

СИБИРСКИЕ ЭЛЕКТРОННЫЕ
МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИЕ ИЗВЕСТИЯ

Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports

<http://semr.math.nsc.ru>

Том 19, №1, стр. 371–377 (2022)
DOI 10.33048/semi.2022.19.032УДК 517.977
MSC 49N70, 49N75PROBLEM OF MULTIPLE CAPTURE OF GIVEN NUMBER OF
EVADERS IN RECURRENT DIFFERENTIAL GAMES

N.N. PETROV, N.A.SOLOV'eva

ABSTRACT. The problem of pursuit by a group of pursuers of a group of evaders with equal opportunities for all participants and geometric restrictions on the control of players is considered. The evaders use program strategies, each pursuer catches no more than one evader. The goal of the pursuers is to catch a given number of evaders, and each evader needs to be caught no less than a certain number of pursuers. In this paper, sufficient conditions are obtained for multiple capture of a given number of evaders.

Keywords: differential game, pursuer, evader, recurrent function.

1. INTRODUCTION

Differential games of two players, first considered in the book of Isaacs [1], now present the wide field of researches. The natural generalization of pursuit-evasion differential games of two persons are games with a group of pursuers and one or several evaders [2, 3, 4, 5]. These games are interesting from the theoretical perspective, because they cannot be solved by the theory for two-players games. The union of pursuers reachability sets and the target sets union represent sets, which are non-convex and furthermore are not connected. There are some applications of these games to problems of vehicles motion avoidance of ship collisions, and others.

Multiple capture of one evader in the simple pursuit problem was investigated in papers [6, 7, 8], and in work [8] in the discrete statement. In works [9, 10], the

PETROV, N.N., SOLOV'eva, N.A., PROBLEM OF MULTIPLE CAPTURE OF GIVEN NUMBER OF EVADERS IN RECURRENT DIFFERENTIAL GAMES.

© 2022 PETROV N.N., SOLOV'eva N.A.

This work was funded by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation in the framework of the program of a competitive selection of scientific laboratories (project 2019-0552) and by RFFI (grant 20-01-00293).

Received August, 4, 2020, published July, 7, 2022.

problem of multiple capture of one evader in the Pontryagin's example is presented, and in works [11, 12] in linear differential games.

The task of capturing a given number of evaders in a simple pursuit problem, provided that evaders use program strategies and each pursuer catches no more than one evader, is represented in article [13], where necessary and sufficient conditions for the tractability of the pursuit problem were obtained.

In article [14], the problem of a single capture of a given number of evaders in linear recurrent differential games was considered under the assumption that the set of admissible player controls is a strictly convex compact with a smooth boundary.

In paper [15], the problem of multiple capture of a given number of evaders in recurrent differential games was considered in the case that the terminal sets are the origin.

In this paper, previously considered separately the problems of multiple capture and capture of a given number of evaders are combined into one task. The aim of the group of pursuers is to catch at least q evaders, and each evader must be caught by at least r pursuers. Assuming that evaders use program strategies, and each evader must be caught by at least r pursuers, sufficient conditions for the solvability of the pursuit problem are obtained.

2. STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

In the space \mathbb{R}^k ($k \geq 2$), a differential game $\Gamma(n, m)$ of $n + m$ objects with n pursuers P_1, \dots, P_n and m evaders E_1, \dots, E_m is considered. The game $\Gamma(n, m)$ is described by a system of the form

$$(1) \quad \dot{z}_{ij} = A(t)z_{ij} + u_i - v_j, \quad u_i, v_j \in V, \quad z_{ij}(t_0) = z_{ij}^0,$$

where $z_{ij}, u_i, v_j \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $i \in I = \{1, \dots, n\}$, $j \in J = \{1, \dots, m\}$, V is a strictly convex compact set in \mathbb{R}^k , $A(t)$ is a continuous matrix function of order k on semi-axis $[t_0, \infty)$. We believe that $z_{ij}^0 \notin M_{ij}$, where M_{ij} are given convex compact sets.

Denote by $\Phi(t)$ the fundamental matrix of the system

$$\dot{\omega}(t) = A(t)\omega(t), \quad \omega(t_0) = E.$$

$\text{Int}X$ denotes interior of the set X , $\text{co}X$ denotes convex hull of the set X . Let K be a finite subset of the set of natural numbers,

$$\lambda(h_{ij}, v) = \begin{cases} \sup\{\lambda \geq 0 : -\lambda(h_{ij} - M_{ij}) \cap (V - v) \neq \emptyset\}, & \text{if } h_{ij} \notin M_{ij}, \\ 0, & \text{if } h_{ij} \in M_{ij}, \end{cases}$$

$$F_{ij}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \lambda(v(s), \Phi(s)z_{ij}^0) ds, \quad D_\varepsilon(a) = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^k \mid \|z - a\| \leq \varepsilon\},$$

$$\Omega_l(K) = \{(i_1, \dots, i_l) : i_p \in K, p = 1, \dots, l \text{ and pairwise different}\},$$

Definition 1. *There is a r -multiple capture of the evader E_β in the game $\Gamma(n, m)$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an instant $T > t_0$ such that for any admissible control $v_\beta(t)$, $t \in [t_0, \infty)$ of the evader E_β , there exist admissible controls of the pursuers $u_i(t, z_{ij}^0, v_j(t))$, $t \in [t_0, \infty)$, the set $\Lambda \in \Omega_I(r)$, and instants $\tau_l \in [t_0, T]$, $l \in \Lambda$, such that $z_{l\beta}(\tau_l) \in M_{l\beta} + D_\varepsilon(0)$ for all $l \in \Lambda$.*

Definition 2. *There is a r -multiple capture (at $r = 1$ capture) of at least q evaders in the game $\Gamma(n, m)$, if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $T > t_0$ such that for any set*

of admissible controls of the evaders v_j , $t \in [t_0, \infty)$, $j \in J$, there are admissible controls of the pursuers $u_i(t) = u_i(t, z_{ij}^0, v_j(s), s \in [t_0, \infty)$, $j \in J$) such that have the following property: there are sets

$$M \subset J, |M| = q, \{N_\alpha, \alpha \in M\}, N_\alpha \subset I, |N_\alpha| = r \text{ for all } \alpha \in M, \\ N_\alpha \cap N_\beta = \emptyset \text{ for all } \alpha \neq \beta,$$

such that the group of pursuers $\{P_\alpha, \alpha \in N_\beta\}$ not later than the instant T carries out the r -multiple capture of the evader E_β , and if the pursuer P_α catches the evader E_β , then the other evaders are considered not caught by it.

Definition 3 ([16]). The function $f : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is called recurrent (by V.I. Zubov) if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $T(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for any $a, t \in \mathbb{R}^1$, there exists $\tau(t) \in [a, a + T(\varepsilon)]$, for which it is true that

$$\|f(t + \tau(t)) - f(t)\| < \varepsilon.$$

The function $f : [t_0, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is called recurrent on $[t_0, \infty[$ if there exists a recurrent function $F : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ such that $f(t) = F(t)$ for all $t \in [t_0, \infty[$.

Assumption 1. The fundamental matrix Φ is a recurrent function, and its derivative is uniformly bounded on $[t_0, \infty)$.

3. MULTIPLE CAPTURE OF ONE EVADER

Let $m = 1$.

Assumption 2. For all $i \in I$ $z_{i1} \notin M_{i1}$ and

$$\delta = \min_{v \in V} \max_{\Lambda \in \Omega_r(I)} \min_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \lambda(z_{\alpha 1}, v) > 0.$$

Lemma 1. Suppose that Assumption 2 holds. Then there $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $i \in I$, $h_{i1} \in D_{2\varepsilon_0}(z_{i1}^0)$ exists

- (1) $h_{i1} \notin M_{i1}$;
- (2) $\min_{v \in V} \max_{\Lambda \in \Omega_r(I)} \min_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \lambda(h_{\alpha 1}, v) > 0$.

The assertions of the lemma follow from the continuity of the function $\lambda(h_{i1}, v)$. In the future, we assume that ε_0 is chosen so that Lemma 1 holds.

Lemma 2. Suppose that Assumption 1, 2 holds. Then there exists an instant $T > t_0$ such that for any admissible function $v(\cdot)$ there exist a set $\Lambda \in \Omega_r(I)$, such that $F_{\alpha 1}(T) \geq 1$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$.

Proof. Denote by $\mu(A)$ the Lebesgue's measure of the set A ,

$$\Delta = \{t \geq t_0 \mid \Phi(t)z_{i1}^0 \in D_{2\varepsilon_0}(z_{i1}^0) \text{ for all } i \in I\}, \\ d = \max_i \|z_{i1}^0\|, \quad M = \sup_{t \geq t_0} \|\dot{\Phi}(t)\|.$$

Since Φ is a recurrent function, there exists $\tau > 0$, such that for all $s = 1, 2, \dots$ there is an instant $t_s \in [t_0 + (s - 1)\tau, t_0 + s\tau]$, for which

$$\|\Phi(t_s) - \Phi(t_0)\| < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{d}.$$

Then

$$\|\Phi(t_s)z_{i1}^0 - \Phi(t_0)z_{i1}^0\| < \varepsilon_0.$$

Consequently, $\Phi(t_s)z_{i1}^0 \in D_{\varepsilon_0}(z_{i1}^0)$ for all $i \in I, s = 1, 2, \dots$. Let

$$\Delta_s = \{t \mid t \in [t_s, t_{s+1}), \Phi(t)z_{i1}^0 \in D_{2\varepsilon_0}(z_{i1}^0) \text{ for all } i \in I\}.$$

From the mean value theorem, it follows that for any $\tau_1, \tau_2, i \in I$ the inequality

$$\|\Phi(\tau_1)z_{i1}^0 - \Phi(\tau_2)z_{i1}^0\| \leq Md|\tau_1 - \tau_2|.$$

holds. Therefore, if

$$\|\Phi(\tau_1)z_{i1}^0 - \Phi(\tau_2)z_{i1}^0\| \geq \varepsilon_0,$$

then $|\tau_1 - \tau_2| \geq \tau_0 = \varepsilon_0/(Md)$. So $[t_s, t_s + \tau_0] \subset \Delta_s$ for all $s = 1, 2, \dots$. Consequently, $\mu(\Delta) = +\infty$. By Lemma 1, for any

$$h = (h_1, \dots, h_n) \in D = D_{2\varepsilon_0}(z_{11}^0) \times \dots \times D_{2\varepsilon_0}(z_{n1}^0)$$

the inequality

$$\rho(h) = \min_{v \in V} \max_{\Lambda \in \Omega_r(I)} \min_{s \in \Lambda} \lambda(h_s, v) > 0.$$

holds. Since the function ρ is continuous on D , then

$$\delta = \min_{h \in D} \min_{v \in V} \max_{\Lambda \in \Omega_r(I)} \min_{s \in \Lambda} \lambda(h_s, v) > 0.$$

Further

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\Lambda \in \Omega_r(I)} \min_{s \in \Lambda} F_{s1}(t) &= \max_{\Lambda \in \Omega_r(I)} \min_{s \in \Lambda} \int_{t_0}^t \lambda(\Phi(t)z_{s1}^0, v(t)) dt \\ &\geq \max_{\Lambda \in \Omega_r(I)} \min_{s \in \Lambda} \int_{[t_0, t] \cap \Delta} \lambda(\Phi(t)z_{s1}^0, v(t)) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{C_n^r} \sum_{\Lambda \in \Omega_r(I)} \left(\min_{s \in \Lambda} \int_{[t_0, t] \cap \Delta} \lambda(\Phi(t)z_{s1}^0, v(t)) dt \right) \geq \frac{\delta}{C_n^r} \mu([t_0, t] \cap \Delta). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu(\Delta) = +\infty$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu([t_0, t] \cap \Delta) = +\infty$. Therefore, for the instant T from the condition

$$\frac{\delta}{C_n^r} \mu([t_0, T] \cap \Delta) \geq 1$$

and some $\Lambda \in \Omega_r(I)$ we obtain the inequality $F_{s1}(T) \geq 1$ for all $s \in \Lambda$. The lemma is proved. \square

Let

$$T_0 = \min\{t > t_0 : \inf_{v(\cdot)} \max_{\Lambda \in \Omega_r(I)} \min_{\alpha \in \Lambda} F_{\alpha 1}(t) \geq 1\}.$$

By Lemma 2, $T_0 < \infty$.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that Assumption 1, 2 holds. Then in the game $\Gamma(n, 1)$, r -multiple capture occurs.*

Proof. By the Cauchy's formula, the solution of problem (1) for any admissible control has the form

$$(2) \quad z_{i1}(t) = \Phi(t) \left(z_{i1}^0 + \int_{t_0}^t \Phi^{-1}(s)(u_s(s) - v(s)) ds \right).$$

Let $v(t), t \in [t_0, T_0]$ be an arbitrary admissible control of the evader E_1 . It follows from the definition of the instant T_0 that there exists an instant $\tau \in [t_0, T_0]$, being the root of the function

$$G(t) = 1 - \max_{\Lambda \in \Omega_r(I)} \min_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \int_{t_0}^t \lambda(\Phi(s)z_{\alpha 1}^0, v(s))ds,$$

and the set $\Lambda_0 \in \Omega_r(I)$ such that

$$1 - \int_{t_0}^t \lambda(\Phi(s)z_{\alpha 1}^0, v(s))ds \leq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Lambda_0.$$

Let $t_\alpha \in [t_0, \infty)$ be the least root of the function $1 - F_{\alpha 1}(t)$, $\alpha \in \Lambda_0$. Note that $t_\alpha \in [t_0, \tau]$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda_0$. Let $C = \max_{i, m_i \in M_{i1}} \|m_i\|$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$. By Assumption 1, there exists $T(\varepsilon) > T_0$, for which

$$\|\Phi(T(\varepsilon)) - E\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{C + 1}.$$

We define the controls of the pursuers $P_i, i \in \Lambda_0, i \in I$, as follows. If at the moment $t \geq t_0$ the number $F_{i1}(t) < 1$, then $u_i(t) \in V, m_i(t) \in M_{i1}$ are chosen as the lexicographic minimum among solutions of the equation

$$u_i(t) = v(t) - \lambda(\Phi(t)z_{i1}^0, v(t))\Phi(t)(z_{i1}^0 - m_i(t)).$$

If τ_i is the first moment of time for which $F_{i1}(\tau_i) = 1$, then we assume that $\lambda(\Phi(t)z_{i1}^0, v(t)) = 0$ for all $t \geq \tau_i$. So $u_i(t) = v(t)$ for all $t \geq \tau_i$.

Then from (2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z_{i1}(T(\varepsilon)) &= \Phi(T(\varepsilon)) \left(z_{i1}^0 - \int_{t_0}^{T(\varepsilon)} \lambda(\Phi(s)z_{i1}^0, v(s))(z_{i1}^0 - m_i(s))ds \right) \\ &= \Phi(T(\varepsilon))z_{i1}^0 \left(1 - \int_{t_0}^{T(\varepsilon)} \lambda(\Phi(s)z_{i1}^0, v(s))ds \right) + \Phi(T(\varepsilon)) \int_{t_0}^{T(\varepsilon)} \lambda(\Phi(s)z_{i1}^0, v(s))m_i(s)ds. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of T_0 , $F_{i1}(T_0) = 1$ for some $i \in I$. Consequently, $F_{i1}(T(\varepsilon)) = 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} z_{i1}(T(\varepsilon)) &= \Phi(T(\varepsilon)) \int_{t_0}^{T(\varepsilon)} \lambda(\Phi(s)z_{i1}^0, v(s))m_i(s)ds \\ &= \int_{t_0}^{T(\varepsilon)} \lambda(\Phi(s)z_{i1}^0, v(s))m_i(s)ds + (\Phi(T(\varepsilon)) - E) \int_{t_0}^{T(\varepsilon)} \lambda(\Phi(s)z_{i1}^0, v(s))m_i(s)ds. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\int_{t_0}^{T(\varepsilon)} \lambda(\Phi(s)z_{i1}^0, v(s))m_i(s)ds \in M_{i1}$ and

$$\left\| (\Phi(T(\varepsilon)) - E) \int_{t_0}^{T(\varepsilon)} \lambda(\Phi(s)z_{i1}^0, v(s))m_i(s)ds \right\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{C + 1} \cdot C < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, $z_{i1}(T(\varepsilon)) \in M_{i1} + \text{Int}D_\varepsilon(0)$. The theorem is proved. \square

4. MULTIPLE CAPTURE OF GIVEN NUMBER OF EVADERS

Assumption 3. For each $s \in \{0, \dots, q-1\}$, the following is true: for every set $N \subset I$, $|N| = n - sr$, there is a set $M \subset J$, $|M| = q - s$, such that for any $\beta \in M$,

$$\delta_N(\beta) = \min_{v \in V} \max_{\Lambda \in \Omega_N(r)} \min_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \lambda(z_{\alpha\beta}^0, v) > 0$$

Theorem 2. *Suppose that Assumption 1, 3 holds. Then in the game $\Gamma(n, m)$, r -multiple capture of at least q evaders occurs.*

The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [15].

Remark. Note that Theorem 4.1 of [15] follows from Theorem 2 of this work if for all $i \in I$ the sets $M_i = \{0\}$.

Corollary 1 ([15]). *Suppose that the Assumption 1 holds, V is a strictly convex compact set with a smooth boundary, and for each $s \in \{0, \dots, q-1\}$ the following is true: for every set $N \subset I$, $|N| = n - sr$, there is a set $M \subset J$, $|M| = q - s$, such that*

$$0 \in \bigcap_{\Lambda \in \Omega_N(n-r+1)} \text{Intco}\{z_{\alpha\beta}^0 - M_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha \in \Lambda\} \text{ for all } \beta \in M.$$

then in the game $\Gamma(n, m)$, r -multiple capture of at least q evaders occurs.

Corollary 2 ([18]). *Suppose that $A(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$, and the Assumption 3 holds; then in the game $\Gamma(n, m)$, r -multiple capture of at least q evaders occurs.*

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Isaacs, *Differential games*, John Wiley and Sons, New York etc., 1965. Zbl 0125.38001
- [2] A.A. Chikrii, *Conflict-controlled processes*, Naukova dumka, Kiev, 1992. (Mathematics and its Applications (Dordrecht), **405**, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1997. Zbl 0868.93001)
- [3] N.L. Grigorenko, *Mathematical methods of control a few dynamic processes*, Moscow State University, Moscow, 1990.
- [4] A.I. Blagodatskikh, N.N. Petrov, *Conflict interaction of groups of controlled objects*, Udmurt State University, Izhevsk, 2009. Zbl 1233.49002
- [5] N.Yu. Satimov, B.B. Rikhsiev, *Methods for solving problems of evading encounter in mathematical control theory*, Fan, Tashkent, 2000. Zbl 1009.49500
- [6] N.L. Grigorenko, *A game of simple pursuit–evasion for a group of pursuers and one evader*, Vestn. Mosk. Univ., Ser. XV, **1983**:1 (1983), 41–47. Zbl 0511.90097
- [7] A.I. Blagodatskikh, *Simultaneous multiple capture in the problem on simple pursuit*, J. Appl. Math. Mech., **73**:1 (2009), 36–40. Zbl 1199.49076
- [8] S.D. Bopardikar, S. Suri, *k-capture in multiagent pursuit evasion, or the lion and the hyenas*, Teor. Comput. Sci., **522** (2014), 13–23. Zbl 1282.91054
- [9] N.N. Petrov, *Multiple capture in Pontryagin's example with phase constraints*, J. Appl. Math. Mech., **61**:5 (1998), 725–732. MR1631935
- [10] N.N. Petrov, N.A. Solov'eva, *Multiple capture in Pontryagin's recurrent example with phase constraints*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., **293**, Suppl. 1 (2016), S174–S182. Zbl 1349.49047
- [11] N. N. Petrov, N.A. Solov'eva, *A multiple capture of an evader in linear recursive differential games*, Trudy Inst. Mat. Mekh., **23**:1 (2017), 212–218. MR3633581
- [12] A.I. Blagodatskikh, *Simultaneous multiple capture in a conflict-controlled process*, J. Appl. Math. Mech., **77**:3 (2013), 314–320. Zbl 1282.91051
- [13] N.N. Petrov, V.A. Prokopenko, *On a problem of pursuit of a group of evader*, Differ. Uravn., **23**:4 (1987), 725–726. Zbl 0677.90103

- [14] N.N. Petrov, N.A. Solov'eva, *Problem of group pursuit in linear recurrent differential games*, J. Math. Sci., New York, **230**:5 (2018), 732–736. Zbl 1390.49052
- [15] N.N. Petrov, N.A. Solov'eva, *Multiple capture of given number of evaders in linear recurrent differential games*, J. Optim. Theory Appl., **182**:1 (2019), 417–429. Zbl 1420.49042
- [16] V.I. Subov, *The theory of recurrent functions*, Sib. Math. J., **3**:4 (1962), 532–560.
- [17] M. Hall, *Combinatorial theory*, Blaisdell Publishing Company, Waltham etc., 1967. Zbl 0196.02401
- [18] N.N. Petrov, A.Ya. Narmanov *Multiple capture of a given number of evaders in the problem of a simple pursuit*, Vestn. Udmurt. Univ., Mat. Mekh. Komp'yut. Nauki, **28**:2 (2018), 193–198. Zbl 1416.91046

NIKOLAI NIKANDROVICH PETROV, NADEZHDA ALEKSANDROVNA SOLOV'eva
UDMURT STATE UNIVERSITY,
1, UNIVERSITETSKAYA STR.,
IZHEVSK, 426034, RUSSIA
Email address: kma3@list.ru, solov_na@mail.ru