

# СИБИРСКИЕ ЭЛЕКТРОННЫЕ МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИЕ ИЗВЕСТИЯ

Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports

<http://semr.math.nsc.ru>

Том 15, стр. 246–257 (2018)

DOI 10.17377/semi.2018.15.023

УДК 517.54

MSC 30C65

## THE COEFFICIENT OF QUASIMÖBIUSNESS IN PTOLEMAIC SPACES

V.V. ASEEV

**ABSTRACT.** In ptolemaic spaces the class of  $\eta$ -quasimöbius mappings  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  with control function  $\eta(t) = C \max\{t^\alpha, t^{1/\alpha}\}$  may be completely characterized by the inequality  $K^{-1} \leq (1 + \log P(fT))/(1 + \log P(T)) \leq K$  for all tetrads  $T \subset X$  where  $P(T)$  denotes the ptolemaic characteristic of a tetrad. The number  $K$  has properties quite similar to those of coefficients of quasiconformality, so the concept of  $K$ -quasimöbius mapping may be introduced. In particular, the stability theorem is proved for  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -quasimöbius mappings in  $\bar{R}^n$ .

**Keywords:** ptolemaic space, Möbius mapping, quasimöbius mapping, (power) quasimöbius mapping, quasisymmetric mapping, stability theorem.

### 1. TETRADS

The *tetrad* in the semimetric space  $(X, \rho)$  is a quadruple  $T = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$  of mutually distinct points. The *absolute cross-ratio* of a tetrad  $T$  is

$$R(T) = R(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) := \frac{\rho(x_1, x_2)\rho(x_3, x_4)}{\rho(x_1, x_3)\rho(x_2, x_4)}. \quad (1.1)$$

The semimetric space  $(X, \rho)$  is called *ptolemaic* if the *Ptolemy inequality*

$$\rho(x_1, x_2)\rho(x_3, x_4) + \rho(x_1, x_4)\rho(x_2, x_3) \geq \rho(x_1, x_3)\rho(x_2, x_4) \quad (1.2)$$

ASEEV, V.V., THE COEFFICIENT OF QUASIMÖBIUSNESS IN PTOLEMAIC SPACES.

© 2018 ASEEV V.V.

The work is supported by the program of fundamental scientific researches of the SB RAS No. 1.1.2., project No. 0314-2016-0007.

Received June, 28, 2017, published March, 16, 2018.

holds for any quadruple  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$  of point in  $X$ . *Ptolemaic characteristic* of a tetrad in  $(X, \rho)$  is

$$P(T) = P(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) := \frac{\rho(x_1, x_2)\rho(x_3, x_4) + \rho(x_1, x_4)\rho(x_2, x_3)}{\rho(x_1, x_3)\rho(x_2, x_4)}. \quad (1.3)$$

The inequality  $P(T) \geq 1$  is true for any tetrad  $T$  in ptolemaic space  $(X, \rho)$ .

For some general properties of ptolemaic spaces see [1, §32, pp.78-80].

## 2. QUASIMÖBIUS MAPPINGS

Let  $\eta : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a homeo-morphism of  $[0, +\infty) \subset \mathbb{R}^1$  onto itself. An injective mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in semimetric spaces  $(X, \rho)$ ,  $(Y, \sigma)$  is called  $\eta$ -*quasimöbius* if the estimate  $R(fT) \leq \eta(R(T))$  holds for any tetrad  $T$  in  $X$ . In that case we write  $f \in \eta$ -QM and call  $\eta$  the *control function* for  $f$ . For the definition and basic properties of quasimöbius mappings, as well as for their connections with quasiconformal mappings, see [2]. In particular,  $\mu : X \rightarrow Y$  is a *möbius* mapping if  $R(fT) = R(T)$  for each tetrad  $T$  in  $X$ .

The case where the control function  $\eta(t)$  is of the form

$$\eta(t) = C \cdot \max\{t^{1/\alpha}, t^\alpha\}, \text{ with } C \geq 1, \alpha \geq 1 \quad (2.1)$$

defines a special important subclass of quasimöbius mappings, so called (*power*) *quasimöbius* mappings. The function (2.1) will be called (*power*) *control function*.

The inverse function to (2.1) is

$$\eta^{-1}(t) = \min\{(t/C)^\alpha, (t/C)^{1/\alpha}\},$$

and

$$\tilde{\eta}(t) := \frac{1}{\eta(1/t)} = \frac{1}{C} \min\{t^\alpha, t^{1/\alpha}\}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\eta^*(t) := \frac{1}{\eta^{-1}(1/t)} = \max\{(Ct)^\alpha, (Ct)^{1/\alpha}\} \leq C^\alpha \max\{t^\alpha, t^{1/\alpha}\}. \quad (2.3)$$

For tetrads  $T = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$  and  $T' = (x_1, x_3, x_2, x_4)$  as well as for their images  $fT = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)$  and  $fT' = (y_1, y_3, y_2, y_4)$ , where  $y_j = f(x_j)$ , we have the equalities

$$R(T') = \frac{1}{R(T)}, \quad R(fT') = \frac{1}{R(fT)}.$$

If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\eta$ -quasimöbius then the estimate  $R(fT') \leq \eta(R(T'))$  holds, which is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{R(fT)} \leq \eta\left(\frac{1}{R(T)}\right).$$

That is the inequality

$$R(fT) \geq (\eta(1/R(T)))^{-1} = \tilde{\eta}(R(T))$$

holds for any tetrad  $T$  in  $X$ .

It follows that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  being  $\eta$ -quasimöbius with (*power*) control function (2.1) the two-sided estimate

$$\tilde{\eta}(R(T)) \leq R(fT) \leq \eta(R(T)) \quad (2.4)$$

holds for any tetrad  $T$  in  $X$ , and the mapping  $f^{-1} : f(X) \rightarrow X$  is also (*power*) quasimöbius with the (*power*) control function  $C^\alpha \max\{t^\alpha, t^{1/\alpha}\} = C^{\alpha-1}\eta(t)$ .

The notion of (power) control function was initially introduced in [3] for quasisymmetric mappings and then in [2] for quasimöbius mappings. The complete characterization for the class of all metric spaces where every quasisymmetric embedding has a power control function (2.1) was obtained in [4, Th. 6.21] in terms of upper sets introduced by D.A. Trotsenko. In particular, this class contains all uniformly perfect metric spaces.

### 3. DISTORTION OF PTOLEMAIC CHARACTERISTIC

As a special case of more general properties presented in [5, Propositions 3.3, 3.4] we state the following

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a (power) quasimöbius mapping in ptolemaic spaces  $(X, \rho), (Y, \sigma)$  with the (power) control function  $\eta(t)$  from (2.1). Then the following inequality*

$$\frac{1}{2^\alpha C} [P(T)]^{1/\alpha} \leq P(fT) \leq 2C [P(T)]^\alpha . \tag{3.1.1}$$

holds for every tetrad  $T$  in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Given a tetrad  $T = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$  in  $X$  we denote  $y_j = f(x_j)$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . Then  $\eta$ -quasimöbius property of  $f$  together with the ptolemaic condition  $P(T) \geq 1$  implies the right-hand inequality in (3.1.1):

$$P(fT) = \frac{\sigma(y_1, y_2) \cdot \sigma(y_3, y_4)}{\sigma(y_1, y_3) \cdot \sigma(y_2, y_4)} + \frac{\sigma(y_1, y_4) \cdot \sigma(y_2, y_3)}{\sigma(y_1, y_3) \cdot \sigma(y_2, y_4)} \leq 2\eta(P(T)) = 2C [P(T)]^\alpha .$$

One of items in the sum

$$\frac{\rho(x_1, x_2) \cdot \rho(x_3, x_4)}{\rho(x_1, x_3) \cdot \rho(x_2, x_4)} + \frac{\rho(x_1, x_4) \cdot \rho(x_2, x_3)}{\rho(x_1, x_3) \cdot \rho(x_2, x_4)} = P(T) \geq 1$$

must be  $\geq P(T)/2$ . So the left-hand inequality in (2.4) implies the estimate

$$P(fT) \geq \tilde{\eta} \left( \frac{\rho(x_1, x_2) \cdot \rho(x_3, x_4)}{\rho(x_1, x_3) \cdot \rho(x_2, x_4)} \right) + \tilde{\eta} \left( \frac{\rho(x_1, x_4) \cdot \rho(x_2, x_3)}{\rho(x_1, x_3) \cdot \rho(x_2, x_4)} \right) \geq \tilde{\eta} \left( \frac{P(T)}{2} \right) .$$

Then the expression (2.2) for  $\tilde{\eta}(t)$  together with the ptolemaic inequality  $P(T) \geq 1$  leads to left-hand part in the desired estimate (3.1.1):  $P(fT) \geq$

$$\frac{1}{C} \min \left\{ \left( \frac{P(T)}{2} \right)^\alpha, \left( \frac{P(T)}{2} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right\} \geq \frac{\min\{P(T)^\alpha, P(T)^{1/\alpha}\}}{2^\alpha C} = \frac{[P(T)]^{1/\alpha}}{2^\alpha C} .$$

□

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an injective mapping in ptolemaic spaces  $(X, \rho), (Y, \sigma)$ . Then*

(i)  *$f$  being a (power)  $\eta$ -quasimöbius with  $\eta(t) = C \cdot \max\{t^\alpha, t^{1/\alpha}\}$  the estimate*

$$\frac{1}{K} \leq \frac{1 + \log(P(fT))}{1 + \log(P(T))} \leq K := \alpha(1 + \log(2C^\alpha)) \tag{3.2.1}$$

*holds for any tetrad  $T$  in  $X$ ;*

(ii) *if the estimate*

$$\frac{1}{K} \leq \frac{1 + \log(P(fT))}{1 + \log(P(T))} \leq K := 1 + \varepsilon , \tag{3.2.3}$$

holds for any tetrad  $T$  in  $X$  then  $f$  is  $\eta$ -quasimöbius with (power) control function

$$\eta(t) = (9e^2)^\varepsilon \max\{t^{1+\varepsilon}, t^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}\}. \tag{3.2.4}$$

*Proof.* (i) Let  $T$  be a tetrad in  $X$ . Then by the right-hand of (3.1.1) we have

$$\log(P(fT)) \leq \log(2C) + \alpha \cdot \log(P(T)) ,$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 + \log(P(fT))}{1 + \log(P(T))} &\leq \frac{\log(2C)}{1 + \log(P(T))} + \frac{1 + \alpha \cdot \log(P(T))}{1 + \log(P(T))} \\ &\leq \log(2C) + \alpha \leq \alpha(1 + \log(2C)) \leq \alpha(1 + \log(2C^\alpha)) = K . \end{aligned}$$

Thus the right-hand inequality in (3.2.1) has been obtained.

The mapping  $f^{-1} : f(X) \rightarrow X$  is (power)  $\eta^*$ -quasimöbius with the (power) control function  $\eta^*(t) = C^\alpha \max\{t^\alpha, t^{1/\alpha}\}$  (see §2). Applying the right-hand inequality in (3.2.1) to the mapping  $f^{-1}$  with  $C^\alpha$  instead of  $C$  and to a tetrad  $fT$  in  $f(X)$  we obtain the inequality

$$\frac{1 + \log(P(T))}{1 + \log(P(fT))} \leq \alpha(1 + \log(2C^\alpha)) = K$$

which gives us the left-hand estimate in (3.2.1). The proof of (i) is complete.

(ii) It follows from (3.2.3) that

$$e^{\frac{1-K}{K}} [P(T)]^{\frac{1}{K}} \leq P(fT) \leq e^{K-1} [P(T)]^K$$

for any tetrad  $T$  in  $X$ . That is

$$e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} [P(T)]^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}} \leq P(fT) \leq e^\varepsilon [P(T)]^{1+\varepsilon} . \tag{3.2.5}$$

Given a tetrad  $T = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$  in  $X$  and it's image  $fT = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)$  in  $Y$  where  $y_j = f(x_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , we need in the estimate  $R(fT) \leq \eta(R(T))$ .

It has been proved in [5, Corollary 2.9] that any ptolemaic metric four-point set  $A$  may be embedded into the extended complex plane by möbius transformations which do not change the characteristics  $R$  and  $P$  of all tetrads in  $A$ . Then considering the restriction  $f|T$  we may assume without loss of generality that tetrads  $T$  and  $fT$  are in the extended complex plane, and  $T = (0, z, 1, \infty)$ ,  $fT = (0, w, 1, \infty)$ . The required estimate  $R(fT) \leq \eta(R(T))$  is equivalent to the inequality

$$|w| \leq \eta(|z|) . \tag{3.2.6}$$

For tetrads  $T$  and  $fT$  we have  $p_1 := P(T) = |z| + |1-z|$ ,  $q_1 := P(fT) = |w| + |1-w|$ . The right-hand estimate in (3.2.5) means that

$$q_1 \leq e^\varepsilon p_1^{1+\varepsilon} . \tag{3.2.6}$$

Considering the tetrad  $T' = (0, 1, z, \infty)$  in  $T$  we have

$$p_2 := P(T') = \frac{1 + |1-z|}{|z|} ; \quad q_2 := P(fT') = \frac{1 + |1-w|}{|w|} .$$

By the left-hand inequality in (3.2.5) we have

$$q_2 \geq e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} p_2^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}} . \tag{3.2.7}$$

Now the equality  $(1 + q_1)/(1 + q_2) = |w|$  together with (3.2.6)-(3.2.7) leads to the estimate

$$|w| = \frac{1 + q_1}{1 + q_2} \leq \frac{1 + e^\varepsilon p_1^{1+\varepsilon}}{1 + e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} p_2^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}} \leq \frac{e^\varepsilon p_1^\varepsilon + e^\varepsilon p_1^{1+\varepsilon}}{e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} p_2^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}} + p_2} e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} p_2^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1 + p_1}{1 + p_2} e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} p_2^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} e^\varepsilon p_1^\varepsilon = |z| e^{\varepsilon \frac{2+\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} (p_1 p_2)^\varepsilon p_2^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{1+\varepsilon}},$$

which means that

$$|w| \leq |z| e^{2\varepsilon} (p_1 p_2)^\varepsilon p_2^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{1+\varepsilon}}. \tag{3.2.8}$$

Case 1. Let  $|z| \geq 1$ . Then

$$p_1 p_2 = \frac{(|z| + |1 - z|)(1 + |1 - z|)}{|z|} \leq \frac{|z|(2 + |z|)}{|z|} \leq 3|z|$$

and

$$p_2^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{1+\varepsilon}} \leq 1.$$

So in this case we obtain the desired estimate

$$|w| \leq |z| e^{2\varepsilon} 3^\varepsilon |z|^\varepsilon < (9e^2)^\varepsilon |z|^{1+\varepsilon}. \tag{3.2.9}$$

Case 2. Let  $|z| \leq 1$ . Then

$$p_1 p_2 = \frac{(|z| + |1 - z|)(1 + |1 - z|)}{|z|} \leq \frac{(1 + |1 - z|)^2}{|z|} \leq \frac{(2 + |z|)^2}{|z|} \leq \frac{9}{|z|}$$

and

$$p_2^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{1+\varepsilon}} = \left( \frac{|z|}{1 + |1 - z|} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon^2}{1+\varepsilon}} \leq |z|^{\frac{\varepsilon^2}{1+\varepsilon}}.$$

So in this case we also obtain the desired estimate

$$|w| \leq (9e^2)^\varepsilon |z|^{1-\varepsilon+\frac{\varepsilon^2}{1+\varepsilon}} = (9e^2)^\varepsilon |z|^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}.$$

Thus

$$|w| \leq (9e^2)^\varepsilon \max\{|z|^{1+\varepsilon}, |z|^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}\} = \eta(|z|),$$

and (ii) has been proved. □

#### 4. COEFFICIENT OF QUASIMÖBIUSNESS

Theorem 1 makes it possible to measure the (power) quasimöbius property with just a one number instead of the control function and justifies the following concept.

**Definition 1.** Given  $K \geq 1$  the injective mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in ptolemaic spaces  $(X, \rho), (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be  $K$ -quasimöbius if the two-sided estimate

$$\frac{1}{K} \leq \frac{1 + \log(P(fT))}{1 + \log(P(T))} \leq K, \tag{4.1.1}$$

holds for any tetrad  $T$  in  $X$ . The minimal number  $K$  ensuring the inequality (4.1.1) for every tetrads in  $X$  may be regarded to as the coefficient of quasi-möbiusness of the mapping  $f$ , quite analogous to the notion of coefficient of quasiconformality.

This analogy appears in the following elementary basic properties.

**Proposition 1.** If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $K$ -quasimöbius then  $f^{-1} : f(X) \rightarrow X$  is also  $K$ -quasimöbius.

**Proposition 2.** *If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $K_1$ -quasimöbius and  $g : f(X) \rightarrow Z$  is  $K_2$ -quasimöbius then  $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$  will be  $K$ -quasimöbius with  $K = K_1K_2$ .*

**Proposition 3.** *Every 1-quasimöbius mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a möbius mapping.*

*Proof.* It follows from the Definition 1 that 1-quasimöbius mapping preserves the ptolemaic characteristic of tetrads. Then by [5, Theorem 2.3] it preserves the absolute cross-ratio for every tetrad in  $X$ . Thus  $f$  is a möbius mapping.  $\square$

The notion of the coefficient of quasimöbiusness allows to consider various extremal problems in the class of (power) quasimöbius mappings just similar to these presented in [6] for quasiconformal mappings. Moreover, if  $X$  and  $Y$  consist of the same finite number of points the mapping of  $X$  onto  $Y$  with minimal coefficient of quasimöbiusness may be found by computer calculation.

### 5. CONNECTION WITH $[s]$ -CHARACTERISTIC OF QUASIMÖBIUSNESS

In order to consider the problems of approximation and stability the following number characteristic  $[s]$  was first introduced in [7] for quasisymmetric and then in [2] for quasimöbius mappings.

**Definition 2.** *Let  $X, Y$  be semimetric spaces, and  $s \in [0, 1]$ . An  $\eta$ -quasimöbius (or  $\eta$ -quasisymmetric) mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called  $[s]$ -quasi-möbius (respectively,  $[s]$ -quasisymmetric) if  $\eta(t) - t \leq s$  whenever  $t \leq 1/s$ .*

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $s \in (0, 1]$ ,  $C \geq e$ ,*

$$\varepsilon \leq \frac{\log(1 + s^2)}{\log(C/s)}, \tag{5.2.1}$$

*and  $\eta(t) = C^\varepsilon \max\{t^{1+\varepsilon}, t^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}\}$ . Then  $\eta(t) - t \leq s$  whenever  $t \leq 1/s$ .*

*Proof.* In case  $1 \leq t \leq 1/s$  we have

$$t' - t \leq t[C^\varepsilon t^\varepsilon - 1] \leq \frac{1}{s}[(C/s)^\varepsilon - 1] \leq \frac{1}{s}[(1 + s^2) - 1] = s,$$

as desired.

In case  $t \in (0, 1]$  we have

$$t' - t \leq \varphi(t) := C^\varepsilon t^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}} - t.$$

Since the function  $\varphi(t)$  has unique point of extremum

$$t_0 = \left(\frac{C^\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} = \left[\frac{C}{(1 + \varepsilon)^{1/\varepsilon}}\right]^{1+\varepsilon} \geq \left[\frac{C}{e}\right]^{1+\varepsilon} > 1$$

it is increasing in  $[0, 1]$ , so that

$$t' - t \leq \varphi(1) = C^\varepsilon - 1 \leq (1 + s^2) - 1 \leq s,$$

as desired.  $\square$

Now we can specify the result in [8, Lemma 4.1] on  $[s]$ -characteristic of the inverse mapping.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $s \in (0, 1]$ . If the injective mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in ptolemaic spaces is  $K$ -quasimöbius with  $K = 1 + \varepsilon$  and*

$$\varepsilon \leq \frac{\log(1 + s^2)}{\log(9e^2/s)}, s \in (0, 1],$$

*then both mappings  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are  $[s]$ -quasimöbius in the sense of Definition 2.*

*Proof.* Since both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are  $K$ -quasimöbius (see Proposition 1), it follows from Theorem 1(ii) that they are  $\eta$ -quasimöbius with  $\eta(t) = C^\varepsilon \max\{t^{1+\varepsilon}, t^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}\}$  where  $C = 9e^2 > e$ . Then Proposition 4 means that both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are  $[s]$ -quasimöbius. □

### 6. QUASISYMMETRY IN CHORDAL METRIC

It is well known that quasi-möbius mapping in bounded metric spaces is quasisymmetric one.

**Lemma 2** ([2], Theorem 3.12). *Let  $(X, \rho)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be bounded metric spaces of diameters  $d(X)$  and  $d(Y)$  respectively. Let for a given  $\omega$ -quasimöbius mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  there exist points  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in X$  such that*

$$\rho(a_i, a_j) \geq \delta ; \sigma(f(a_i), f(a_j)) \geq \delta$$

*for every distinct  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Then  $f$  is  $\eta$ -quasisymmetric with the control function*

$$\eta(t) = \frac{2d(Y)}{\delta} \omega\left(\frac{2d(X)}{\delta} t\right). \tag{6.1.1}$$

*Proof.* Let be given mutually distinct points  $x, y, z \in X$ . By the triangle inequality in  $X$  and  $Y$  we can find an index  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  such that

$$\rho(x, a_j) \geq \delta/2 ; \sigma(f(z), f(a_j)) \geq \delta/2 .$$

Then the  $\omega$ -quasimöbius property for the tetrad  $T = (y, x, z, a_j)$  gives the desired estimate:

$$\frac{\delta}{2d(Y)} \frac{\sigma(f(x), f(y))}{\sigma(f(z), f(y))} \leq R(T) \leq \omega(R(fT)) \leq \omega\left(\frac{\rho(x, y)}{\rho(z, y)} \frac{2d(X)}{\delta}\right) .$$

□

In the special case where  $X, Y \subset \bar{R}^n$  are equipped with chordal metric and  $f$  is a (power) quasimöbius mapping we need in some more precise estimate for the control function  $\eta(t)$  of quasisymmetry.

The proof of the following lemma is based on elementary routine estimations and has been placed in Appendix at the end of the article.

**Lemma 3.** *Let the set  $A \subset \bar{R}^n$  to contain the points  $0, e_0, \infty$  where  $|e_0| = 1$  which are fixed points for an  $\omega$ -quasimöbius mapping  $f : A \rightarrow \bar{R}^n$  with the control function  $\omega(t) = C^\varepsilon \max\{t^{1+\varepsilon}, t^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}\}$ . Then for any  $x \in A$  in every one of the following two situations*

- (1)  $a = e_0$  and  $(|x| \geq 4C^2/\varepsilon$  or  $|x| \leq \varepsilon/(4C^2))$ ;
- (2)  $(a = \infty$  and  $0 \leq |x| \leq 4C^2/\varepsilon)$  or  $(a = 0$  and  $|x| \geq \varepsilon/(4C^2))$

the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{C_1^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}} \leq \frac{\sigma(f(a), a)}{\sigma(x, a)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}} \leq \frac{\sigma(f(x), a)}{\sigma(x, a)^{1+\varepsilon}} \leq C_1^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \tag{6.2.1}$$

are true with the constant  $C_1 = 16\sqrt{2}C^5e^2$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let the set  $A \subset \bar{R}^n$  contain the points  $0, e_0, \infty$  where  $|e_0| = 1$  which are fixed points for an  $\omega$ -quasimöbius mapping  $f : A \rightarrow \bar{R}^n$  with the control function  $\omega(t) = C^\varepsilon \max\{t^{1+\varepsilon}, t^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}\}$ . Then  $f$  is  $\eta$ -quasisymmetric mapping in chordal metric in  $\bar{R}^n$  with the control function*

$$\eta(t) = C_2^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \max\{t^{1+\varepsilon}, t^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}\}$$

where  $C_2 = 2^9C^{11}e^4$ .

*Proof.* Given distinct points  $x, y, z \in A$  let us denote their images under  $f$  by  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}$  respectively. It should be proved the inequality

$$\frac{\sigma(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})}{\sigma(\tilde{z}, \tilde{y})} \leq \eta \left( \frac{\sigma(x, y)}{\sigma(z, y)} \right) = C_2^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \max \left\{ \left( \frac{\sigma(x, y)}{\sigma(z, y)} \right)^{1+\varepsilon}, \left( \frac{\sigma(x, y)}{\sigma(z, y)} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}} \right\}.$$

If  $a \in \{0, e_0, \infty\}$  and  $a \neq x, a \neq z$  then  $\omega$ -quasimöbius property of  $f$  produces the inequality

$$\frac{\sigma(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})\sigma(\tilde{z}, a)}{\sigma(\tilde{z}, \tilde{y})\sigma(\tilde{x}, a)} \leq C^\varepsilon \max \left\{ \left( \frac{\sigma(x, y)\sigma(z, a)}{\sigma(z, y)\sigma(x, a)} \right)^{1+\varepsilon}, \left( \frac{\sigma(x, y)\sigma(z, a)}{\sigma(z, y)\sigma(x, a)} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}} \right\};$$

$$\frac{\sigma(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})}{\sigma(\tilde{z}, \tilde{y})} \leq C^\varepsilon \max \left\{ \left( \frac{\sigma(x, y)}{\sigma(z, y)} \right)^{1+\varepsilon}, \left( \frac{\sigma(x, y)}{\sigma(z, y)} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}} \right\} \cdot \max\{T_1, T_2\},$$

where

$$T_1 = \frac{\sigma(z, a)^{1+\varepsilon}}{\sigma(\tilde{z}, a)} \cdot \frac{\sigma(\tilde{x}, a)^{1+\varepsilon}}{\sigma(z, a)}; \quad T_2 = \frac{\sigma(z, a)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}}{\sigma(\tilde{z}, a)} \cdot \frac{\sigma(\tilde{x}, a)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}}{\sigma(z, a)}.$$

So we have to obtain estimate  $\max\{T_1, T_2\} \leq (C_1^2)^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$  where  $C_1^2$  is the constant from Lemma 3.

In case ( $|x| \geq \varepsilon/(4C^2)$  and  $|z| \geq \varepsilon/(4C^2)$ ) we put  $a = 0$  and use (6.2.1) both for  $x$  and  $z$  in Lemma 3, the situation (2).

In case ( $|x| \leq 4C^2/\varepsilon$  and  $|z| \leq 4C^2/\varepsilon$ ) we put  $a = \infty$  and use (6.2.1) both for  $x$  and  $z$  in Lemma 3, the situation (2).

In case ( $|x| \geq 4C^2/\varepsilon$  and  $|z| \leq \varepsilon/(4C^2)$  or  $|x| \leq \varepsilon/(4C^2)$  and  $|z| \geq 4C^2/\varepsilon$ ) we put  $a = e_0$  and use both for  $x$  and  $z$  the inequality (6.2.1) from Lemma 3, the situation (1).

Thus we obtain the desired estimate for  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  in all possible cases. □

### 7. STABILITY

We shall use the following stability theorem for  $[s]$ -quasi-symmetric mappings in  $R^n$  which had been obtained by J. Partanen in his dissertation in 1991. It is more convenient for our purposes to formulate it with  $R^{n+1}$  instead of  $R^n$ .

**Theorem 4** ([8], Theorem 1.6). *Let  $A' \subset R^{n+1}$  be compact and  $B \subset A'$  have at least two distinct points. Then there exists a function  $\lambda(s; B, A')$  which  $\rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow 0$ , such that for any  $[s]$ -quasisymmetric mapping  $f : A' \rightarrow R^{n+1}$  which is*



identical on  $B$  there exists an euclidean isometry  $h : R^{n+1} \rightarrow R^{n+1}$  identical on  $B$  such that

$$\max_{x \in A'} |f(x) - h(x)| \leq \lambda(s; A', B). \tag{7.1.1}$$

The estimate function  $\lambda$  in this theorem essentially depends on metric properties of the set  $A$  and may be detailed in some special cases, see [9].

We shall prove the following version of stability theorem for  $K$ -quasi-möbius mappings in  $\bar{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Let the set  $A \subset \bar{R}^n$  contain points  $0, e_0, \infty$  where  $|e_0| = 1$ . Then for a given  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  with the following property: For every  $K$ -quasimöbius mapping  $f : A \rightarrow \bar{R}^n$  with fixed points  $0, e_0, \infty$  and  $K = 1 + \varepsilon$  where  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$  there exists an euclidean isometry  $h$  with fixed points  $0, e_0$  such that*

$$\max_{x \in A} \sigma(f(x), h(x)) \leq \delta ,$$

where  $\sigma(.,.)$  denotes the chordal distance in  $\bar{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 1(ii) the  $K$ -quasimöbius mapping  $f : A \rightarrow \bar{R}^n$  with  $K = 1 + \varepsilon$  is (power)  $\eta$ -quasimöbius with the control function  $\eta(t) = (9e^2)^\varepsilon \max\{t^{1+\varepsilon}, t^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}\}$ . Since  $f(\infty) = \infty$  it is  $\eta$ -quasisymmetric in euclidean metric in  $R^n$ . Then Theorem 3 says that  $f$  is also  $\eta_1$ -quasisymmetric in chordal metric with the distortion function  $\eta_1(t) = (C_3)^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \max\{t^{1+\varepsilon}, t^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}\}$  where  $C_3 = 2^9 9^{11} e^{26}$ . Since  $\varepsilon \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon}$  and  $\max\{t^{1+\varepsilon}, t^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}\} \leq \max\{t^{1+\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, t^{\frac{1}{1+\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}\}$  the mapping  $f$  is  $\eta_2$ -quasisymmetric in chordal metric with the control function  $\eta_2(t) = C_3^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \max\{t^{1+\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, t^{\frac{1}{1+\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}\}$ .

The stereographic projection  $\pi : \bar{R}^n \rightarrow S \subset R^{n+1}$ ,  $\pi(0) = 0$  is the isometry of the space  $\bar{R}^n$  equipped with chordal metric to the sphere  $S \subset R^{n+1}$  equipped with euclidean metric. So we may identify  $\bar{R}^n$  as a sphere  $S$  in  $R^{n+1}$ . We denote  $e_1 = \pi(e_0)$ ,  $p = \pi(\infty)$ .

Then the mapping  $g = \pi \circ f \circ \pi^{-1} : A' = \pi(A) \rightarrow S$  is  $\eta_2$ -quasisymmetric in euclidean metric in  $R^{n+1}$  and is identical on the set  $B = \{0, e_1, p\} \subset \pi(A) \subset S$ . As the function  $\lambda(s, A', B)$  in the Theorem 4 tends to 0 as  $s \rightarrow 0$  we can find for a given  $\delta > 0$  such  $s_0 > 0$  that  $\lambda(s_0, A', B) \leq \delta$ . Then we can find  $\varepsilon_0$  such that

$$\sqrt{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{\log(1 + s_0^2)}{\log(C_3/s_0)} \tag{7.2.2}$$

for all  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ . In this case we have by Proposition 4 the estimate  $\eta_2(t) - t \leq s_0$  to be valid for all  $0 \leq t \leq s_0$ . That means that  $g$  is  $[s_0]$ -quasisymmetric in euclidean metric in  $R^{n+1}$ . By Theorem 4 there exists an euclidean isometry  $h' : R^{n+1} \rightarrow R^{n+1}$  with fixed points  $0, e_1, p$  such that

$$\max_{y \in \Sigma(A)} |g(y) - h'(y)| \leq \lambda(s_0, A', B) \leq \delta .$$

The isometry  $h'$  with fixed points  $0, p$  is identical on the whole line through these points, so the center  $y_0$  of sphere  $S$  is also a fixed point for  $h'$ . It means that  $h'(S) = S$  and  $h = \pi^{-1} \circ h' \circ \pi$  is an isometry in chordal metric in  $\bar{R}^n$ . Hence  $h$  being a möbius mapping with fixed points  $0, e_0, \infty$  is mere an euclidean isometry in  $R^n$  with fixed line through  $0$  and  $e_0$ . Next we have the equality  $|g(y) - h'(y)| = \sigma(f(\pi^{-1}(y)), h(\pi^{-1}(y))) = \sigma(f(x), h(x))$  for all  $x \in A$  with  $y = \pi(x)$ . Thus

$$\max_{y \in A'} |g(y) - h'(y)| = \max_{x \in A} \sigma(f(x), h(x)) \leq \delta$$

provided  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ . □

8. APPENDIX

Here we present the proof to Lemma 3.

Case (1). Since the mapping  $j(x) = x/|x|^2$  ( $j(0) = \infty, j(\infty) = 0, j(e_0) = e_0$ ) preserves chordal distances the mapping  $g = j \circ f \circ j$  satisfies on  $j(A)$  the same conditions as  $f$ . Then for each  $\alpha \in \{1 + \varepsilon, 1/(1 + \varepsilon)\}$  the equality

$$\frac{\sigma(g(j(x)), e_0)}{\sigma(j(x), e_0)^\alpha} = \frac{\sigma(j(f(x)), e_0)}{\sigma(j(x), e_0)^\alpha} = \frac{\sigma(f(x), e_0)}{\sigma(x, e_0)^\alpha}$$

holds for all  $x \in A$ . Thus in the situation (1) it suffices to prove (1) for the case  $|x| \geq 4C^2/\varepsilon$ . Applying to  $\tilde{x} := f(x)$  the  $\omega$ -quasimöbius property we have:

$$\frac{1}{|\tilde{x}|} \leq \frac{C^\varepsilon}{|x|^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}} \leq C^\varepsilon \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4C^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}} \leq C^\varepsilon \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2C} \leq \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2};$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(1 + |\tilde{x}|)^2}{1 + |\tilde{x}|^2} \leq \frac{|\tilde{x}|}{1 + |\tilde{x}|^2} \leq \frac{1}{|\tilde{x}|} \leq \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2} \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon};$$

$$\frac{|\tilde{x} - e_0|}{\sqrt{1 + |\tilde{x}|^2}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{(1 + |\tilde{x}|)^2}{1 + |\tilde{x}|^2}} \leq e^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}; \tag{0.1}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 + |\tilde{x}|^2}{(|\tilde{x}| - 1)^2} \leq \frac{|\tilde{x}|}{(|\tilde{x}| - 1)^2} = \frac{1}{|\tilde{x}|} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 - 1/|\tilde{x}|)^2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2(1/2)^2} = 2\sqrt{\varepsilon};$$

$$\frac{|\tilde{x} - e_0|}{\sqrt{1 + |\tilde{x}|^2}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{(|\tilde{x}| - 1)^2}{1 + |\tilde{x}|^2}} \geq \frac{1}{(e^2)^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}. \tag{0.2}$$

$$\frac{1 + \varepsilon}{2} \ln \frac{1 + |x|^2}{(|x| - 1)^2} \leq \frac{2|x|}{(|x| - 1)^2} = \frac{2}{|x|(1 - 1/|x|)^2} \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{4C^2(1/2)^2} \leq 2\sqrt{\varepsilon};$$

$$\left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + |x|^2}}{|x - e_0|}\right)^{1+\varepsilon} \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + |x|^2}}{|x| - 1}\right)^{1+\varepsilon} \leq (e^2)^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}; \tag{0.3}$$

$$\frac{1}{2(1 + \varepsilon)} \ln \frac{(1 + |x|)^2}{1 + |x|^2} \leq \frac{|x|}{2(1 + |x|^2)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{8C^2} \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon};$$

$$\left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + |x|^2}}{|x - e_0|}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}} \geq \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + |x|^2}}{|x| + 1}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}} \geq \frac{1}{e^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}. \tag{0.4}$$

Thus using the inequalities  $(\sqrt{2})^\varepsilon \leq (\sqrt{2})^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$ ,  $(\sqrt{2})^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}-1} = (\sqrt{2})^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} \geq 1/(\sqrt{\varepsilon})^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$  and (0.1)-(0.4) we obtain the desired estimate

$$\frac{1}{C_1^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}} \leq \frac{1}{(e^3\sqrt{2})^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}} \leq \frac{\sigma(\tilde{x}, e_0)}{\sigma(x, e_0)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}} \leq \frac{\sigma(\tilde{x}, e_0)}{\sigma(x, e_0)^{1+\varepsilon}} \leq (e^3\sqrt{2})^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \leq C_1^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}.$$

Case (2). Since  $j$  is the chordal isometry the equality

$$\frac{\sigma(g(j(x)), 0)}{\sigma(j(x), 0)^\alpha} = \frac{\sigma(j(f(x)), 0)}{\sigma(j(x), 0)^\alpha} = \frac{\sigma(f(x), \infty)}{\sigma(x, \infty)^\alpha}$$

holds. So in the situation (2) it suffices to prove (6.2.1) for the case  $a = \infty$ .

If  $1 \leq |x| \leq 4C^2/\varepsilon$ , the  $\omega$ -quasimöbius property together with the inequality  $1/\varepsilon^\varepsilon \leq 2^2\sqrt{\varepsilon}$  produces the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma(\tilde{x}, \infty)}{(\sigma(x, \infty))^{1+\varepsilon}} &= \sqrt{\frac{(1+|x|^2)^{1+\varepsilon}}{1+|\tilde{x}|^2}} \leq \sqrt{C^{2\varepsilon} \frac{(1+|x|^2)^{1+\varepsilon}}{1+|\tilde{x}|^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}}} \\ &\leq (C\sqrt{2})^\varepsilon |x|^\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{1+|x|^2}{1+|x|^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}}} \leq (C\sqrt{2})^{\varepsilon} |x|^\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{1+|x|^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}} |x|^{\frac{2\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}}}{1+|x|^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}}} \\ &\leq (C\sqrt{2})^\varepsilon |x|^\varepsilon |x|^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} \leq (C\sqrt{2})^\varepsilon |x|^{2\varepsilon} \leq (16\sqrt{2}C^5 e^2)^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}; \\ \frac{\sigma(\tilde{x}, \infty)}{\sigma(x, \infty)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}} &= \sqrt{\frac{(1+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}}{1+|\tilde{x}|^2}} \geq \frac{1}{C^\varepsilon} \sqrt{\frac{(1+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}}{1+|x|^{2(1+\varepsilon)}}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{C^\varepsilon |x|^\varepsilon (1+|x|^2)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2(1+\varepsilon)}}} \geq \frac{1}{(C\sqrt{2})^\varepsilon |x|^{2\varepsilon}} \geq \frac{1}{(16\sqrt{2}C^5 e^2)^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the estimates (6.2.1) in the case  $a = \infty$ ,  $1 \leq |x| \leq 4C^2/\varepsilon$ :

$$\frac{1}{C_1^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}} \leq \frac{1}{(16\sqrt{2}C^5 e^2)^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}} \leq \frac{\sigma(\tilde{x}, \infty)}{\sigma(x, \infty)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}} \leq \frac{\sigma(\tilde{x}, \infty)}{\sigma(x, \infty)^{1+\varepsilon}} \leq 16\sqrt{2}C^5 e^2)^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} = C_1^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}.$$

If  $a = \infty$ ,  $\varepsilon \leq |x| \leq 1$  then  $|x|^{1+\varepsilon} \leq |\tilde{x}| \leq C^\varepsilon |x|^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma(\tilde{x}, \infty)}{\sigma(x, \infty)^{1+\varepsilon}} &= \sqrt{\frac{(1+|x|^2)^{1+\varepsilon}}{1+|\tilde{x}|^2}} \leq (\sqrt{2})^\varepsilon \sqrt{C^{2\varepsilon} \frac{1+|x|^2}{C^{2\varepsilon} + |x|^{2\varepsilon} |x|^{2\varepsilon}}} \\ &\leq (C\sqrt{2})^\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{1+|x|^2}{|x|^{2\varepsilon}(1+|x|^2)}} \leq \frac{(C\sqrt{2})^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^\varepsilon} \leq (C\sqrt{2}e^2)^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \leq C_1^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}; \\ \frac{\sigma(x, \infty)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}}{\sigma(\tilde{x}, \infty)} &= \sqrt{\frac{1+|\tilde{x}|^2}{(1+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1+C^{2\varepsilon}|x|^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}}{1+|x|^2} (1+|x|^2)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}}} \\ &\leq (C\sqrt{2})^\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{1+|x|^2|x|^{-\frac{2\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}}}{1+|x|^2}} \leq \frac{(C\sqrt{2})^\varepsilon}{|x|^\varepsilon} \leq \frac{(C\sqrt{2})^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^\varepsilon} \leq (C\sqrt{2}e^2)^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \leq C_1^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (6.2.1) is true in the case  $a = \infty$ ,  $\varepsilon \leq |x| \leq 1$ .

At last, in the case  $a = \infty$ ,  $0 \leq |x| \leq \varepsilon$  we have

$$\frac{\sigma(\tilde{x}, \infty)}{\sigma(x, \infty)^{1+\varepsilon}} = \sqrt{\frac{(1+|x|^2)^{1+\varepsilon}}{1+|\tilde{x}|^2}} \leq (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} \leq e^{(\varepsilon^2)} \leq e^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \leq C_1^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}};$$

$$\frac{\sigma(x, \infty)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}}{\sigma(\tilde{x}, \infty)} = \sqrt{\frac{1+|\tilde{x}|^2}{(1+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}}} \leq C^\varepsilon \sqrt{1+|x|^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}} \leq C^\varepsilon (1+\varepsilon) \leq (Ce)^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \leq C_1^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}.$$

So (6.2.1) is true in the case  $a = \infty$ ,  $0 \leq |x| \leq \varepsilon$  as well.

Now the lemma 3 has been completely proved.

The author is thankful to referee for his(her) critical remarks on the content of this article.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M.L. Blumenthal, *Theory and Applications of Distance Geometry*, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1953. MR0054981
- [2] J. Väisälä, *Quasimöbius maps*, J. Anal. Math., **44** (1984/85), 218–234. MR0801295
- [3] P. Tukia, J. Väisälä, *Quasisymmetric embeddings of metric spaces*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math., **5**:1 (1980), 97–114. MR0595180
- [4] D.A. Trotsenko, J. Väisälä, *Upper sets and quasymmetric maps*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math., **24**:2 (1999), 465–488. MR1724387
- [5] V.V. Aseev, A.V. Sychev, A.V. Tetenov, *Möbius-invariant metrics and generalized angles in ptolemaic spaces*, Sib. Math. J., **46**:2 (2005), 189–204. MR2141193
- [6] F.W. Gehring, J. Väisälä, *The coefficients of quasiconformality of domains in space*, Acta Math., **114**:1–2 (1965), 1–70. MR0180674
- [7] P. Tukia, J. Väisälä, *Extension of embeddings close to isometries or similarities*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math., **9** (1984), 153–175. MR0752401
- [8] J. Partanen, *Invariance theorems for the bilipschitz and quasisymmetric extension properties*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. Dissert., **80** (1991), 1–40. MR1098344
- [9] P. Alestalo, D.A. Trotsenko, *On mappings that are close to a similarity*, Math. Rep., Buchar., **15(65)**:4 (2013), 313–318. MR3237474

VLADISLAV VASILYEVICH ASEEV  
SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,  
PR. KOPTYUGA, 4,  
630090, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA  
E-mail address: [btp@math.nsc.ru](mailto:btp@math.nsc.ru)