

СИБИРСКИЕ ЭЛЕКТРОННЫЕ
МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИЕ ИЗВЕСТИЯ

Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports

<http://semr.math.nsc.ru>

Том 15, стр. 631–649 (2018)

УДК 517.95

DOI 10.17377/semi.2018.15.050

MSC 35A05

UNIQUE SOLVABILITY OF INITIAL-BOUNDARY VALUE
PROBLEM FOR ONE-DIMENSIONAL EQUATIONS OF
POLYTROPIC FLOWS OF MULTICOMPONENT VISCOUS
COMPRESSIBLE FLUIDS

A.E. MAMONTOV, D.A. PROKUDIN

ABSTRACT. We consider the initial-boundary value problem governing unsteady polytropic motions of viscous compressible multifluids. We prove the existence and uniqueness of a strong solution to the problem.

Keywords: multifluid, mixture of fluids, viscous compressible fluid, existence, uniqueness, initial-boundary value problem, unsteady motion.

The paper is devoted to the analysis of the solvability of the equations of motion of multicomponent viscous compressible fluids (mixtures of fluids, multifluids). Concerning the origin of the model and its physical interpretation, we refer the reader to [12], [13]. An overview of the options for formulating the model and the known results can be found in [6], [8], [14]. Related multi-velocity models of multifluids are considered in [2], [21], [26]. As the first results on the well-posedness of the multidimensional equations of multifluids, we can refer to [3], [4], [5].

Weak solutions for multidimensional barotropic problems for the model considered in the paper are constructed in the steady version in [9], [25] (polytropic case), and then in [10], [15] (general case); in the unsteady version in [11] (polytropic case), and then in [20] (general case). Similar results for the heat-conductive model are obtained in [7], [16]. For a number of reasons, including the purpose of constructing more regular solutions, one-dimensional formulations are of interest. A detailed

MAMONTOV, A.E., PROKUDIN, D.A., UNIQUE SOLVABILITY OF INITIAL-BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR ONE-DIMENSIONAL EQUATIONS OF POLYTROPIC FLOWS OF MULTICOMPONENT VISCOUS COMPRESSIBLE FLUIDS.

© 2018 MAMONTOV A.E., PROKUDIN D.A.

The research was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (grant 14.Z50.31.0037).

Received March, 26, 2018, published May, 24, 2018.

discussion of these formulations and a review of the results can be found in [17], [18]. Solvability for related models is shown in [19], [23], [24].

The specificity of the paper is that we consider a variant of the model with an average velocity in the transport operator.

1. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM AND THE MAIN RESULT

We consider the system governing the one-dimensional flow of multicomponent viscous compressible fluids without taking into account chemical reactions:

$$\partial_t \rho_i + \partial_x(\rho_i v) = 0, \quad \rho_i (\partial_t u_i + v \partial_x u_i) = \partial_x P_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Here $N \geq 2$ is the number of constituents, ρ_i is the density of the i -th constituent, u_i is the velocity of the i -th constituent, $v = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N u_i$ is the average velocity of the multifluid, P_i are the stresses. Let us accept generalized Newton's hypothesis for P_i :

$$P_i = -p + \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_{ij} \partial_x u_j,$$

where p is the pressure, and the viscosity coefficients $\{\mu_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^N$ form a symmetric matrix \mathbf{M} , and $\mathbf{M} > 0$, i. e. $(\mathbf{M}\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \geq C_0(\mathbf{M})|\boldsymbol{\xi}|^2$ for all $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with some constant $C_0(\mathbf{M}) > 0$.

The written equations together with the constitutive equation

$$p = K\rho^\gamma, \quad \rho = \sum_{i=1}^N \rho_i, \quad K = \text{const} > 0, \quad \gamma = \text{const} > 1$$

form the closed system

$$(1) \quad \partial_t \rho_i + \partial_x(\rho_i v) = 0, \quad v = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N u_i,$$

$$(2) \quad \rho_i (\partial_t u_i + v \partial_x u_i) + K \partial_x \rho^\gamma = \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_{ij} \partial_{xx} u_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad \rho = \sum_{i=1}^N \rho_i.$$

We are to consider this system in the rectangular Q_T (here and below $Q_t = (0, 1) \times (0, t)$) with an arbitrary finite height T , $0 < T < \infty$, equipped with the following initial and boundary conditions ($i = 1, \dots, N$):

$$(3) \quad \rho_i|_{t=0} = \rho_{0i}(x), \quad u_i|_{t=0} = u_{0i}(x), \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

$$(4) \quad u_i|_{x=0} = u_i|_{x=1} = 0, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Definition 1. By a strong solution to the problem (1)–(4) we mean $2N$ functions $(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_N, u_1, \dots, u_N)$ that satisfy equations (1), (2) almost everywhere in Q_T , the initial conditions (3) for almost all $x \in (0, 1)$, and the boundary conditions (4) for almost all $t \in (0, T)$, and such that the following relations hold ($i = 1, \dots, N$)

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} &\rho_i > 0, \quad \rho_i \in L_\infty(0, T; W_2^1(0, 1)), \quad \partial_t \rho_i \in L_\infty(0, T; L_2(0, 1)), \\ &u_i \in L_\infty(0, T; W_2^1(0, 1)) \cap L_2(0, T; W_2^2(0, 1)), \quad \partial_t u_i \in L_2(Q_T). \end{aligned}$$

The main result of the paper is formulated as follows.

Theorem 2. Let the initial data in (3) be such that

$$\rho_{0i} \in W_2^1(0, 1), \quad \rho_{0i} > 0, \quad u_{0i} \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^1(0, 1), \quad i = 1, \dots, N \quad (N \geq 2),$$

the symmetric viscosity matrix \mathbf{M} is positive definite, the polytropic exponent $\gamma > 1$, and the constants $0 < K, T < \infty$. Then there exists a sufficiently small number $t_0 \in (0, T)$ such that for all $t \in (0, t_0)$ there exists a unique strong solution to the problem (1)–(4) in the sense of Definition 1 with t_0 instead of T .

The proof of Theorem 2 is given in Sections 2–5.

2. CONSTRUCTION OF APPROXIMATE SOLUTIONS

In this section, we prove the time-local solvability of the initial-boundary value problem obtained from the problem (1)–(4) by applying the Galerkin method (in the spatial variable x) to the momentum equation (2).

Lemma 3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a time-interval $(0, t_m) \subset (0, T)$ (cf. (15) below), where there exists a solution¹ to the problem (here $k = 1, \dots, m, i = 1, \dots, N$)

$$(6) \quad \partial_t \rho_i + \partial_x(\rho_i v) = 0, \quad v = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N u_i,$$

$$(7) \quad \int_0^1 \left(\rho_i (\partial_t u_i + v \partial_x u_i) + K \partial_x \rho^\gamma - \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_{ij} \partial_{xx} u_j \right) \omega_k(x) dx = 0 \quad \forall t \in (0, t_m), \quad \rho = \sum_{i=1}^N \rho_i,$$

$$(8) \quad \rho_i|_{t=0} = \rho_{0i}(x),$$

$$(9) \quad u_i = \sum_{k=1}^m \psi_{ik}(t) \omega_k(x), \quad u_i|_{t=0} = \sum_{k=1}^m \psi_{0ik} \omega_k(x),$$

where $\omega_k(x) = \sin(\pi kx)$, $\psi_{ik}(0) = \psi_{0ik} = 2 \int_0^1 u_{0i}(x) \omega_k(x) dx$, and we have

$$(10) \quad \rho_i \in L_\infty(0, t_m; W_2^1(0, 1)) \cap W_\infty^1(0, t_m; L_2(0, 1)), \quad \rho_i > 0, \\ u_i \in C^{1,\infty}([0, t_m] \times [0, 1]).$$

Proof of Lemma 3. We fix $t_m \in (0, T]$. In the space $(C[0, t_m])^{mN}$, we consider the set

$$B = \{ \boldsymbol{\psi} \in (C[0, t_m])^{mN} \mid \boldsymbol{\psi}(0) = \boldsymbol{\psi}_0, \quad \|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|_{(C[0, t_m])^{mN}} \leq b \},$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\psi} = (\boldsymbol{\psi}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\psi}_N), \quad \boldsymbol{\psi}_0 = (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{01}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{0N}), \quad \boldsymbol{\psi}_i = (\psi_{i1}, \dots, \psi_{im}),$$

¹Till the beginning of the fourth Section we omit the index m in the notations of solutions.

$$\psi_{0i} = (\psi_{0i1}, \dots, \psi_{0im}), \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad b^2 = e^{\frac{\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left\{ \sup_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\}}{\min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left\{ \inf_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\}}} \|\psi_0\|_{\mathbb{R}^{mN}}^2 + 1.$$

We construct the operator $\Lambda : B \rightarrow (C[0, t_m])^{mN}$, $\text{Im } \Lambda \subset (C^1[0, t_m])^{mN}$, $\Lambda(\psi) = \Psi$, where $\Psi = (\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_N)$, $\Psi_i = (\Psi_{i1}, \dots, \Psi_{im})$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, by the following algorithm.

At the first step, we find $\rho_i \in L_\infty(0, t_m; W_2^1(0, 1)) \cap W_\infty^1(0, t_m; L_2(0, 1))$, $\rho_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, as solutions to the Cauchy problem (6), (8), where u_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$, are given by (9). Moreover, for all $i = 1, \dots, N$ we have the inequalities

$$(11) \quad \left(\inf_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \sup_{[0,1]} |\partial_x u_i| d\tau \right\} \leq \rho_i(x, t) \leq \left(\sup_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right) \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \sup_{[0,1]} |\partial_x u_i| d\tau \right\},$$

which, due to $\psi \in B$, give the estimates

$$(12) \quad \left(\inf_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right) \exp \{ -\pi m^2 b t \} \leq \rho_i(x, t) \leq \left(\sup_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right) \exp \{ \pi m^2 b t \}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

At the second step, we find Ψ from the Cauchy problem for the system of mN ordinary first order differential (linear) equations

$$(13) \quad \int_0^1 \left(\rho_i \partial_t U_i + \rho_i \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N u_j \right) \partial_x U_i + K \partial_x \rho^\gamma - \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_{ij} \partial_{xx} U_j \right) \omega_k dx = 0,$$

$$k = 1, \dots, m, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

$$\Psi(0) = \psi_0,$$

where $U_i = \sum_{s=1}^m \Psi_{is}(t) \omega_s(x)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^N \rho_i$. Since $\det A \neq 0$, where

$$A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} A_1(t) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & A_2(t) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & A_N(t) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_i(t) = \left\{ \int_0^1 \rho_i \omega_k \omega_s dx \right\}_{k,s=1}^m, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

in view of the positivity of ρ_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$, we can solve the system (13) with respect to derivatives, which justifies the existence of $\Psi \in (C^1[0, t_m])^{mN}$.

Thus, for arbitrary $t_m \in (0, T]$ we define the operator

$$\Lambda : B \rightarrow (C^1[0, t_m])^{mN} \subset (C[0, t_m])^{mN}, \quad \Lambda(\psi) = \Psi,$$

whose fixed point (if exists), together with the corresponding functions ρ_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$, is a solution to the problem (6)–(9).

We show that for sufficiently small t_m the operator Λ satisfies the assumptions of the Schauder theorem (cf. [1], P. 31), i. e.,

- B is a convex closed bounded set (which is obvious in the case under consideration);
- $\Lambda : B \rightarrow B$;
- Λ is a completely continuous operator.

We first show that $\Lambda(B) \subset B$. Multiplying (13) by $\Psi_{ik}(t)$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, summarizing with respect to $k = 1, \dots, m$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, we obtain due to (6), that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i U_i^2 dx \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^N \mu_{ij} \int_0^1 (\partial_x U_i)(\partial_x U_j) dx = K \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho^\gamma \partial_x U_i dx,$$

and taking into account the inequalities (to obtain them, we use (12) and the fact $\mathbf{M} > 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \mu_{ij} \int_0^1 (\partial_x U_i)(\partial_x U_j) dx &\geq C_0(\mathbf{M}) \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 |\partial_x U_i|^2 dx, \\ K \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho^\gamma \partial_x U_i dx &\leq \frac{C_0}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 |\partial_x U_i|^2 dx + B_1, \end{aligned}$$

where² $B_1 = \frac{K^2 N^{2\gamma+1}}{2C_0} \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left\{ \sup_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\} \right)^{2\gamma} \exp \{2\pi\gamma m^2 b t_m\}$, we obtain the estimate

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i U_i^2 dx + C_0 \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 |\partial_x U_i|^2 dx \leq 2B_1,$$

which, in its turn, leads to

$$(14) \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i U_i^2 dx \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_{0i} U_{0i}^2 dx + 2B_1 t_m,$$

where $U_{0i} = \sum_{k=1}^m \Psi_{ik}(0)\omega_k(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m \psi_{0ik}\omega_k(x)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. Using (12) for the second time, we obtain from (14) the inequality

$$\|\Psi\|_{(C[0,t_m])^{mN}}^2 \leq e^{\pi m^2 b t_m} \frac{\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left\{ \sup_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\}}{\min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left\{ \inf_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\}} \|\psi_0\|_{\mathbb{R}^{mN}}^2 + \frac{4B_1 e^{\pi m^2 b t_m}}{\min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left\{ \inf_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\}} t_m.$$

²Hereinafter, B with subscripts denotes positive quantities depending on arguments indicated in the brackets, initial data, physical constants and T .

Choosing

$$(15) \quad t_m < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi m^2 b}, \frac{\min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left\{ \inf_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\}}{4eB_2}, T \right\},$$

where $B_2 = \frac{K^2 N^{2\gamma+1}}{C_0} \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left\{ \sup_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\} \right)^{2\gamma} \exp \{2\gamma\}$, we obtain that $B_1 \leq B_2$, and arrive at the required estimate $\|\Psi\|_{(C[0,t_m])^{mN}} \leq b$. Thus, if (15) holds, the operator Λ maps the set B to itself.

Let us prove the compactness of the operator Λ . Multiplying (13) by $\frac{d\Psi_{ik}(t)}{dt}$, $k = 1, \dots, m, i = 1, \dots, N$, summarizing with respect to $k = 1, \dots, m, i = 1, \dots, N$, we get

(16)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i |\partial_t U_i|^2 dx = \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \left(- \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_{ij} (\partial_{tx} U_i) (\partial_x U_j) - \frac{\rho_i}{N} \left[\sum_{j=1}^N u_j \right] (\partial_x U_i) (\partial_t U_i) + K \rho^\gamma (\partial_{tx} U_i) \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate the terms on the right-hand side of (16) by using (12), the Cauchy inequality, and the inequalities $\|\psi\|_{(C[0,t_m])^{mN}} \leq b$, $\|\Psi\|_{(C[0,t_m])^{mN}} \leq b$, $\|\partial_x U_i\|_{L_2(0,1)} \leq B_3(m)\|U_i\|_{L_2(0,1)}$, $\|\partial_{tx} U_i\|_{L_2(0,1)} \leq B_3\|\partial_t U_i\|_{L_2(0,1)}$, $i = 1, \dots, N$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^N \mu_{ij} \int_0^1 (\partial_{tx} U_i) (\partial_x U_j) dx \right| \leq \\ & \leq \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i |\partial_t U_i|^2 dx + B_4 \left(B_3, \left\{ \inf_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\}, \mathbf{M}, N, b, m, t_m \right), \\ & \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^N u_j \right) (\partial_x U_i) (\partial_t U_i) dx \right| \leq \\ & \leq \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i |\partial_t U_i|^2 dx + B_5 \left(B_3, \left\{ \sup_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\}, N, b, m, t_m \right), \\ & \left| K \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho^\gamma (\partial_{tx} U_i) dx \right| \leq \\ & \leq \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i |\partial_t U_i|^2 dx + B_6 \left(B_3, \left\{ \inf_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\}, \left\{ \sup_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\}, K, N, b, m, t_m, \gamma \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (16) we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i |\partial_t U_i|^2 dx \leq B_4 + B_5 + B_6.$$

Integrating the last inequality in time and applying (12), we obtain the estimate

$$(17) \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_t U_i\|_{L_2(Q_{t_m})} \leq B_7 \left(B_4, B_5, B_6, \left\{ \inf_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\}, b, m, t_m \right).$$

Thus, we have obtained the estimate for Ψ in $(W_2^1(0, t_m))^{mN}$. Consequently, Λ is a compact operator.

We establish the continuity of the operator Λ from B to $(C[0, t_m])^{mN}$. Let $\psi^{(1,2)} \in B$, $\Psi^{(1,2)} = \Lambda(\psi^{(1,2)})$, $u_i^{(1,2)} = \sum_{k=1}^m \psi_{ik}^{(1,2)} \omega_k$, $U_i^{(1,2)} = \sum_{k=1}^m \Psi_{ik}^{(1,2)} \omega_k$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. Further, let $\rho_i^{(1,2)}$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, be solutions to the Cauchy problem (6), (8), with $v^{(1,2)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N u_j^{(1,2)}$ instead of v . Denote $\rho_i = \rho_i^{(1)} - \rho_i^{(2)}$, $u_i = u_i^{(1)} - u_i^{(2)}$, $U_i = U_i^{(1)} - U_i^{(2)}$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, $v = v^{(1)} - v^{(2)}$, $\rho = \rho^{(1)} - \rho^{(2)}$, where $\rho^{(1,2)} = \sum_{j=1}^N \rho_j^{(1,2)}$, $\psi_{jk} = \psi_{jk}^{(1)} - \psi_{jk}^{(2)}$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, $k = 1, \dots, m$.

Differentiating³ equations (6) for $\rho_i^{(1,2)}$, $i = 1, \dots, N$ (i. e. the equations $\partial_t \rho_i^{(1,2)} + \partial_x (\rho_i^{(1,2)} v^{(1,2)}) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, N$) with respect to x , multiplying by $\partial_x \rho_i^{(1,2)}$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, using the initial data $\rho_i^{(1,2)}|_{t=0} = \rho_{0i}$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, the inequality (12) and the Gronwall inequality we get

$$(18) \quad \left\| \partial_x \rho_i^{(1,2)} \right\|_{L_2(0,1)} \leq B_8 \left(\|\rho_{0i}\|_{W_2^1(0,1)}, b, m, t_m \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Let us note that (6), (8) lead to the equalities

$$(19) \quad \partial_t \rho_i + \partial_x (\rho_i v^{(1)}) + \partial_x (\rho_i^{(2)} v) = 0, \quad \rho_i|_{t=0} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

³Cf. Remark 5 below.

Multiplying (19) by $\rho_i, i = 1, \dots, N$, and integrating with respect to $x \in (0, 1)$, we find for all $i = 1, \dots, N$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \rho_i^2 dx &= - \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_i^2 (\partial_x v^{(1)}) + \rho_i^{(2)} \rho_i (\partial_x v) + (\partial_x \rho_i^{(2)}) \rho_i v \right) dx \leq \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sup_{[0,1]} |\partial_x v^{(1)}| \int_0^1 \rho_i^2 dx + \sup_{[0,1]} \rho_i^{(2)} \int_0^1 (\rho_i^2 + (\partial_x v)^2) dx + \right. \\
 (20) \quad &\quad \left. + \sup_{[0,1]} v^2 \int_0^1 (\partial_x \rho_i^{(2)})^2 dx + \int_0^1 \rho_i^2 dx \right) \leq \\
 &\leq B_9 \left(B_8, \left\{ \sup_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\}, b, m, t_m \right) \left(\int_0^1 \rho_i^2 dx + \sum_{j=1}^N \int_0^1 u_j^2 dx \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used obvious relations

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{j=1}^N \int_0^1 u_j^2 dx &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^m \psi_{jk}^2(t), \quad \sup_{[0,1]} v^2 \leq \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \sum_{k,l=1}^m |\psi_{jk}(t) \psi_{il}(t)|, \\
 (21) \quad \int_0^1 |\partial_x v|^2 dx &= \frac{\pi^2}{2N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^m k^2 \psi_{jk}(t) \psi_{ik}(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Basing on (20), applying the Gronwall inequality and the initial condition in (19), we obtain the inequality

$$(22) \quad \int_0^1 \rho_i^2 dx \leq B_{10}(B_9, t_m) \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{Q_t} u_j^2 dx d\tau, \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

for all $t \in (0, t_m]$. Further, from the equations for $U_i^{(1,2)}, i = 1, \dots, N$ (cf. (13)) due to (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (23) \quad &\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i^{(1)} U_i^2 dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^N \mu_{ij} \int_{Q_t} (\partial_x U_i) (\partial_x U_j) dx d\tau = \\
 &= K \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_t} \left((\rho^{(1)})^\gamma - (\rho^{(2)})^\gamma \right) (\partial_x U_i) dx d\tau - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_t} \rho_i U_i (\partial_t U_i^{(2)}) dx d\tau - \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_t} \rho_i^{(1)} v U_i (\partial_x U_i^{(2)}) dx d\tau - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_t} \rho_i v^{(2)} U_i (\partial_x U_i^{(2)}) dx d\tau
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in (0, t_m]$. By (12), the first term on the left-hand side of (23) satisfies the estimate

$$(24) \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i^{(1)} U_i^2 dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left\{ \inf_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\} \exp \{ -\pi m^2 b t_m \} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 U_i^2 dx.$$

For the second term we have

$$(25) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{Q_t} \mu_{ij} (\partial_x U_i) (\partial_x U_j) dx d\tau \geq C_0 \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_t} |\partial_x U_i|^2 dx d\tau.$$

Applying the Cauchy inequality to the first term on the right-hand side of (23), we find

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} K \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_t} \left((\rho^{(1)})^\gamma - (\rho^{(2)})^\gamma \right) (\partial_x U_i) dx d\tau &\leq \frac{C_0}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_t} |\partial_x U_i|^2 dx d\tau + \\ &+ \frac{K^2 N}{2C_0} \int_{Q_t} \left((\rho^{(1)})^\gamma - (\rho^{(2)})^\gamma \right)^2 dx d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

and hence, due to (cf. (12))

$$\left(\rho^{(1)} \right)^\gamma - \left(\rho^{(2)} \right)^\gamma = \gamma \left(\lambda \rho^{(1)} + (1 - \lambda) \rho^{(2)} \right)^{\gamma-1} \rho, \quad \lambda \in [0, 1],$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\inf_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right) \exp \{ -\pi m^2 b t_m \} &\leq \lambda \rho^{(1)} + (1 - \lambda) \rho^{(2)} \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sup_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right) \exp \{ \pi m^2 b t_m \}, \end{aligned}$$

and (22), we get

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} K \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_t} \left((\rho^{(1)})^\gamma - (\rho^{(2)})^\gamma \right) (\partial_x U_i) dx d\tau &\leq \\ &\leq \frac{C_0}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_t} |\partial_x U_i|^2 dx d\tau + B_{11} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_t} u_i^2 dx d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_{11} = B_{11} \left(C_0, B_{10}, \left\{ \sup_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\}, K, N, \gamma, b, m, t_m \right)$. Using (17), (22) and the Cauchy inequality with small $\varepsilon > 0$, for the second term on the right-hand side

of (23) we get (cf. (21))

(28)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_t} \rho_i U_i \left(\partial_t U_i^{(2)} \right) dx d\tau \leq \frac{B_{10} N t}{2\varepsilon^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_t} u_i^2 dx d\tau + \\
 & \quad + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \sup_{Q_t} U_j^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \partial_t U_i^{(2)} \right\|_{L_2(Q_t)}^2 \right) \leq \\
 & \leq \frac{B_{10} N t}{2\varepsilon^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_t} u_i^2 dx d\tau + m\varepsilon^2 B_7^2 \sum_{i=1}^N \sup_{[0,t]} \int_0^1 U_i^2 dx = \\
 & \quad \left[m\varepsilon^2 B_7^2 = \frac{1}{4} \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left\{ \inf_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\} \exp \{ -\pi m^2 b t_m \} \right] \\
 & = B_{12} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_t} u_i^2 dx d\tau + \frac{1}{4} \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left\{ \inf_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\} \exp \{ -\pi m^2 b t_m \} \sum_{i=1}^N \sup_{[0,t]} \int_0^1 U_i^2 dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $B_{12} = B_{12} \left(B_7, B_{10}, \left\{ \inf_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\}, N, b, m, t_m \right)$. The third term on the right-hand side of (23) is estimated with the help of (12), the Cauchy inequality, and the inequality $\| \Psi^{(2)} \|_{(C[0,t_m])^{mN}} \leq b$ (cf. (21)):

$$(29) \quad - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_t} \rho_i^{(1)} v U_i \left(\partial_x U_i^{(2)} \right) dx d\tau \leq B_{13} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_t} u_i^2 dx d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_t} U_i^2 dx d\tau \right),$$

where $B_{13} = B_{13} \left(\left\{ \sup_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\}, N, b, m, t_m \right)$. Finally, by (22) and the estimates $\| \psi^{(2)} \|_{(C[0,t_m])^{mN}} \leq b$, $\| \Psi^{(2)} \|_{(C[0,t_m])^{mN}} \leq b$, for the last term on the right-hand side of (23) we get

$$(30) \quad - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_t} \rho_i v^{(2)} U_i \left(\partial_x U_i^{(2)} \right) dx d\tau \leq B_{14} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_t} u_i^2 dx d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_t} U_i^2 dx d\tau \right),$$

where $B_{14} = B_{14} (B_{10}, N, b, m, t_m)$. Thus, from (23) and (24)–(30) it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 U_i^2 dx \leq B_{15} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_t} u_i^2 dx d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_t} U_i^2 dx d\tau \right),$$

where $B_{15} = B_{15} \left(B_{11}, \dots, B_{14}, \left\{ \inf_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\}, N, b, m, t_m \right)$, which, in view of the Gronwall inequality, implies the estimate

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \| U_i \|_{L_2(0,1)}^2 \leq B_{16} (B_{15}, t_m) \sum_{i=1}^N \| u_i \|_{L_2(Q_{t_m})}^2,$$

and, consequently,

$$\|\Psi\|_{(C[0,t_m])^{mN}} \leq B_{17}(B_{16}, t_m)\|\psi\|_{(C[0,t_m])^{mN}}.$$

The last inequality justifies the continuity of Λ on B .

Since the operator Λ satisfies the assumptions of the Schauder theorem listed above, in B there exists a fixed point ψ of the operator Λ which, together with the corresponding functions $\rho_i, i = 1, \dots, N$, is a solution to the problem (6)–(9). Lemma 3 is proved.

3. UNIFORM ESTIMATES OF GALERKIN APPROXIMATIONS

We deal with a solution to the problem (6)–(9), which is defined for $t \in [0, \tau]$, where $\tau \in (0, T]$. For example, it can be the solution constructed in accordance with Lemma 3, and then $\tau \in [t_m, T]$, thus automatically $\rho_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, N$.

Lemma 4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2, there exist positive constants B_{18} and $t_0 \leq T$ (cf. (47) below) such that for any solution $(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_N, u_1, \dots, u_N)$ to the problem (6)–(9), which is defined for $t \in [0, \tau]$, the following estimate holds:

$$(31) \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\|u_i\|_{L_\infty(0,t_0;W_2^1(0,1))} + \|u_i\|_{L_2(0,t_0;W_2^2(0,1))} + \|\rho_i\|_{L_\infty(0,t_0;W_2^1(0,1))} + \|\partial_t \rho_i\|_{L_\infty(0,t_0;L_2(0,1))} + \|\partial_t u_i\|_{L_2(Q_{t_0})} + \|1/\rho_i\|_{L_\infty(Q_{t_0})} \right) \leq B_{18},$$

where

$$B_{18} = B_{18} \left(\left\{ \|\rho_{0i}\|_{W_2^1(0,1)} \right\}, \left\{ \|u_{0i}\|_{W_2^1(0,1)} \right\}, \left\{ \inf_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\}, K, \mathbf{M}, N, T, \gamma \right),$$

and t_0 depends on the same quantities as B_{18} . The estimate (31) is valid for $t \in [0, \min\{\tau, t_0\}]$.

For $t_0 > \tau$ it is understood that the bound (31) holds only for $t \in [0, \tau]$. In other words, generally speaking, this estimate on the whole interval $[0, t_0]$ is a priori one.

Proof of Lemma 4. We set

$$(32) \quad \eta(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \int_0^1 (\rho_i (\partial_t u_i)^2 + (\partial_{xx} u_i)^2) dx d\tau, \quad \eta'(t) \geq 0.$$

Equations (6) imply the inequalities (11) which, in turn, imply the estimate

$$(33) \quad B_{19}^{-1} \exp\{-B_{19}\eta(t)\} \leq \rho_i(x, t) \leq B_{19} \exp\{B_{19}\eta(t)\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

where $B_{19} = B_{19} \left(\left\{ \sup_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\}, \left\{ \inf_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\}, T \right)$. We note that (6) implies the identities

$$(34) \quad \rho_i \partial_{tx}(1/\rho_i) + \rho_i v \partial_{xx}(1/\rho_i) = \partial_{xx} v, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

which yield

$$(35) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \rho_i (\partial_x(1/\rho_i))^2 dx = 2 \int_0^1 (\partial_{xx} v)(\partial_x(1/\rho_i)) dx, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

From (35), integrating with respect to t and applying the Cauchy inequality, we get

$$(36) \quad \int_0^1 \rho_i (\partial_x(1/\rho_i))^2 dx \leq \int_0^1 \rho_{0i} ((1/\rho_{0i})')^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \rho_i (\partial_x(1/\rho_i))^2 dx d\tau + \\ + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{(\partial_{xx}v)^2}{\rho_i} dx d\tau, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Remark 5. In order to obtain (36), we need (in (34), (35)) an additional smoothness of $\rho_i, i = 1, \dots, N$, in comparison with (10), however the formulation of the relation (36) does not require any additional regularity. This means that (36) can be obtained via regularization of $\rho_{0i}, i = 1, \dots, N$, derivation of (36) for the solutions of the corresponding problems, and then the limit via the regularization parameter. The derivation of the relations (18) should be understood in a similar way.

Using (32), (33), and the Gronwall inequality, from (36) we get

$$(37) \quad \int_0^1 (\partial_x(1/\rho_i))^2 dx + \int_0^1 (\partial_x \rho_i)^2 dx \leq B_{20} \exp\{B_{20}\eta(t)\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

where $B_{20} = B_{20} \left(B_{19}, \left\{ \|\rho_{0i}\|_{W_2^1(0,1)} \right\}, \left\{ \inf_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\}, T \right)$. Further, multiplying (7) by $\psi'_{ik} + \pi^2 k^2 \psi_{ik}$, summarizing with respect to $k = 1, \dots, m, i = 1, \dots, N$, and taking into account (6), (9), we obtain the relation⁴

$$(38) \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i (\partial_t u_i)^2 dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^N \mu_{ij} \int_0^1 (\partial_{xx} u_i) (\partial_{xx} u_j) dx + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i (\partial_x u_i)^2 dx + \\ + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \mu_{ij} \int_0^1 (\partial_x u_i) (\partial_x u_j) dx = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \left(-\rho_i v (\partial_t u_i) (\partial_x u_i) - \right. \\ \left. -K(\partial_x \rho^\gamma) (\partial_t u_i) + K(\partial_x \rho^\gamma) (\partial_{xx} u_i) + 2\rho_i v (\partial_x u_i) (\partial_{xx} u_i) - (\partial_x \rho_i) (\partial_t u_i) (\partial_x u_i) \right) dx.$$

Since $\mathbf{M} > 0$, we have

$$(39) \quad \text{the left-hand side of (38)} \geq B_{21}(C_0)\eta'(t) + \zeta'(t),$$

where

$$\zeta(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i (\partial_x u_i)^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \mu_{ij} \int_0^1 (\partial_x u_i) (\partial_x u_j) dx.$$

⁴Here, we also use the symmetry of the matrix \mathbf{M} .

We separately consider each term on the right-hand side of (38). By the Hölder inequality, the Cauchy inequality, and the estimate (33), we get

$$(40) \quad - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i v (\partial_t u_i) (\partial_x u_i) dx \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \|v\|_{C[0,1]} \|\sqrt{\rho_i} \partial_t u_i\|_{L_2(0,1)} \|\sqrt{\rho_i} \partial_x u_i\|_{L_2(0,1)} \leq \leq \frac{B_{21}}{10} \eta'(t) + B_{22} \zeta^2(t) \exp\{B_{22} \eta(t)\},$$

where $B_{22} = B_{22}(B_{19}, B_{21})$. By the Cauchy inequality, (33) and (37),

$$(41) \quad -K \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 (\partial_x \rho^\gamma) (\partial_t u_i) dx \leq \frac{B_{21}}{10} \eta'(t) + B_{23} \exp\{B_{23} \eta(t)\},$$

where $B_{23} = B_{23}(B_{19}, B_{20}, B_{21}, K, N, \gamma)$. Similarly,

$$(42) \quad K \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 (\partial_x \rho^\gamma) (\partial_{xx} u_i) dx \leq \frac{B_{21}}{10} \eta'(t) + B_{24} \exp\{B_{24} \eta(t)\}, \quad B_{24} = B_{24}(\text{arg. of } B_{23}).$$

Using the Hölder inequality, the Cauchy inequality, and the estimate (33), we find

$$(43) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i v (\partial_x u_i) (\partial_{xx} u_i) dx \leq \\ & \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^N \|v\|_{C[0,1]} \|\sqrt{\rho_i}\|_{C[0,1]} \|\sqrt{\rho_i} \partial_x u_i\|_{L_2(0,1)} \|\partial_{xx} u_i\|_{L_2(0,1)} \leq \\ & \leq \frac{B_{21}}{10} \eta'(t) + B_{25} \zeta^2(t) \exp\{B_{25} \eta(t)\}, \quad B_{25} = B_{25}(B_{19}, B_{21}). \end{aligned}$$

Further, using the Cauchy inequality, the interpolation estimate

$$\|\partial_x u_i\|_{C[0,1]} \leq \sqrt{2} \|\partial_x u_i\|_{L_2(0,1)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_{xx} u_i\|_{L_2(0,1)}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and the estimates (33), (37), we get

$$(44) \quad \begin{aligned} & - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 (\partial_x \rho_i) (\partial_t u_i) (\partial_x u_i) dx \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_x u_i\|_{C[0,1]} \|\partial_x \rho_i / \sqrt{\rho_i}\|_{L_2(0,1)} \|\sqrt{\rho_i} \partial_t u_i\|_{L_2(0,1)} \leq \\ & \leq \frac{B_{21}}{10} \eta'(t) + B_{26} \zeta(t) \exp\{B_{26} \eta(t)\}, \quad B_{26} = B_{26}(B_{19}, B_{20}, B_{21}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (40)–(44) it follows that

$$(45) \quad \text{the right-hand side of (38)} \leq \frac{B_{21}}{2}\eta'(t) + B_{27}(1 + \zeta^2(t)) \exp\{B_{27}\eta(t)\},$$

$$B_{27} = B_{27}(B_{22}, \dots, B_{25}, B_{26}).$$

Combining (39) and (45), from (38) we obtain the inequality

$$(46) \quad \frac{B_{21}}{2}\eta'(t) + \zeta'(t) \leq B_{28} \exp\{B_{28}(B_{21}\eta(t)/2 + \zeta(t))\}, \quad B_{28} = B_{28}(B_{21}, B_{27}).$$

We take any $B_{29} > \zeta(0)$ (for example, $B_{29} = 2\zeta(0)$). Then for

$$(47) \quad t_0 = \min \left\{ \frac{\exp\{-B_{28}\zeta(0)\} - \exp\{-B_{28}B_{29}\}}{B_{28}^2}, T \right\}$$

we derive from (46) that the estimate

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_0} (\eta + \zeta) \leq \left(1 + \frac{2}{B_{21}}\right) B_{30}, \quad B_{30} = \frac{1}{B_{28}} \ln \frac{1}{\exp\{-B_{28}\zeta(0)\} - B_{28}^2 t_0}$$

holds, which together with (6), (33) and (37) completes the of Lemma 4. We note that t_0 and B_{30} depend on the following values:

$$\left\{ \|\rho_{0i}\|_{W_2^1(0,1)} \right\}, \left\{ \|u_{0i}\|_{W_2^1(0,1)} \right\}, \left\{ \inf_{[0,1]} \rho_{0i} \right\}, \mathbf{M}, N, T, K, \gamma.$$

4. CONVERGENCE OF APPROXIMATE SOLUTIONS

Using Lemma 3, we construct solutions u_{im}, ρ_{im} to the problems (6)–(9) for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and extend them, if necessary, for $t \in (0, t_0)$ according to Lemma 4, and we can use the estimate (31) for them. Based on the estimate (31), we can extract a subsequence from $u_{im}, \rho_{im}, m \in \mathbb{N}$ (keeping the same notation) such that

$$\rho_{im} \rightarrow \rho_i \quad * \text{-weakly in } L_\infty(0, t_0; W_2^1(0, 1)),$$

$u_{im} \rightarrow u_i \quad * \text{-weakly in } L_\infty(0, t_0; W_2^1(0, 1))$ and weakly in $L_2(0, t_0; W_2^2(0, 1))$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ (for all $i = 1, \dots, N$). The other properties listed in (5) are also satisfied by this sequence in Q_{t_0} uniformly with respect to m . Consequently, the limit functions belong to the corresponding classes. We show that $(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_N, u_1, \dots, u_N)$ is a strong solution to the problem (1)–(4) on $(0, t_0)$.

By the Arzela–Ascoli theorem ([22], Theorem 1.70, P. 58) and the uniform estimates for ρ_{im}, u_{im} in $L_\infty(0, t_0; W_2^1(0, 1))$ and for $\partial_t \rho_{im}, \partial_t u_{im}$ in $L_2(Q_{t_0})$ (cf. (31)) we have (passing to a subsequence, if necessary, and keeping the same notation⁵)

$$(48) \quad \rho_{im} \rightarrow \rho_i \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty \text{ strongly in } C([0, t_0]; L_2(0, 1)), \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

$$(49) \quad u_{im} \rightarrow u_i \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty \text{ strongly in } C([0, t_0]; L_2(0, 1)), \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Since $\partial_t u_{im}$ are bounded in $L_2(Q_{t_0})$, an uniform estimate for $\partial_{tx} u_{im}$ in $L_2(0, t_0; W_2^{-1}(0, 1))$ is valid, which, together with the estimate for $\partial_x u_{im}$ in $L_2(0, t_0; W_2^1(0, 1))$ means via Lions–Aubin’s lemma ([22], Theorem 1.71, P. 59)

$$(50) \quad \partial_x u_{im} \rightarrow \partial_x u_i \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty \text{ strongly in } L_2(Q_{t_0}), \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

⁵Below this procedure is implied as necessary.

Hence (49) leads to

$$(51) \quad u_{im} \rightarrow u_i \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty \text{ strongly in } L_2(0, t_0; C[0, 1]), \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Thus, the limit functions $\rho_i, u_i, i = 1, \dots, N$, satisfy (almost everywhere in Q_{t_0}) the continuity equations (1), in which $v = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N u_i$, the initial data (3) for almost all $x \in (0, 1)$ and the boundary conditions (4) for almost all $t \in (0, t_0)$.

The boundedness of $\partial_t u_{im}$ in $L_2(Q_{t_0})$ implies the weak convergence of $\partial_t u_{im}$ to $\partial_t u_i$ in $L_2(Q_{t_0})$, which, together with (48) and the boundedness of $\rho_{im} \partial_t u_{im}$ in $L_2(Q_{t_0})$, implies the weak convergence of $\rho_{im} \partial_t u_{im}$ to $\rho_i \partial_t u_i$ in $L_2(Q_{t_0})$.

Further, from (48) and (50) it follows that

$$\rho_{im} \partial_x u_{im} \rightarrow \rho_i \partial_x u_i \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty \text{ strongly in } L_2(0, t_0; L_1(0, 1)), \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

and hence (51) leads to

$$(52) \quad (\rho_{im} \partial_x u_{im}) u_{jm} \rightarrow (\rho_i \partial_x u_i) u_j \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty \text{ strongly in } L_1(Q_{t_0}), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N.$$

By (7), for any functions of the form

$$(53) \quad \varphi_i = \sum_{k=1}^M \eta_k(t) \omega_k(x), \quad \eta_k \in C[0, t_0], \quad k = 1, \dots, M, \quad M \leq m,$$

we have (for all $i = 1, \dots, N$) the inequalities

$$\int_{Q_{t_0}} \left(\rho_{im} \partial_t u_{im} + \rho_{im} v_m \partial_x u_{im} + K \partial_x \rho_m^\gamma - \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_{ij} \partial_{xx} u_{jm} \right) \varphi_i \, dx dt = 0,$$

passing in which to the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$ (by the proved convergences), we find (since the set of functions $\varphi_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ of the form (53) is everywhere dense in $L_2(Q_{t_0})$) the momentum equations (2) hold for the limit functions $\rho_i, u_i, i = 1, \dots, N$, almost everywhere in Q_{t_0} , with $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^N \rho_i$. Thus, we have proved the existence of a strong solution to the problem (1)–(4) in small time.

5. UNIQUENESS OF A STRONG SOLUTION

We assume that $(\rho_1^{(1)}, \dots, \rho_N^{(1)}, u_1^{(1)}, \dots, u_N^{(1)})$ and $(\rho_1^{(2)}, \dots, \rho_N^{(2)}, u_1^{(2)}, \dots, u_N^{(2)})$ are two strong solutions to the problem (1)–(4), $v^{(1,2)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N u_i^{(1,2)}$,

$\rho^{(1,2)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \rho_i^{(1,2)}$. We set $\rho_i = \rho_i^{(1)} - \rho_i^{(2)}, u_i = u_i^{(1)} - u_i^{(2)}, i = 1, \dots, N, v = v^{(1)} - v^{(2)}, \rho = \rho^{(1)} - \rho^{(2)}$.

From (6), (8) we have (cf. (19))

$$(54) \quad \partial_t \rho_i + \partial_x (\rho_i v^{(1)}) + \partial_x (\rho_i^{(2)} v) = 0, \quad \rho_i|_{t=0} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Multiplying (54) by $2\rho_i$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, and integrating with respect to $x \in (0, 1)$, we get

(55)

$$y'_{1i}(t) = - \int_0^1 \left(\rho_i^2 (\partial_x v^{(1)}) + 2\rho_i^{(2)} \rho_i (\partial_x v) + 2 (\partial_x \rho_i^{(2)}) \rho_i v \right) dx, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

where

$$y_{1i}(t) = \int_0^1 \rho_i^2 dx, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

The terms on the right-hand side of (55) can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_0^1 \rho_i^2 (\partial_x v^{(1)}) dx &\leq y_{1i}(t) \sum_{j=1}^N \|\partial_x u_j^{(1)}\|_{L_\infty(0,1)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \\ -2 \int_0^1 \rho_i^{(2)} \rho_i (\partial_x v) dx &\leq \|\rho_i^{(2)}\|_{L_\infty(Q_T)}^2 y_{1i}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^N \int_0^1 |\partial_x u_j|^2 dx, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \\ -2 \int_0^1 (\partial_x \rho_i^{(2)}) \rho_i v dx &\leq y_{1i}(t) + \|v\|_{L_\infty(0,1)}^2 \|\partial_x \rho_i^{(2)}\|_{L_\infty(0,T;L_2(0,1))}^2 \leq \\ &\leq y_{1i}(t) + \|\partial_x \rho_i^{(2)}\|_{L_\infty(0,T;L_2(0,1))}^2 \sum_{j=1}^N \int_0^1 |\partial_x u_j|^2 dx, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

By the inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_i^{(2)} &\in L_\infty(Q_T), \quad \partial_x \rho_i^{(2)} \in L_\infty(0, T; L_2(0, 1)), \\ \partial_x u_i^{(1)} &\in L_2(0, T; L_\infty(0, 1)), \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the estimates for the functions $y_{1i}(t)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$:

$$y'_{1i}(t) \leq B_{31}(t) y_{1i}(t) + B_{32} \sum_{j=1}^N \int_0^1 |\partial_x u_j|^2 dx, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

where $B_{31} = B_{31} \left(\left\{ \|\partial_x u_j^{(1)}\|_{L_\infty(0,1)} \right\}, \left\{ \|\rho_j^{(2)}\|_{L_\infty(Q_T)} \right\} \right)$, $B_{31} \in L_2(0, T)$, $B_{32} = B_{32} \left(\left\{ \|\partial_x \rho_j^{(2)}\|_{L_\infty(0,T;L_2(0,1))} \right\} \right)$. Since $y_{1i}(0) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, N$ (in view of the initial conditions in (54)), we can apply the Gronwall inequality to get

$$(56) \quad y_{1i}(t) \leq B_{33} (B_{32}, \|B_{31}\|_{L_1(0,T)}) \sum_{j=1}^N \int_0^t \int_0^1 |\partial_x u_j|^2 dx d\tau, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Further, from the equations (2) and boundary conditions (4) we get (cf. (23))

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \rho_i^{(1)} u_i^2 dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^N \mu_{ij} \int_0^1 (\partial_x u_i) (\partial_x u_j) dx =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= K \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \left((\rho^{(1)})^\gamma - (\rho^{(2)})^\gamma \right) (\partial_x u_i) dx - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i u_i (\partial_t u_i^{(2)}) dx - \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i^{(1)} v u_i (\partial_x u_i^{(2)}) dx - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i v^{(2)} u_i (\partial_x u_i^{(2)}) dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies

(57)

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_2'(t) + y_3'(t) &\leq K \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \left((\rho^{(1)})^\gamma - (\rho^{(2)})^\gamma \right) (\partial_x u_i) dx - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i u_i (\partial_t u_i^{(2)}) dx - \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i^{(1)} v u_i (\partial_x u_i^{(2)}) dx - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i v^{(2)} u_i (\partial_x u_i^{(2)}) dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$y_2(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i^{(1)} u_i^2 dx, \quad y_3(t) = C_0 \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \int_0^1 |\partial_x u_i|^2 dx d\tau.$$

We estimate the terms on the right-hand side of (57) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &K \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \left((\rho^{(1)})^\gamma - (\rho^{(2)})^\gamma \right) (\partial_x u_i) dx \leq \frac{C_0}{4} \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_x u_i\|_{L_2(0,1)}^2 + \\
 &\quad + B_{34} \left(C_0, K, N, \gamma, \left\{ \|\rho_j^{(1,2)}\|_{L_\infty(Q_T)} \right\} \right) \sum_{i=1}^N y_{1i}(t), \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i u_i (\partial_t u_i^{(2)}) dx \leq \\
 &\leq \frac{C_0}{4} \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_x u_i\|_{L_2(0,1)}^2 + B_{35} \left(C_0, \left\{ \|\partial_t u_j^{(2)}\|_{L_2(0,1)} \right\} \right) \sum_{i=1}^N y_{1i}(t), \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i^{(1)} v u_i (\partial_x u_i^{(2)}) dx \leq \\
 &\leq B_{36} \left(\left\{ \|\partial_x u_j^{(2)}\|_{L_\infty(0,1)} \right\}, \left\{ \sup_{[0,1]} \rho_{0j} \right\}, \left\{ \inf_{[0,1]} \rho_{0j} \right\} \right) y_2(t), \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^1 \rho_i v^{(2)} u_i (\partial_x u_i^{(2)}) dx \leq B_{37} \left(\left\{ \|u_j^{(2)}\|_{L_\infty(Q_T)} \right\} \right) \sum_{i=1}^N y_{1i}(t) + \\
 &\quad + B_{38} \left(\left\{ \|\partial_x u_j^{(2)}\|_{L_\infty(0,1)} \right\}, \left\{ \|1/\rho_j^{(1)}\|_{L_\infty(Q_T)} \right\} \right) y_2(t),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $B_{35}, B_{38} \in L_1(0, T)$, $B_{36} \in L_2(0, T)$. Here, in the third estimate, we have used the relations

$$\inf_{[0,1]} \frac{\rho_{0i}(x)}{\rho_0(x)} \leq \frac{\rho_i(x, t)}{\rho(x, t)} \leq \sup_{[0,1]} \frac{\rho_{0i}(x)}{\rho_0(x)} \leq 1 \quad \text{as } (x, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, T], \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

which can be easily verified via the transport equations for the concentrations ρ_i/ρ .

Hence, from (57), using the relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^N y_{1i}(t) \leq B_{39}(C_0, B_{33}, N)y_3(t)$$

proved above (cf. (56)), we deduce

$$y_2'(t) + \frac{1}{2}y_3'(t) \leq B_{40}(B_{34}, \dots, B_{39}) \left(y_2(t) + \frac{1}{2}y_3(t) \right),$$

where $B_{40} \in L_1(0, T)$. Taking into account that $y_2(0) = y_3(0) = 0$, we obtain the identities $y_{1i} \equiv y_2 \equiv y_3 \equiv 0$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, which complete the proof of Theorem 2.

In another paper we plan to obtain global-in-time a priori estimates of solutions, which would provide (basing on Theorem 2) the global solvability of the problem (1)–(4).

REFERENCES

- [1] S. N. Antontsev, A. V. Kazhikhov, V. N. Monakhov, *Boundary value problems in mechanics of nonhomogeneous fluids*, Studies in Mathematics and its Applications, **22**, Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Co., 1990. Zbl 0696.76001
- [2] V. N. Dorovsky, Yu. V. Perepechko, *Theory of the partial melting*, Sov. Geology and Geophysics, **9** (1989), 56–64 (in Russian).
- [3] J. Frehse, S. Goj, J. Malek, *On a Stokes-like system for mixtures of fluids*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **36**:4 (2005), 1259–1281. Zbl 1084.35057
- [4] J. Frehse, S. Goj, J. Malek, *A uniqueness result for a model for mixtures in the absence of external forces and interaction momentum*, Appl. Math., **50**:6 (2005), 527–541. Zbl 1099.35079
- [5] J. Frehse, W. Weigant, *On quasi-stationary models of mixtures of compressible fluids*, Appl. Math., **53**:4 (2008), 319–345. Zbl 1199.76026
- [6] A. E. Mamontov, D. A. Prokudin, *Viscous compressible multi-fluids: modeling and multi-D existence*, Methods and Applications of Analysis, **20**:2 (2013), 179–195. Zbl 1290.35203
- [7] A. E. Mamontov, D. A. Prokudin, *Solvability of a stationary boundary-value problem for the equations of motion of a one-temperature mixture of viscous compressible heat-conducting fluids*, Izvestiya: Mathematics, **78**:3 (2014), 554–579. Zbl 1359.76244
- [8] A. E. Mamontov, D. A. Prokudin, *Viscous compressible homogeneous multi-fluids with multiple velocities: barotropic existence theory*, arXiv:1610.05536 [math.AP], 18 Oct 2016, 14 P.
- [9] A. E. Mamontov, D. A. Prokudin, *Solvability of steady boundary value problem for the equations of polytropic motion of multicomponent viscous compressible fluids*, Siberian Electr. Math. Reports, **13** (2016), 664–693 (in Russian). Zbl 1370.35232
- [10] A. E. Mamontov, D. A. Prokudin, *Solvability of the regularized steady problem of the spatial motions of multicomponent viscous compressible fluids*, Siberian Math. J., **57**:6 (2016), 1044–1054. Zbl 1361.35148
- [11] A. E. Mamontov, D. A. Prokudin, *Solvability of initial boundary value problem for the equations of polytropic motion of multicomponent viscous compressible fluids*, Siberian Electr. Math. Reports, **13** (2016), 541–583 (in Russian). Zbl 1351.35144
- [12] A. E. Mamontov, D. A. Prokudin, *Modeling viscous compressible barotropic multi-fluid flows*, J. of Physics: Conference Series, **894** (2017), Art. 012058, 8 p.

- [13] A. E. Mamontov, D. A. Prokudin, *Modeling viscous compressible barotropic multi-fluid flows*, arXiv:1708.07319 [math.AP], 24 Aug 2017, 13 p.
- [14] A. E. Mamontov, D. A. Prokudin, *Viscous compressible homogeneous multi-fluids with multiple velocities: barotropic existence theory*, Siberian Electr. Math. Reports, **14** (2017), 388–397. Zbl 1379.35248
- [15] A. E. Mamontov, D. A. Prokudin, *Existence of weak solutions to the three-dimensional problem of steady barotropic motions of mixtures of viscous compressible fluids*, Siberian Math. J., **58**:1 (2017), 113–127. Zbl 1381.35141
- [16] A. E. Mamontov, D. A. Prokudin, *Solvability of a steady boundary-value problem for the equations of one-temperature viscous compressible heat-conducting bifluids*, arXiv:1710.06626 [math.AP], 18 Oct 2017, 36 p.
- [17] A. E. Mamontov, D. A. Prokudin, *Global solvability of 1D equations of viscous compressible multi-fluids*, arXiv:1708.07662 [math.AP], 25 Aug 2017, 11 p.
- [18] A. E. Mamontov, D. A. Prokudin, *Global solvability of 1D equations of viscous compressible multi-fluids*, J. of Physics: Conference Series, 894 (2017) 012059, 7 p.
- [19] A. E. Mamontov, D. A. Prokudin, *Local solvability of initial-boundary value problem for one-dimensional equations of polytropic flows of viscous compressible multifluids*, J. of Math. Sciences, **231**:2 (2018), 227–242.
- [20] A. E. Mamontov, D. A. Prokudin, *Solvability of unsteady equations of multi-component viscous compressible fluids*, Izvestiya: Mathematics, **82**:1 (2018), 140–185.
- [21] R. I. Nigmatulin, *Dynamics of multiphase media, Vol. 1*, Hemisphere, N.Y., 1990.
- [22] A. Novotný, I. Straškraba, *Introduction to the mathematical theory of compressible flow*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and Its Applications, **27**, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2004. Zbl 1088.35051
- [23] D. A. Prokudin, *Unique solvability of initial-boundary value problem for a model system of equations for the polytropic motion of a mixture of viscous compressible fluids*, Siberian Electr. Math. Reports, **14** (2017), 568–585 (in Russian). Zbl 1375.35007
- [24] D. A. Prokudin, *Global solvability of the initial boundary value problem for a model system of one-dimensional equations of polytropic flows of viscous compressible fluid mixtures*, J. of Physics: Conference Series, **894** (2017), 012076, 6 p.
- [25] D. A. Prokudin, M. V. Krayushkina, *Solvability of a stationary boundary value problem for a model system of the equations of barotropic motion of a mixture of compressible viscous fluids*, Journal of Applied and Industrial Mathematics, **10**:3 (2016), 417–428. Zbl 1374.35320
- [26] K. L. Rajagopal, L. Tao, *Mechanics of mixtures, Series on Advances in Mathematics for Applied Sciences*, **35**, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 1995. Zbl 0941.74500

ALEXANDER EVGENYEVICH MAMONTOV
 LAVRENTYEV INSTITUTE OF HYDRODYNAMICS,
 PR. LAVRENT'ÉVA, 15,
 630090, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA
E-mail address: aem@hydro.nsc.ru

DMITRIY ALEXEYEVICH PROKUDIN
 LAVRENTYEV INSTITUTE OF HYDRODYNAMICS,
 PR. LAVRENT'ÉVA, 15,
 630090, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA;
 VORONEZH STATE UNIVERSITY,
 UNIVERSITY SQUARE, 1,
 394018, VORONEZH, RUSSIA
E-mail address: prokudin@hydro.nsc.ru