

СИБИРСКИЕ ЭЛЕКТРОННЫЕ  
МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИЕ ИЗВЕСТИЯ

Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports

<http://semr.math.nsc.ru>

Том 16, стр. 1205–1214 (2019)

УДК 514.772.35

DOI 10.33048/semi.2019.16.082

MSC 53C24,30C65

UNIQUE DETERMINATION OF CONFORMAL TYPE  
FOR DOMAINS. II

A.P. KOPYLOV

**ABSTRACT.** The article is the second part of a review series entitled “Unique determination of conformal type for domains,” initiated by the author’s eponymous paper, published in *Sib. Elektron. Mat. Izv.*, **16**, 692–708 (2019). The main result of the present article is that any convex bounded polyhedral domain in the three-dimensional Euclidean space is uniquely determined by the relative conformal moduli of its boundary condensers.

**Keywords:**  $p$ -modulus of a family of paths, boundary condenser, quasiconformal mapping, conformal mapping, isometric mapping, unique determination.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], we observed that the main result of [1] is Theorem 1.1, discussed in detail in [2]–[4]. In accordance with this theorem, *if  $n \geq 4$  then any bounded convex polyhedral domain  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (i.e., a nonempty bounded intersection of finitely many open  $n$ -dimensional half-spaces) is uniquely determined by the relative conformal moduli of its boundary condensers in the class  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(n)$  of all bounded convex polyhedral domains  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$* . In this article, we keep to the notations and use the notions and assertions of [1]. For this reason, we refer the reader for them to [1].

In the present article, we fully expose an analogous result for the case of bounded convex domains in the three-dimensional Euclidean space. Moreover, we consider

---

KOPYLOV, A.P., UNIQUE DETERMINATION OF CONFORMAL TYPE FOR DOMAINS.

© 2019 KOPYLOV A.P.

The author was partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Grant 17-01-00875-a (2017-2019)).

Received May, 23, 2019, published September, 9, 2019.

the question of unique determination of isometric type for domains in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  on the basis of the notion of the  $p$ -moduli of a family of paths.

## 2. UNIQUE DETERMINATION OF THREE-DIMENSIONAL CONVEX POLYHEDRAL DOMAINS BY THE RELATIVE CONFORMAL MODULI OF BOUNDARY CONDENSERS

In this section, we prove an analog of [1, Theorem 1.1] in the case of  $n = 3$  (Theorem 2.1 below), which was announced in [5] and becomes possible due to a criterion obtained by V. V. Aseev (in [6]) for the equality of convex polyhedral angles in the case of  $n = 3$  (see Lemma 2.1 below).

Let us list some features of the case  $n = 3$ . In the proof of [1, Theorem 1.1] (see, for example, [3, Theorem 8.1]), one of the most important points is to prove that if  $f : \text{fr } V \rightarrow \text{fr } U$  is a homeomorphism preserving the relative conformal moduli of boundary condensers, then on every  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional face  $s$  of  $\text{fr } V$  there exists a connected subset  $\sigma$  open with respect to  $s$  such that  $f(\sigma)$  is an open subset in some  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional face of  $\text{fr } U$ ; moreover,  $f|_\sigma$  is an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional conformal mapping. Another important point is to prove the identity  $\sigma = \text{Int } s$ . Here, an essential role is played by the following property of spatial conformal mappings: any conformal mapping  $g : \lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  of a domain  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $m \geq 3$ , is the restriction  $G|_\lambda$  of some Möbius transformation  $G : \bar{\mathbb{R}}^m \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}^m$  (see, for example, [7]). However, in the case  $m = 2$  ( $n = 3$ ) we need to use plane conformal mappings whose properties are cardinally different from those of spatial conformal mappings.

So, our main aim (in this section) is to prove the following assertion:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $n = 3$ . Then every bounded convex polyhedral domain  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is uniquely determined by the relative conformal moduli of its boundary condensers in the class  $\mathcal{P}$  of all bounded convex polyhedral domains  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ . Furthermore,  $U$  is determined in  $\mathcal{P}$  up to an additional similarity transformation  $P : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ .*

The proof of Theorem 2.1 consists of several steps.

The first step is the following assertion:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let a homeomorphism  $f : \text{fr } U \rightarrow \text{fr } V$  of the boundaries of bounded convex polyhedral domains  $U$  and  $V$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  satisfy the following conditions:*

- (1)  *$f$  and  $f^{-1}$  send edges to edges;*
- (2) *the interior dihedral angles between pairs of adjacent faces remain equal under the map  $f$ ;*
- (3)  *$f$  is a plane conformal mapping on each face of  $U$ .*

*Then the domains  $U$  and  $V$  are similar and  $f$  extends to a similarity transformation of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .*

For proving Theorem 2.2, we need some auxiliary assertions. Let  $W$  be a given convex solid polyhedral angle in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with vertex  $O$  and edges  $w_1, \dots, w_m$  enumerated in the order of circumvention around  $O$  along the exterior boundary  $\text{fr } W$  in the positive direction (counterclockwise). The face with sides  $w_j, w_{j+1}$  is denoted by  $\sigma_{j,j+1}$  (the operations in the subscripts are understood modulo  $m$ ). Following [8], we construct the polar polyhedral angle  $W_*$  with vertex  $O$  whose edges are rays directed along the outward normals to the faces  $\sigma_{j,j+1}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ). We note that  $W_*$  is also a convex polyhedral angle (see [8]).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume that for convex polyhedral angles  $W, W' \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  there exists a bijection  $f$  between the sets of all edges of  $W$  and  $W'$  preserving the order of circumvention of edges around the vertex along the exterior boundaries of these angles. Let  $\alpha_j$  be the angle between the edges  $w_j$  and  $w_{j+1}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ), and let  $\beta_j$  be the angle between the edges  $f(w_j)$  and  $f(w_{j+1})$ . If*

$$\frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1} = \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta_2} = \dots = \frac{\alpha_m}{\beta_m}$$

*and the interior dihedral angles at the edges  $w_j$  and  $f(w_j)$  are equal, then  $W$  and  $W'$  are isometric in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .*

*Доказательство.* For the polyhedral angles  $W$  and  $W'$  we construct the polar convex polyhedral angles  $W_*$  and  $W'_*$ . A bijection  $f$  between the edges of  $W$  and  $W_*$  generates a bijection between the edges of  $W'$  and  $W'_*$ . By duality, in  $W'$  and  $W'_*$ , the plane angles in the corresponding faces are equal, and, for the differences of interior dihedral angles  $\pi - \alpha_j$  and  $\pi - \beta_j$  at the corresponding edges, we have  $(\pi - \alpha_j) - (\pi - \beta_j) = (t - 1)\alpha_j$ , where  $t = \alpha_j/\beta_j$  is independent of  $j$ . Assume that  $t \neq 1$ . Then these differences must change the sign at least four times in accordance with [9, Lemma 2a]. However, in our situation, they preserve the sign for all  $j$ . The so-obtained contradiction shows that  $t = 1$ , and hence  $\alpha_j = \beta_j$  for all  $j$ . Hence, in the convex polyhedral angles  $W$  and  $W'$ , the corresponding plane and bihedral angles are equal. By the Cauchy lemma (see, for example, [9]), these polyhedral angles are equal.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Assume that plane bounded polygons  $P$  (with vertices  $p_1, \dots, p_k$ ) and  $Q$  (with vertices  $q_1, \dots, q_k$ ) are such that their interior angles  $\pi\delta_j$  at the corresponding vertices  $p_j$  and  $q_j$  are equal. If a conformal mapping  $f : P \rightarrow Q$  takes  $p_j$  to  $q_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , then  $f$  is a similarity transformation.*

*Доказательство.* By the Schwarz–Christoffel theorem (see, for example, [10]), there is a collection of finite points  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  on the real axis and a conformal mapping  $g_1$  from the upper half-plane  $\mathbb{C}_+$  to the polygon  $P$  such that  $g_1(a_j) = p_j$ . For  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}_+$  this mapping can be defined by

$$g_1(z) = C_1 \int_{z_0}^z (z - a_1)^{\delta_1 - 1} \cdot \dots \cdot (z - a_k)^{\delta_k - 1} dz + C_2,$$

where for every factor  $(z - a_j)^{\delta_j - 1} = \exp\{(\delta_j - 1) \operatorname{Log}(z - a_j)\}$  the single branch of the function  $\operatorname{Log}(z - a_j)$  in the upper half-plane  $\mathbb{C}_+$  is defined by the condition  $0 \leq \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Log}(z - a_j)) \leq \pi$ . Moreover, the constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are uniquely determined by the point  $z_0$ . Applying the Schwarz–Christoffel theorem to an (analogous) conformal mapping  $g_2 : \mathbb{C}_+ \rightarrow Q$  and taking into account that  $f(g_1(a_j)) = q_j$ , we get

$$\zeta = g_2(z) = f(g_1(z)) = C_1^* \int_{z_0}^z (z - a_1)^{\delta_1 - 1} \cdot \dots \cdot (z - a_k)^{\delta_k - 1} dz + C_2^*.$$

Consequently, for any  $w \in P$  we have the equality  $(\zeta - C_2^*)/C_1^* = (w - C_2)/C_1$  which means that  $f$  is a similarity transformation:  $\zeta = C_2^* + (C_1^*/C_1)(w - C_2)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *If, under the assumptions of Theorem 2.2,  $f$  is a similarity transformation of an open subset  $u$  of a face  $\sigma$  of the domain  $U$ , then  $f$  is extended to a similarity transformation of the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .*

*Доказательство.* Let  $f|_u$  coincide with the restriction  $F|_u$  of some similarity transformation  $F : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ . Then the composition  $g = F^{-1} \circ f$  satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 for convex polyhedral domains  $U$  and  $F(V)$  and is identical on the open set  $u$ . By the interior uniqueness theorem for analytic functions, the mapping  $g$  is identical on the whole face  $\sigma$ . Let the faces  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma$  have a common edge  $L$ . In the plane, we consider the involute  $\Sigma$  of  $\text{fr } U$ , where the polygons  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma_1$  are glued together along their common side  $L$ , and the corresponding involute  $\Sigma'$  of  $\text{fr } F(V)$ , where the polygons  $F(\sigma') = g(\sigma)$  and  $F(\sigma'_1) = g(\sigma_1)$  are glued together along their common side  $L' = g(L)$  (see [9, Chapter 1]). The orientation preserving homeomorphism  $g$  from the plane domain  $\sigma \cup L \cup \sigma_1$  to the plane domain  $F(\sigma') \cup L' \cup F(\sigma'_1)$  is a conformal mapping on each of the faces  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma_1$ . By the continuous extension principle (see [10, Theorem 1]),  $g$  is conformal in the entire domain  $\sigma \cup L \cup \sigma_1$  and, since it is identical on  $\sigma$ , it is identical everywhere in  $\sigma \cup L \cup \sigma_1$ , in particular, on  $\sigma_1$ . Thus, passing from face to face, we verify that the homeomorphism  $g$  on each face of the domain  $U$  is the identity mapping. Hence the mapping  $g : \text{fr } U \rightarrow \text{fr } F(V)$  is an isometry on each face. By the known result (see [8, Chapter 3]), the mapping  $g$  is extended to an isometry  $\tilde{g}$  of the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Therefore, the similarity transformation  $\tilde{f} = F^{-1}(\tilde{g})$  serves as an extension of the homeomorphism  $f$  to the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* In the polyhedral domain  $U$ , we consider a vertex  $O$  and the adjacent faces  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$  enumerated in the order of circumvention around  $O$  along  $\text{fr } U$  in the positive direction. Denote by  $\alpha_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ) the interior angle at the vertex  $O$  in the polygon  $\sigma_j$  and by  $L_j = \sigma_j \cap \sigma_{j+1}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m; m+1 \equiv 1$ ) the edges outgoing from  $O$ . We set

$$\Sigma = \bigcup_{j=1}^m \sigma_j, \quad \Phi = \bigcup_{j=1}^m \alpha_j.$$

In the polyhedral domain  $V$ , we consider the vertex  $O' = f(O)$ , the faces  $\sigma'_j = f(\sigma)$ , the edges  $L'_j = f(L_j) = \sigma'_j \cap \sigma'_{j+1}$ , and the neighborhood

$$\Sigma' = f(\Sigma) = \bigcup_{j=1}^m \sigma'_j$$

of the point  $O'$  on  $\text{fr } V$ . We set

$$\Psi = \bigcup_{j=1}^m \beta_j,$$

where  $\beta_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ) is an interior angle at the vertex  $O'$  in the polygon  $\sigma'_j$ .

We construct an orientation preserving homeomorphism  $H : \Sigma \rightarrow D \subset \mathbb{C}$  from  $\Sigma$  onto the closed neighborhood  $D = H(\Sigma)$  of the point 0. The homeomorphism  $H$  is uniquely determined by the following conditions:

(1)  $H$  takes line segments with origin  $O$  and endpoints on  $\text{fr } D$  to line segments with origin 0 and endpoints on  $\text{fr } D$ ; moreover, the edge  $L_m$  goes to the line segment  $H(L_m) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z = 0, \text{Re } z \geq 0\}$ ,

(2)  $|H(x)| = |x - O|^{2\pi/\Phi}$  for all  $x \in \Sigma$ ,

(3) for any pair of line segments with origin  $O$  and endpoints on  $\text{fr } \Sigma$  that lie on the same face, the angle  $\varphi$  between these segments is connected with the angle  $\tilde{\varphi}$  between their images by the equality  $\tilde{\varphi} = (2\pi/\Phi)\varphi$ .

Then, for any face  $\sigma_j$ , the restriction  $H|_{\sigma_j}$  maps  $\text{Int } \sigma_j$  conformally onto

$$H(\text{Int } \sigma_j) \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

For the polyhedral domain  $V$  with vertex  $O'$ , we construct an orientation preserving homeomorphism  $H' : \Sigma' (= f(\Sigma)) \rightarrow D' \subset \mathbb{C}$  which is uniquely determined by the following three conditions:

(1')  $H'$  takes line segments with origin  $O'$  and endpoints on  $\text{fr } \Sigma'$  to line segments with origin 0 and endpoints on  $\text{fr } D'$ ; moreover, the edge  $L'_m$  goes to the line segment  $H'(L'_m) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z = 0, \text{Re } z \geq 0\}$ ;

(2')  $|H'(x)| = |x - O'|^{2\pi/\Psi}$  for all  $x \in \Sigma'$ ,

(3') for any pair of line segments with origin  $O$  and endpoints on  $\text{fr } \Sigma'$  that lie on the same face, the angle  $\psi$  between these segments is connected with the angle  $\tilde{\psi}$  between their images by the equality  $\tilde{\psi} = (2\pi/\Psi)\psi$ .

Then for any face  $\sigma'_j$  the restriction  $H'|_{\sigma'_j}$  maps  $\text{Int } \sigma'_j$  conformally onto

$$H'(\text{Int } \sigma'_j) \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

Let us verify that the homeomorphism  $g = H' \circ f \circ H^{-1} : D \rightarrow D'$  is a conformal mapping in a neighborhood of any interior point  $z_0 \in \text{Int } D$ .

If  $H^{-1}(z_0)$  is an interior point of some face  $\sigma_j$ , then  $g$  is conformal in a neighborhood of this point because  $H^{-1}$  is conformal in a neighborhood of  $z_0$ ,  $f|_{\sigma_j}$  is conformal in a neighborhood of  $H^{-1}(z_0)$ , and  $H'$  is conformal in a neighborhood of the point  $f(H^{-1}(z_0))$  which is an interior point of the face  $\sigma'_j$ .

If  $H^{-1}(z_0)$  is an interior point of some edge  $L_j$ , then  $z_0$  is an interior point of the line segment  $H(L_j)$ . Then, in the disk  $B(z_0, r)$  of a sufficiently small radius, the function  $g$  is analytic in  $B(z_0, r) \setminus H(L_j)$  and continuous in  $B(z_0, r)$ . By the continuous extension principle (see [10, Theorem 1]),  $g$  is analytic in the whole disk  $B(z_0, r)$ . Thus, the function  $g$  is analytic in a punctured neighborhood of the point 0. Since  $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} g(z) = g(0) = 0$  exists, 0 is a removable singular point of  $g$  and, consequently,  $g$  is analytic in a neighborhood of 0 and the homeomorphism  $g : \text{Int } D \rightarrow \text{Int } D'$  is a conformal mapping. Then  $g$  preserves the angles between the directions at the point 0. Therefore, taking into account (3) and (3'), for any pair of edges  $L_j$  and  $L_{j+1}$  we have  $(2\pi/\Phi)\alpha_j = (2\pi/\Psi)\beta_j$  which shows that the ratio  $\alpha_j/\beta_j = \Phi/\Psi$  is independent of  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Extending the edges  $L_j$  and  $L'_j$  to rays  $w_j$  and  $w'_j$  outgoing from the points  $O$  and  $O'$  respectively, we find polyhedral angles  $W$  and  $W'$  satisfying all the assumptions of Lemma 2.1. Then for each face  $\sigma_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ) we get the equality  $\alpha_j = \beta_j$  for interior angles in  $\sigma_j$  and  $\sigma'_j = f(\sigma_j)$  at the vertices  $O$  and  $O'$  respectively. Since the vertex  $O$  is chosen arbitrarily, in the polyhedral domain  $U$ , all the corresponding plane angles in the polygons  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma'_1 = f(\sigma_1)$  are equal. By Lemma 2.2,  $f|_{\sigma_1}$  is a similarity transformation. Applying Lemma 2.3, we obtain the desired result. □

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* By definition, for  $V \in \mathcal{P}(3)$  there exists a homeomorphism  $f : \text{fr } U \rightarrow \text{fr } V$  preserving the relative conformal moduli of boundary condensers. Our goal is to prove that the domain  $V$  can be conformally mapped onto the domain  $U$ . For this purpose, we first consider the unions  $T_1$  and  $T'_1$  of all edges of  $\text{fr } U$  and  $\text{fr } V$  respectively. The sets  $\Sigma = \text{fr } U \setminus \{T_1 \cup f^{-1}(T'_1)\}$  and  $\Sigma' = \text{fr } V \setminus \{f(T_1) \cup T'_1\} = f(\Sigma)$  are everywhere dense in  $\text{fr } U$  and  $\text{fr } V$  (respectively) and are open in the topologies of these boundaries induced by the Euclidean metric in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The

restriction  $f|_{\Sigma}$  ( $f^{-1}|_{\Sigma'}$ ) of  $f$  ( $f^{-1}$ ) on  $\Sigma$  ( $\Sigma'$ ) is a 2-dimensional conformal mapping, which can be proved in the same way as Theorem 8.1 in [3].

Let us prove that  $T'_1 = f(T_1)$ . Assume that  $f(T_1)$  is not a subset of  $T'_1$ . Since  $f$  is continuous, there exists an edge  $L$  of  $U$  and a point  $a \in \text{Int } L$  such that  $f(a) \in \text{Int } s'$ , where  $s'$  is a face of  $V$ . Since  $f(a)$  does not belong to  $T'_1$  and  $f$  is a homeomorphism, there exists an (open) three-dimensional ball  $B_3(a, R)$  with center  $a$  and radius  $R$  possessing the following properties:  $R$  is less than the distance from the point  $a$  to the set  $f^{-1}(T'_1)$ ,  $f(B_3(a, R) \cap \text{fr } U) \subset s'$ , and the intersection of  $B_3(a, R)$  with each of the planes  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  containing one of the neighboring faces  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  with the common edge  $L$  is a subset of the face  $s_1$  (respectively  $s_2$ ). In particular, this means that the intersection of  $B_3(a, R)$  with the line  $l$  containing the edge  $L$  is a subset of  $L$ . Rotating  $h$  around the line  $l$ , we develop  $s_1$  in such a way that the planes of the faces  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  coincide. Then, without loss of generality, the mapping  $\tilde{f} : B_2(a, R) \rightarrow \tau'$  from the disk  $B_2(a, R)$  in the plane  $\tau_2$  into the plane  $\tau'$  containing the face  $s'$  of the domain  $V$  such that  $\tilde{f}(x) = f(h^{-1}(x))$  for  $x \in h(B_2(a, R) \cap s_1)$  and  $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x)$  for  $x \in B_2(a, R) \cap s_2$  can be regarded as a mapping from  $B_2(a, R) \subset \mathbb{C}$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ . By construction and the relation  $\text{Int}(B_3(a, R) \cap s_j) \subset \Sigma$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , we conclude that  $\tilde{f}$  is a continuous bijection from  $B_2(a, R)$  into  $f(B_3(a, R) \cap \partial U)$ ; moreover,  $\tilde{f}$  is a plane conformal mapping in the half-disks  $\text{Int } h(B_3(a, R) \cap s_1)$  and  $\text{Int}(B_3(a, R) \cap s_2)$ . Hence,  $L$  is ACL-removable for  $\tilde{f}$ . Consequently,  $\tilde{f}$  is conformal in  $B_2(a, R)$ . Therefore,  $\frac{d\tilde{f}}{dz}(a) \neq 0$ , and  $f|_{B_3(a, R) \cap L}$  is a smooth mapping.

Let  $r_0 > 0$  be such that  $r_0 < R$  and  $B_3(a, r_0) \cap \tilde{V}_\alpha \subset U$ ; moreover,  $\tilde{V}_\alpha = \text{contg}_U a = \text{cl}(P(V_\alpha))$  ( $P : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is a similarity transformation) and

$$V_\alpha = \{x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_j \in \mathbb{R}, j = 1, 2, 3, x_2 = \lambda \cos \theta, \\ x_3 = \lambda \sin \theta, 0 < \lambda < \infty, 0 < \theta < \alpha\},$$

where  $0 < \alpha < \pi$  (we note that additional conditions on the number  $r_0$  will be imposed below). Let  $\mu \geq 2$  be a natural number, and let  $t > 0$  be a real number such that  $2\mu t \leq r_0$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $l$  is the abscissa axis (in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ),  $\tilde{V}_\alpha = V_\alpha$ , and  $a = 0$ . We consider the boundary condenser  $A_\mu = \{F_1^\mu, F_2^\mu\}$  of both domains  $U$  and  $V_\alpha$  with components

$$F_1^\mu = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : -t \leq x_1 \leq 0, x_2 = x_3 = 0\}, \\ F_2^\mu = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : t \leq x_1 \leq \mu t, x_2 = x_3 = 0\}.$$

Since  $B_3(0, r_0) \cap V_\alpha \subset U$ , we have

$$\Gamma_{F_1^\mu, F_2^\mu, U} \subset \Gamma_{F_1^\mu, F_2^\mu, B_3(0, 2\mu t) \cap V_\alpha} \cup \Gamma^\mu \subset \Gamma_{F_1^\mu, F_2^\mu, V_\alpha} \cup \Gamma^\mu,$$

where  $\Gamma_{F_1^\mu, F_2^\mu, B_3(0, 2\mu t) \cap V_\alpha}$  is a family of paths  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma_{F_1^\mu, F_2^\mu, U}$  whose images  $\text{Im } \gamma$  are contained in the ball  $B_3(0, 2\mu t)$  and  $\Gamma^\mu$  is a family of paths  $\gamma_0$  in  $\Gamma_{F_1^\mu, F_2^\mu, U}$  such that  $\{\text{Im } \gamma_0\} \cap \{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_3(0, 2\mu t)\} \neq \emptyset$ ; moreover,  $\Gamma^\mu$  is minorized by the family  $\Gamma_{S_1, S_2, \bar{A}_\mu}$  of paths joining the boundary spheres  $S_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| = t\}$  and  $S_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| = 2\mu t\}$  of the spherical shell  $\bar{A}_\mu = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : t < |x| < 2\mu t\}$ . By [11, Theorems 6.2, 6.4, and 7.5],

$$M^U(A_\mu) \leq M^{V_\alpha}(A_\mu) + 4\pi\{\log(2\mu)\}^{-2} \leq M^{V_\alpha}(A) + 4\pi(\log \mu)^{-2}. \tag{2.1}$$

We note that estimate (2.1) is independent of the parameter  $t$  provided that its values are sufficiently small, for example,  $t \leq r_0/(2\mu)$ . By the conformal invariance

of the modulus (3-modulus) of boundary condensers, we can assume that

$$f(0) = 0, \quad \frac{d\bar{f}}{dz}(0) = C > 0, \quad \tau' = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_3 = 0\}, \quad V \subset \mathbb{R}_+^3 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_3 > 0\}.$$

Furthermore, if  $r_0$  is sufficiently small, then, because of the smoothness of  $f|_{B_3(0,R) \cap L}$ , we have  $\frac{df}{dt}(r) \neq 0$  for all  $r \in [-r_0, r_0]$  and the function  $r \mapsto |f(r)|$  is (strictly) decreasing on  $[-r_0, 0]$  and (strictly) increasing on  $[0, r_0]$ . We can also assume that  $r_0$  satisfies the condition

$$B_3(0, \max_{|z| \leq r_0, z \in \mathbb{C}} |\tilde{f}(z)|) \subset V.$$

Two cases are possible:

*Case 1:* 0 lies in the closure of the set of  $t \in ]0, r_0/2\mu[$  such that  $|f(-t)| \geq |f(t)|$ ,

*Case 2:* 0 lies in the closure of the set of  $t \in ]0, r_0/2\mu[$  such that  $|f(-t)| < |f(t)|$ .

*Case 1.* Assume that  $r_0$  is small enough so that for any  $t \in ]0, r_0/2\mu[$  the ray  $\chi$  outgoing from the point  $f(2\mu t)$  in the opposite direction to the direction of the ray that is the contingency of the set  $f([0, 2\mu t])$  at the point  $2\mu t$  and the vector  $e_1$  ( $e_1, e_2, e_3$  is the canonical basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ) form an angle less than  $\pi/2$ . For any such  $t$  we extend the curve  $f([0, 2\mu t])$  by this ray (the obtained curve is denoted by  $E_t$ ) and consider the boundary condensers

$$\tilde{A}_\mu = \{\tilde{F}_1^\mu, \tilde{F}_2^\mu\}, \quad \tilde{F}_1^\mu = f(F_1^\mu) = f([-t, 0]), \quad \tilde{F}_2^\mu = f(F_2^\mu)$$

of the domain  $V$  and, simultaneously, the half-space  $\mathbb{R}_+^3$  and

$$\tilde{A}_\mu^* = \{\tilde{F}_1^\mu, \tilde{F}_2^{\mu*}\}, \quad \tilde{A} = \{\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_2\}, \quad \tilde{F}_2^{\mu*} = E_t \setminus f([0, \mu t]), \quad \tilde{F}_1 = \tilde{F}_1^\mu, \quad \tilde{F}_2 = E_t \setminus f([0, t])$$

of the half-space  $\mathbb{R}_+^3$ . For these condensers

$$M^{V_\pi}(\tilde{A}) \leq M^V(\tilde{A}_\mu) + M_3(\tilde{\Gamma}_\mu) + M_3(\tilde{A}_\mu^*, \mathbb{R}^3) \tag{2.2}$$

(see [3], formula (8.32)), where  $\tilde{\Gamma}_\mu$  is the family of paths  $\gamma$  joining  $\tilde{F}_1$  with  $\tilde{F}_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\tilde{F}_1 \cup \tilde{F}_2\}$  and such that  $\{\text{Im } \gamma\} \cap \{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (B_3(0, f(2\mu t)))\} \neq \emptyset$ ; moreover,

$$M_3(\tilde{A}_\mu, \mathbb{R}^3) = M_3(\Gamma_{\tilde{F}_1^\mu, \tilde{F}_2^{\mu*}, \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\tilde{F}_1^\mu \cup \tilde{F}_2^{\mu*}\}}).$$

Indeed,

$$\Gamma_{\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_2, V_\pi} \subset \Gamma_{\tilde{F}_1^\mu, \tilde{F}_2^\mu, V_\pi} \cup \Gamma_{\tilde{F}_1^\mu, \tilde{F}_2^{\mu*}, \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\tilde{F}_1^\mu \cup \tilde{F}_2^{\mu*}\}}, \quad \Gamma_{\tilde{F}_1^\mu, \tilde{F}_2^\mu, V_\pi} \subset \Gamma_{\tilde{F}_1^\mu, \tilde{F}_2^\mu, V} \cup \tilde{\Gamma}_\mu.$$

By [11, Theorem 6.2],

$$M^{V_\pi}(\tilde{A}) \leq M^{V_\pi}(\tilde{A}_\mu) + M_3(\tilde{A}_\mu^*, \mathbb{R}^3) \leq M^V(\tilde{A}_\mu) + M_3(\tilde{\Gamma}_\mu) + M_3(\tilde{A}_\mu^*, \mathbb{R}^3),$$

which implies (2.2). We assume that  $r_0$  also satisfies the inequality  $|f(r) - Cre_1| \leq Cr/4$  for all  $r \in [-r_0, r_0]$ . Taking into account that  $\Gamma_{\tilde{F}_1^\mu, \tilde{F}_2^{\mu*}, \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\tilde{F}_1^\mu \cup \tilde{F}_2^{\mu*}\}}$  is minorized by the family  $\Gamma_{\tilde{S}_1, \tilde{S}_2', \tilde{A}'_\mu}$  of paths joining the boundary spheres  $\tilde{S}_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| = |f(-t)|\}$  and  $\tilde{S}_2' = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| = |f(\mu t)|\}$  of the spherical shell  $\tilde{A}'_\mu = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |f(-t)| < |x| < |f(\mu t)|\}$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}_\mu$  is minorized by the family  $\Gamma_{\tilde{S}_1, \tilde{S}_2'', \tilde{A}''_\mu}$  of paths joining the boundary spheres  $\tilde{S}_1$  and  $\tilde{S}_2'' = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| = |f(2\mu t)|\}$  of the spherical shell  $\tilde{A}''_\mu = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |f(-t)| < |x| < |f(2\mu t)|\}$  and using [11, Theorems 6.2, 6.4, and 7.5], we get

$$M_3(\tilde{A}_\mu^*, \mathbb{R}^3) \leq 4\pi \{\log(|f(\mu t)|/|f(-t)|)\}^{-2} \leq 4\pi \{\log(3\mu/5)\}^{-2}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$M_3(\tilde{\Gamma}_\mu) \leq 4\pi\{\log(|f(2\mu t)|/|f(-t)|)\}^{-2} \leq 4\pi\{\log(6\mu/5)\}^{-2} \leq 4\pi\{\log(3\mu/5)\}^{-2}. \tag{2.4}$$

In turn, inequalities (2.2)-(2.4) imply

$$M^{V_\pi}(\tilde{A}) \leq M^V(\tilde{A}_\mu) + 8\pi\{\log(3\mu/5)\}^{-2}. \tag{2.5}$$

On the other hand, by [12, Theorem 3.1],

$$M^{V_\pi}(\tilde{A}) \geq M^{V_\pi}(\tilde{A}_0), \tag{2.6}$$

where  $\tilde{A}_0$  is the boundary condenser of  $V_\pi$  with components

$$F_1^0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : -|f(-t)| \leq x_1 \leq 0, x_2 = x_3 = 0\},$$

$$F_2^0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |f(t)| \leq x_1 \leq \infty, x_2 = x_3 = 0\}.$$

Further, the conformal invariance of the  $n$ -moduli of boundary condensers leads to the identity

$$M^{V_\pi}(\tilde{A}_\mu) = M(\{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : -1 \leq x_1 \leq 0, x_2 = x_3 = 0\}, \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |f(t)|/|f(-t)| \leq x_1 \leq \infty, x_2 = x_3 = 0\}\}) = \psi(|f(t)|/|f(-t)|), \tag{2.7}$$

where  $\psi$  is the function introduced in [12] as follows. If  $u > 0$ , then  $\psi$  is the (conformal) modulus of the family of arcs joining the segment  $\{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : -1 \leq x_1 \leq 0, x_2 = x_3 = 0\}$  with the ray  $\{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : u \leq x_1 \leq \infty, x_2 = x_3 = 0\}$  in the half-space  $\mathbb{R}_+^3 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : -\infty < x_1, x_2 < \infty, x_3 > 0\}$ . Taking into account that  $f$  leaves the conformal moduli of boundary condensers unchanged and using the relations (2.1) and (2.5)-(2.7), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(|f(t)|/|f(-t)|) &\leq M^{V_\pi}(\tilde{A}) \leq M^V(\tilde{A}_\mu) + 8\pi\{\log(3\mu/5)\}^{-2} \\ &= M^U(A_\mu) + 8\pi\{\log(3\mu/5)\}^{-2} \\ &\leq M^{V_\alpha}(A) + 8\pi\{\log(3\mu/5)\}^{-2} + 4\pi(\log \mu)^{-2} \\ &= (\alpha/\pi)\psi(1) + 8\pi\{\log(3\mu/5)\}^{-2} + 4\pi(\log \mu)^{-2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where [12, Lemma 7.1] was used. Taking into account the continuity of  $u \mapsto \psi(u)$  and letting  $t \rightarrow 0$  and then  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.8), we arrive at the contradictory inequality

$$(0 <) \psi(1) \leq (\alpha/\pi)\psi(1). \tag{2.9}$$

*Case 2.* We argue as in Case 1, but, in this case, the minorizing family for  $\Gamma_{\tilde{F}_1^\mu, \tilde{F}_2^{\mu*}, \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\tilde{F}_1^\mu \cup \tilde{F}_2^{\mu*}\}}$  is the family  $\Gamma_{\tilde{S}_1^0, \tilde{S}_2^0, \tilde{A}_\mu^0}$  of paths joining the boundary spheres  $\tilde{S}_1^0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| = |f(t)|\}$  and  $\tilde{S}_2^0 = \tilde{S}_2$ , of the spherical shell  $\tilde{A}_\mu^0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |f(t)| < |x| < |f(\mu t)|\}$  and the minorizing family for  $\tilde{\Gamma}_\mu$  is the family  $\Gamma_{\tilde{S}_1^{00}, \tilde{S}_2^{00}, \tilde{A}_\mu^{00}}$  of paths joining the boundary spheres  $\tilde{S}_1^{00} = \tilde{S}_1^0$  and  $\tilde{S}_2^{00} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| = |f(2\mu t)|\}$  of the spherical shell  $\tilde{A}_\mu^{00} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |f(t)| < |x| < |f(2\mu t)|\}$ ; moreover,  $\psi(|f(t)|/|f(-t)|)$  should be replaced with  $\psi(|f(-t)|/|f(t)|)$  in (2.7) and (2.8). As a result, we arrive at the same contradictory inequality (2.9).

The contradiction obtained (in both cases) shows that  $f(T_1) \subset T'_1$ . Replacing  $f$  with its inverse  $f^{-1}$ , we get the inclusion  $f^{-1}(T'_1) \subset T_1$  which is equivalent to the inclusion  $T'_1 \subset f(T_1)$ . Thus,  $T'_1 = f(T_1)$ , which implies that  $f$  transforms the edges, vertices, and faces of the polyhedral domain  $U$  to the edges, vertices, and faces of the polyhedral domain  $V$ . But then the connected components of the set



$\Sigma$  are exactly the interiors of the faces of  $U$ . Consequently,  $f$  is a plane conformal mapping in the interior of each face.

The next step of the proof is to show that the dihedral angles between any two faces of  $U$  with a common edge are equal to their images. This fact is proved by contradiction in the same way as the equality  $T'_1 = f(T_1)$  (with slight modifications). As a result, we arrive at the contradictory relation

$$\psi(1) \leq (\alpha/\alpha')\psi(1),$$

where  $\alpha$  is the value of the interior dihedral angle between the neighboring faces  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  in  $U$  ( $V$ ),  $\alpha'$  is the value of the dihedral angle between the faces  $f(s_1)$  and  $f(s_2)$  ( $f^{-1}(s_1)$  and  $f^{-1}(s_2)$ ) in  $V$  ( $U$ ) and  $\alpha < \alpha'$ .

At the final step, we apply Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Suppose that  $U_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $U_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  are bounded convex polyhedral domains for which there exist a homeomorphism  $f : \text{fr } U_1 \rightarrow \text{fr } U_2$  between the boundaries of these domains and a number  $p \in \{]1, 3[ \cup ]3, \infty[ \}$  such that  $f$  preserves both the relative 3-moduli and the relative  $p$ -moduli of boundary ring-shaped condensers.*

*Then there exists an isometry  $H : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  satisfying the condition  $H(U_1) = U_2$ .*

**Remark 2.1.** *In the case where  $n = 3$  and  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are bounded convex polyhedral domains, Theorem 2.3 corresponds to [1, Corollary 3.1]. It is very likely that, for  $n = 3$ , there are complete analogs of [1, Corollary 3.1] and [1, Theorem 2.1]. Unfortunately, at present, the author is not able to prove these assertions.*

The proof of Theorem 2.3 is based on Theorems 2.1 and the following

**Lemma 2.4.** *Assume that  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is bounded convex polyhedral domain. Then there exists a ring-shaped boundary condenser  $F$  of  $U$  such that  $0 < M_p^U(F) < \infty$  for every  $p \in [1, \infty[$ .*

The proof of this assertion is similar to that of [1, Corollary 3.1].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A.P. Kopylov, *Unique determination of conformal type for domains*, Sib. Elektron. Mat. Izv., **16** (2019), 692–708. Zbl 07080955
- [2] A.P. Kopylov, *Unique determination of convex polyhedral domains by relative conformal moduli of boundary condensers*, Dokl. Math., **74:2** (2006), 637–639. Zbl 1137.53304
- [3] A.P. Kopylov, *On the unique determination of domains in Euclidean spaces*, J. of Math. Sciences, **153:6** (2008), 869–898. Zbl 1208.30025
- [4] A.P. Kopylov, *Unique determination of domains*, in *Differential Geometry and its Applications, Proceedings of International Conference DGA2007 in Honour of L. Euler, Olomouc, 2007*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2008, 157–169. Zbl 1161.53005
- [5] A.P. Kopylov, *Unique determination of convex polyhedral domains in three-dimensional Euclidean space by relative conformal moduli of boundary condensers*, Dokl. Math., **84:3** (2011), 789–790. Zbl 1243.52002
- [6] V.V. Aseev, A.P. Kopylov, *Unique determination of three-dimensional convex polyhedral domains by relative conformal moduli of boundary condensers*, Sibirskii Zhurnal Chisto i Prikladnoi Matematiki, **17:4** (2017), 3–17.
- [7] Yu.G. Reshetnyak, *Stability Theorems in Geometry and Analysis*, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994. Zbl 0925.53005
- [8] A.D. Aleksandrov, N.Yu. Netsvetaev, *Geometry*, St. Petersburg: BXV-Peterburg, 2010 [in Russian].
- [9] A.D. Aleksandrov, *Geometry. Vol. 2. Convex Polyhedra*, Novosibirsk: Nauka, 2007 [in Russian]. Zbl 1236.01036

- [10] M.A. Lavrent'ev, B.V. Shabat, *Methods for the Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*, Moscow: Nauka, 1987 [in Russian]. Zbl 0633.30001
- [11] J. Väisälä, *Lectures on  $n$ -Dimensional Quasiconformal Mappings*, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York: Springer, 1973.
- [12] F.W. Gehring, J. Väisälä, *The coefficients of quasiconformality of domains in space*, *Acta Mathematica*, **114**:1–2, 1965, 1–70. Zbl 0134.29702

ANATOLII PAVLOVICH KOPYLOV  
SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,  
4, KOPTYUGA AVE.,  
NOVOSIBIRSK, 630090, RUSSIA  
NOVOSIBIRSK STATE UNIVERSITY,  
1, PIROGOVA STR.,  
NOVOSIBIRSK, 630090, RUSSIA  
*E-mail address:* [apkopylov1940@gmail.com](mailto:apkopylov1940@gmail.com)