{B_{ij}: j \in \omega\}$  and each restriction  $f^{-1}|_{B_{ij}}$  is continuous. From continuity of  $f|_{A_i}$  it follows that each  $f^{-1}(B_{ij})$  is closed in  $A_i$ ; hence, in  $X$ . One can readily verify that  $X = \bigcup\{f^{-1}(B_{ij}): i \in \omega, j \in \omega\}$ . Clearly, each restriction  $f|_{f^{-1}(B_{ij})}$  is a homeomorphism. It remains to enumerate nonempty sets  $\{f^{-1}(B_{ij}): i \in \omega, j \in \omega\}$  as  $\{X_n: n \in \omega\}$  and set  $Y_n = f(X_n)$ .

(2) The equality  $\dim X = \dim Y$  follows from part (1) and the countable sum theorem [4, Theorem 7.2.1].

(3) is an immediate consequence of (1).  $\square$

A topological space  $X$  is called a *Baire space* if the intersection of countably many dense open sets in  $X$  is dense; or equivalently every non-empty open set in  $X$  is not of the first category. A space  $X$  is *completely Baire* if every closed subspace of  $X$  is a Baire space.

Lemma 5 generalizes Theorem 5 of [8, p. 362] from metrizable spaces to perfectly paracompact ones. We prove Lemma 5 repeating almost word by word the proof of the last theorem. In completely metrizable spaces, resolvable sets coincide with  $\Delta_2^0$ -sets, see [8, p. 418]. Lemma 6 expands the class of spaces having such a property.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $E$  be a resolvable set in a perfectly paracompact space  $X$ . Then  $E \in \Delta_2^0(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $E = \bigcup\{F_\xi \setminus H_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$ , where  $F_\xi \supset H_\xi \supset F_\zeta$  for every  $\xi < \zeta$  and all sets  $F_\xi, H_\xi$  are closed in  $X$ .

Firstly, we shall show that  $E$  is locally  $\Delta_2^0$  at each point, that is, every point  $x \in E$  has a neighborhood  $U$  such that  $U \cap E \in \Delta_2^0(X)$ .

Clearly, this is true for  $\alpha = 1$ . Assume that the statement is true for each  $\beta < \alpha$ . Given a point  $x \in E$ , fix  $\beta < \alpha$  with  $x \in F_\beta \setminus H_\beta$ . The set  $U = X \setminus H_\beta$  is open in  $X$  and  $x \in U$ . For each  $\zeta > \beta$  we have  $F_\zeta \subset H_\beta$ , whence  $F_\zeta \cap U = \emptyset$  and  $U \cap \bigcup\{F_\zeta \setminus H_\zeta: \zeta > \beta\} = \emptyset$ .

Therefore

$$U \cap E = [U \cap (F_\beta \setminus H_\beta)] \cup [U \cap \bigcup_{\xi < \beta} (F_\xi \setminus H_\xi)].$$

By hypothesis,  $\bigcup_{\xi < \beta} (F_\xi \setminus H_\xi)$  is a  $\Delta_2^0$ -set; hence so is  $U \cap E$ .

According to [12, Lemma 4], every locally  $\Delta_2^0$ -set is a  $\Delta_2^0$ -set.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** *For a first-countable perfectly paracompact space  $X$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *no closed subspace of  $X$  is homeomorphic to the space  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers,*
- (2)  *$X$  is a completely Baire space,*
- (3) *the family  $\Delta_2^0(X)$  coincides with the family of resolvable sets in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Suppose towards a contradiction that  $X$  is not a completely Baire space. Then there is a closed set  $F \subset X$  which is not Baire. Hence we can find a nonempty open (in  $F$ ) set  $U \subset F$  of the first category in  $F$ . The closure  $\bar{U}$  is of the first category on itself. According to [9, Theorem 2] (see also [3])  $\bar{U}$  contains a closed copy of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , a contradiction.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): By Lemma 5, every resolvable set in  $X$  is a  $\Delta_2^0$ -set.

Conversely, to obtain a contradiction, we suppose that there is a non-resolvable set  $E \in \Delta_2^0(X)$ . By [8, p. 99], we have  $F = \overline{F \cap E} = \overline{F} \setminus \overline{E}$  for some nonempty closed set  $F$ . Then  $F \cap E$  and  $F \setminus E$  are both  $F_\sigma$ -sets of the first category on  $F$ . Hence,  $F = (F \cap E) \cup (F \setminus E)$  is a set of the first category. On the other hand,  $F$  is a Baire space as a closed subset of  $X$ , a contradiction.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Striving for a contradiction, suppose that  $X$  contains a closed set  $F$  which is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Take a set  $A \subset F$  such that  $A$  and  $F \setminus A$  are both dense in  $F$ . Then  $A$  is a non-resolvable subset of  $F$  (and of  $X$ ). Clearly,  $A \in \Delta_2^0(F)$ ; hence,  $A \in \Delta_2^0(X)$  because  $F$  is closed in  $X$ . A contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping of a first-countable perfectly paracompact, completely Baire space  $X$  to a regular space  $Y$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$f$  is resolvably measurable,*
- (2)  *$f$  is piecewise continuous,*
- (3)  *$f$  is  $\Delta_2^0$ -measurable.*

*Proof.* The implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) follows from Theorem 1.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): By the definition, there are closed sets  $X_n \subset X$ ,  $n \in \omega$ , such that  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} X_n = X$  and each restriction  $f|_{X_n}$  is continuous. Then

$$f^{-1}(A) = \bigcup \{X_n \cap f^{-1}(A) : n \in \omega\}$$

is an  $F_\sigma$ -set in a perfectly paracompact space  $X$  for every open (or closed) set  $A \subset Y$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(A) \in \Delta_2^0(X)$ . Thus,  $f$  is resolvably measurable.

Lemma 6 implies (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1).  $\square$

**Remark.** The equivalence of conditions (2) and (3) in Theorem 2 can be obtained by combining Theorem 8.1 and Corollary 8.2 from the Banach-Bokalo paper [1].

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be an  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -measurable mapping of a first-countable perfectly paracompact, completely Baire space  $X$  to a regular space  $Y$ . Then  $f$  is*

piecewise continuous if and only if the restriction  $f|K$  is piecewise continuous for any separable closed subset  $K$  of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Clearly, if  $f$  is piecewise continuous, then the restriction  $f|K$  is piecewise continuous for every closed subset  $K$  of  $X$ .

Let the restriction  $f|K$  be piecewise continuous for any separable closed subset  $K \subset X$ . Suppose towards a contradiction that  $f$  is not piecewise continuous. By Lemma 4, there exist a set  $Q = \{q_n : n \in \omega\}$  and a sequence  $\langle U_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  of disjoint open sets in  $Y$  such that  $f(q_n) \in U_n$  for every  $n \in \omega$  and  $Q$  is homeomorphic to the space of rational numbers. Consider the closure  $K$  of  $Q$ . Because  $f$  is by assumption piecewise continuous, there are closed sets  $K_n \subset X$ ,  $n \in \omega$ , such that  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} K_n = K$  and every  $f|K_n$  is continuous. Since  $K$  is a Baire space, there exists a  $K_j$  with the nonempty interior  $V_j$  (in  $K$ ). Then  $V_j \cap Q$  is homeomorphic to the space of rational numbers. Clearly, the restriction  $f|V_j \cap Q$  is continuous. Take a point  $q \in V_j \cap Q$ . Fix a neighbourhood  $U_q \subset Y$  of  $f(q)$  such that  $U_q \cap f(Q) = f(q)$ . From continuity of  $f|V_j \cap Q$  it follows that there is a neighbourhood  $V \subset V_j$  (in  $K$ ) of  $q$  such that  $f(V) \subset U_q$ . Then  $V \cap Q = \{q\}$ , i.e.,  $q$  is an isolated point of  $Q$ . This contradicts the fact that the set  $V_j \cap Q$  has no isolated points.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be an  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -measurable mapping of a first-countable perfectly paracompact, completely Baire space  $X$  to a regular space  $Y$ . Then precisely one of the following holds:*

- (1)  $f$  is piecewise continuous,
- (2) there exists a separable closed set  $K \subset X$  such that the restriction  $f|K$  is not  $\Delta_2^0$ -measurable.

*Proof.* Suppose  $f$  is not piecewise continuous. By Theorem 3, there exists a separable closed set  $K \subset X$  such that the restriction  $f|K$  is not piecewise continuous. Theorem 2 implies that the restriction  $f|K$  is not  $\Delta_2^0$ -measurable.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank the referee for helpful comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Banach and B. Bokalo, *On scatteredly continuous maps between topological spaces*, Topology Appl., **157**:1 (2010), 108–122. MR2556085
- [2] T. Banach and B. Bokalo, *Weakly discontinuous and resolvable functions between topological spaces*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat., **46**:1 (2017), 103–110. MR3585618
- [3] E.K. van Douwen, *Closed copies of rationals*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin., **28**:1 (1987), 137–139. MR0889775
- [4] R. Engelking, *General topology*, PWN, Warszawa, 1977. MR0500780
- [5] J.E. Jayne and C.A. Rogers, *First level Borel functions and isomorphisms*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **61** (1982), 177–205. MR0673304
- [6] W. Hurewicz, *Relativ perfekte Teile von Punktmengen und Mengen (A)*, Fund. Math., **12** (1928), 78–109. JFM 54.0097.06
- [7] M. Kačena, L. Motto Ros, and B. Semmes, *Some observations on “A new proof of a theorem of Jayne and Rogers”*, Real Analysis Exchange, **38**:1 (2012/2013), 121–132. MR3083201
- [8] K. Kuratowski, *Topology, Vol. 1*, PWN, Warszawa, 1966. MR0217751
- [9] S.V. Medvedev, *On a problem for spaces of the first category*, Vestn. Mosk. Univ., Ser. I, Mat. Mekh., **41**:2, (1986), 84–86 (in Russian). English transl.: Mosc. Univ. Math. Bull., **41**:2 (1986), 62–65. Zbl 0614.54027
- [10] A. Ostrovsky, *Luzin’s topological problem*, Topology Appl., **230** (2017), 45–50. MR3702753



- [11] S. Solecki, *Decomposing Borel sets and functions and the structure of Baire class 1 functions*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **11**:3 (1998), 521–550. MR1606843
- [12] A.H. Stone, *Kernel construction and Borel sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **107** (1963), 58–70. MR0151935
- [13] V.A. Vinokurov, *Strong regularizability of discontinuous functions*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **281**:2 (1985), 265–269 (in Russian). MR0785271

SERGEY VASILJEVICH MEDVEDEV

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND METHODS OF TEACHING MATHEMATICS,

SOUTH URAL STATE UNIVERSITY, PR. LENINA, 76,

454080, CHELYABINSK, RUSSIA.

KRASOVSKII INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS OF UB RAS, RUSSIA.

*E-mail address:* `medvedevsv@susu.ru`