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#### Abstract

The work has begun to study the structure of pseudofinite acts over a monoid. A theorem on the finiteness of an arbitrary cyclic subacts of $S$-act is proved under the condition that this $S$-act is pseudofinite and the number of types of isomorphisms of finite cyclic $S$-acts is finite. It is shown that a coproduct of finite $S$-acts is pseudofinite. As a consequence, it is shown that any $S$-act, where $S$ is a finite group, is pseudofinite.
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## Introduction

Recently, the model theory of pseudofinite structures is an actively developing area of mathematics. The concept of pseudofiniteness was first introduced by J. Ax to show the solvability of the theory of all finite fields [1]. Subsequently, the theory of pseudofinite fields received great development (see, for example, [2], [3]). A large review of the theory of models of finite and pseudofinite groups is presented in [4]. In [5] the characterization of

[^0]pseudofinite groups is given in terms of Szmielew's invariants. The results related to the model theory of finite and pseudofinite rings can be found in [6]. In [7] the structure of pseudofinite acyclic graphs is studied. In [8] the authors of this work considered the issues of pseudofiniteness of connected unars without cycles.

An acts over monoids are a generalization of unars. In this work we study pseudofinite $S$-acts. A theorem on the finiteness of an arbitrary cyclic subacts of $S$-act is proved under the condition that this $S$-act is pseudofinite and the number of types of isomorphisms of finite cyclic $S$-acts is finite. It is shown that a coproduct of finite $S$-acts is pseudofinite. As a consequence, it is shown that any $S$-act, where $S$ is a finite group, is pseudofinite.

## 1 Preliminaries

Let us recall some definitions and facts from act theory and model theory (see $[9,10,11])$. Throughout this paper $S$ will denote a monoid with identity 1. An algebraic system $\langle A ; s\rangle_{s \in S}$ of the language $L_{S}=\{s \mid s \in S\}$ consisting of unary operation symbols is a (left) $S$-act if $s_{1}\left(s_{2} a\right)=\left(s_{1} s_{2}\right) a$ and $1 a=a$ for all $s_{1}, s_{2} \in S$ and $a \in A$. An $S$-act $\langle A ; s\rangle_{s \in S}$ is denoted by ${ }_{S} A$. An $S$-act ${ }_{S} A$ is called $c y c l i c$ if there exists $a \in A$ such that $A=\{s a \mid s \in S\}$. The cyclic act that generated by $a$ is denoted by ${ }_{S} S a$. Elements $x, y$ of an $S$-act ${ }_{S} A$ are called connected (denoted by $x \sim y$ ) if there exist $n \in \omega, a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A$, $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n} \in S$ such that $x=a_{0}, y=a_{n}$, and $a_{i}=s_{i} a_{i-1}$ or $a_{i-1}=s_{i} a_{i}$. An $S$-act ${ }_{S} A$ is called connected if we have $x \sim y$ for any $x, y \in{ }_{S} A$. It is easy to check that $\sim$ is a congruence relation on the $S$-act ${ }_{S} A$. The classes of this relation are called connected components of the $S$-act ${ }_{S} A$. A coproduct of $S$-acts ${ }_{S} A_{i}$ is a disjunctive union of this $S$-acts. The coproduct of $S$-acts ${ }_{S} A_{i}$ is denoted by $\coprod_{i \in I} S_{i}$. It is known (see [9]) that every $S$-act ${ }_{S} A$ can be uniquely represented as a coproduct of connected components.

A structure $\mathfrak{M}$ of the language $L$ is pseudofinite if any sentence of language $L$ true in $\mathfrak{M}$ is true in some finite of language $L$.

If ${ }_{S} A$ is an $S$-act, then writing $\bar{a} \in A$ means that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A$, where $\bar{a}=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle$. If $\bar{u}=\left\langle u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\rangle$, then $l(\bar{u})$ will denote the length of the tuple $\bar{u}$ and the notation $v \in \bar{u}$ means that $v$ is an element of the tuple $\bar{u}$.

## 2 Pseudofiniteness of a coproduct of finite $S$-acts

Lemma 1. Let ${ }_{S} A=\coprod_{\alpha<\kappa}{ }_{S} A_{\alpha}$, $\kappa$ be an infinite ordinal, ${ }_{S} A_{\alpha}$ be the connected components of $S A$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
{ }_{S} D_{\beta}=\coprod_{\alpha<\beta}{ }_{S} A_{\alpha} \quad(\beta<\kappa), \\
{ }_{S} D=\prod_{\beta<\kappa}{ }_{S} D_{\beta} / F
\end{gathered}
$$

where $F$ is an ultrafilter on $\kappa$ containing a Fréchet filter, i.e. filter $\{\alpha \in \kappa \mid$ $|\kappa \backslash \alpha|<\kappa\}$. For any $a \in A$, by $a^{\prime}$ we denote an element of $\prod_{\beta \in \kappa} D_{\beta}$ such that $a^{\prime}(\alpha)=a$ if $\alpha \geq \alpha_{0}$, and $a^{\prime}(\alpha)$ is an arbitrary element of $D_{\alpha}$, if $\alpha<\alpha_{0}$, for some $\alpha_{0}<\kappa$; by $\bar{A}$ we denote a set $\left\{a^{\prime} / F \mid a \in A\right\}$. Then ${ }_{S} A \cong{ }_{S} \bar{A}$ and ${ }_{S} \bar{A} \preccurlyeq{ }_{S} D$.

Proof. The map $\theta: A \rightarrow \bar{A}$ such that $\theta(a)=a^{\prime} / F$ is an isomorphism from ${ }_{S} A$ to ${ }_{S} \bar{A}$, so ${ }_{S} A \cong{ }_{S} \bar{A}$. We show that ${ }_{S} \bar{A} \preccurlyeq{ }_{S} D$. Let $n \in \omega, \bar{x}=\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$, $\bar{a}=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle \in A, \bar{a}^{\prime}=\left\langle a_{1}^{\prime} / F, \ldots, a_{n}^{\prime} / F\right\rangle \in \bar{A}, \Phi(\bar{x})$ be a formula of language $L_{S}, L_{S^{\prime}}$ be a language of formula $\Phi(\bar{x}),{ }_{S} \bar{A} \models \Phi\left(\bar{a}^{\prime}\right)$. It is necessary to prove that ${ }_{S} D \models \Phi\left(\bar{a}^{\prime}\right)$.

For an arbitrary formula $\Psi(\bar{x})$ of a language $L$ we will use standard notation: $(\Psi(\bar{x}))^{1} \leftrightharpoons \Psi(\bar{x}),(\Psi(\bar{x}))^{0} \leftrightharpoons \neg \Psi(\bar{x})$. For $m \in \omega$, let $E^{(m)}$ denote the set $\left\{\varepsilon \mid \varepsilon:\left(S^{\prime}\right)^{2} \times\{1, \ldots, m\}^{2} \rightarrow\{0,1\}\right\}$; for $\varepsilon \in E^{(m)}$ let $\Psi_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ denote the formula $\bigwedge_{(i, j) \in\{1, \ldots, m\}^{2}} \bigwedge_{(s, t) \in\left(S^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\left(s x_{i}=t x_{j}\right)^{\varepsilon((s, t),(i, j))}$.

Since $\theta^{-1}$ is an isomorphism from ${ }_{S} \bar{A}$ to ${ }_{S} A$, then ${ }_{S} A \models \Phi(\bar{a})$. In addition, $\Phi(\bar{x}) \equiv \Phi^{\prime}(\bar{x})$, where

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(\bar{x}) \leftrightharpoons \forall y_{1} \exists z_{1} \ldots \forall y_{k} \exists z_{k} \bigvee_{\varepsilon \in E} \Psi_{\varepsilon}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})
$$

$\bar{y}=\left\langle y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right\rangle, \bar{z}=\left\langle z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right\rangle, E \subseteq E^{(n+2 k)}$. We can assume that $\Phi(\bar{x}) \leftrightharpoons$ $\Phi^{\prime}(\bar{x})$. Since ${ }_{S} A \models \Phi(\bar{a})$, then there are functions $f_{i}: A^{i} \rightarrow A(1 \leq i \leq k)$ such that

$$
{ }_{S} A \models \forall y_{1} \ldots y_{k} \bigvee_{\varepsilon \in E} \Psi_{\varepsilon}\left(\bar{a}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}, f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right), \ldots, f_{k}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)\right)
$$

For an arbitrary $\bar{b}=\left\langle b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right\rangle \in A$ there is unique $\varepsilon_{\bar{b}} \in E^{(n+2 k)}$ such that ${ }_{S} A=\Psi_{\varepsilon_{\bar{b}}}\left(\bar{a}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}, f_{1}\left(b_{1}\right), \ldots, f_{k}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)\right)$. Since the set $E^{(n+2 k)}$ is finite, then there is a finite number of different $\varepsilon_{\bar{b}_{1}}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{\bar{b}_{m}}$ such that for any $\bar{c} \in A$ there is $\bar{b}_{i} \in\left\{\bar{b}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{b}_{m}\right\}$, satisfying the equality $\varepsilon_{\bar{c}}=\varepsilon_{\bar{b}_{i}}$. We denote the set $\left\{\bar{b}_{1}, \ldots \bar{b}_{m}\right\}$ by $M$. For $|l|$-element set $J=\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{l}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, k\}$, $j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ and arbitrary $\bar{c}=\left\langle c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}\right\rangle \in A$, we introduce some more notations:

$$
\bar{c}^{j}=\left\langle c_{1}, \ldots c_{j}\right\rangle
$$

$$
K_{\bar{b}}^{J}=\left\{\left(\alpha_{j_{1}}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_{l}}\right) \in \kappa^{l} \mid \exists \bar{c} \in A \forall j \in J\left(f_{j}\left(\bar{c}^{j}\right) \in A_{\alpha_{j}}, \varepsilon_{\bar{b}}=\varepsilon_{\bar{c}}\right)\right\}
$$

Consider an $S$-act ${ }_{S} D_{\alpha_{0}}$, where $\alpha_{0} \in \kappa$, such that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in D_{\alpha_{0}}$ and for any $\bar{b} \in M, J=\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{l}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, k\}(l=|J|)$ the following conditions are satisfied:
(1) if $\left|K_{\bar{b}}^{J}\right|>p$, where $p=l \cdot(n+2 k-l)$, then $\left({ }_{S} A_{\alpha_{j_{1}}^{1}}, \ldots,{ }_{S} A_{\alpha_{j_{l}}^{1}}\right), \ldots$, $\left({ }_{S} A_{\alpha_{j_{1}}^{p}}, \ldots,{ }_{S} A_{\alpha_{j_{l}}^{p}}\right)$ are $l$-tuples of the connected components of $S$-act ${ }_{S} D_{\alpha_{0}}$ for some different $\left(\alpha_{j_{1}}^{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_{l}}^{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\alpha_{j_{1}}^{p}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_{l}}^{p}\right) \in K_{\bar{b}}^{J}$;
(2) if $\left|K_{\bar{b}}^{J}\right| \leq p$ and $K_{\bar{b}}^{J}=\left\{\left(\alpha_{j_{1}}^{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_{l}}^{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\alpha_{j_{1}}^{p}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_{l}}^{p}\right)\right\}$, then

$$
\left({ }_{S} A_{\alpha_{j_{1}}^{1}}, \ldots,{ }_{S} A_{\alpha_{j_{l}}^{1}}\right), \ldots,\left({ }_{S} A_{\alpha_{j_{1}}^{p}}, \ldots,{ }_{S} A_{\alpha_{j_{l}}^{p}}\right)
$$

are $l$-tuples of the connected components of $S$-act ${ }_{S} D_{\alpha_{0}}$.
Let $\alpha \geq \alpha_{0}$. Then $D_{\alpha_{0}} \subseteq D_{\alpha}$. We show that ${ }_{S} D_{\alpha} \models \Phi(\bar{a})$. For this we construct functions $g_{i}: D_{\alpha}^{i} \rightarrow D_{\alpha}(1 \leq i \leq k)$ such that

$$
{ }_{S} D_{\alpha} \models \forall y_{1} \ldots y_{k} \bigvee_{\varepsilon \in E} \Psi_{\varepsilon}\left(\bar{a}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}, g_{1}\left(y_{1}\right), \ldots, g_{k}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)\right)
$$

Let $\bar{c}=\left\langle c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}\right\rangle \in D_{\alpha}$. By the definition of $\varepsilon_{\bar{c}}$, we have

$$
{ }_{S} A \models \Psi_{\varepsilon_{\bar{c}}}\left(\bar{a}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}, f_{1}\left(c_{1}\right), \ldots, f_{k}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}\right)\right) .
$$

By the definition of the set $M$, there exists $\bar{b} \in M$ such that $\varepsilon_{\bar{b}}=\varepsilon_{\bar{c}}$. Let $J=\left\{j \in\{1, \ldots, k\} \mid f_{j}\left(\bar{c}^{j}\right) \notin D_{\alpha}\right\}$. If $J=\varnothing$, then

$$
{ }_{S} D_{\alpha} \models \Psi_{\varepsilon_{\bar{c}}}\left(\bar{a}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}, f_{1}\left(c_{1}\right), \ldots, f_{k}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}\right)\right)
$$

and we set $g_{i}\left(\bar{c}^{i}\right)=f_{i}\left(\bar{c}^{i}\right)$ for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq k$.
Suppose that $J=\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{l}\right\}$ and $J \neq \varnothing$. Then in the formula $\Psi_{\varepsilon_{\bar{b}}}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ there is no subformulas of the form $\left(s z_{j}=t u\right)^{1}$, where $s, t \in S^{\prime}, j \in J$, and $u \in\left\{x_{i} \mid i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}\right\} \cup\left\{y_{i} \mid i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}\right\} \cup\left\{z_{i} \mid i \in\{1, \ldots, k\} \backslash J\right\}$.

If $\left|K_{\bar{b}}^{J}\right| \leq p$ and $K_{\bar{b}}^{J}=\left\{\left(\alpha_{j_{1}}^{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_{l}}^{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\alpha_{j_{1}}^{p}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_{l}}^{p}\right)\right\}$, then by (2), $\left({ }_{S} A_{\alpha_{j_{1}}^{1}}, \ldots, S A_{\alpha_{j_{l}}^{1}}\right), \ldots,\left({ }_{S} A_{\alpha_{j_{1}}^{p}}, \ldots,{ }_{S} A_{\alpha_{j_{l}}^{p}}\right)$ are $l$-tuples of the connected components of $S$-act ${ }_{S} D_{\alpha_{0}}$, hence there is $\left(\alpha_{j_{1}}^{i}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_{l}}^{i}\right) \in K_{\bar{b}}^{J}$ such that $f_{j}\left(\bar{c}^{j}\right) \in$ $A_{\alpha_{j}^{i}} \subseteq D_{\alpha}$ for all $j \in J$, contradiction.

Therefore $\left|K_{\bar{b}}^{J}\right|>p$, where $p=l \cdot(n+2 k-l)$. By (1), $\left({ }_{S} A_{\alpha_{j_{1}}^{1}}, \ldots, S A_{\alpha_{j_{l}}^{1}}\right)$, $\ldots,\left({ }_{S} A_{\alpha_{j_{1}}^{p}}, \ldots,{ }_{S} A_{\alpha_{j_{l}}^{p}}\right)$ are $l$-tuples of the connected components of $S$-act ${ }_{S} D_{\alpha}$, where $\left(\alpha_{j_{1}}^{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_{l}}^{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\alpha_{j_{1}}^{p}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_{l}}^{p}\right)$ are the different elements of $K_{\bar{b}}^{J}$. Then there is $\left(\alpha_{j_{1}}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_{l}}\right) \in\left\{\left(\alpha_{j_{1}}^{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_{l}}^{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\alpha_{j_{1}}^{p}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_{l}}^{p}\right)\right\}$ such that ${ }_{S} A_{\alpha_{j_{1}}} \cup \ldots \cup{ }_{S} A_{\alpha_{j_{l}}}$ does not contain elements from the set

$$
\left\{a_{i} \mid i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}\right\} \cup\left\{c_{i} \mid i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}\right\} \cup\left\{f_{i}\left(\bar{c}^{i}\right) \mid i \in\{1, \ldots, k\} \backslash J\right\}
$$

By definition of $K_{\bar{b}}^{J}$, l-tuple ( $\alpha_{j_{1}}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_{l}}$ ) corresponds to $\bar{d} \in A$ such that $\varepsilon_{\bar{d}}=\varepsilon_{\bar{b}}$ and $f_{j}\left(\bar{d}^{j}\right) \in A_{\alpha_{j}}$ for all $j \in J$. Since in the formula $\Psi_{\varepsilon_{\bar{b}}}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ there is no subformulas of the form $\left(s z_{j}=t u\right)^{1}$, where $s, t \in S^{\prime}, j \in J$,

$$
u \in\left\{x_{i} \mid i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}\right\} \cup\left\{y_{i} \mid i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}\right\} \cup\left\{z_{i} \mid i \in\{1, \ldots, k\} \backslash J\right\}
$$

and

$$
{ }_{S} A \models \Psi_{\varepsilon_{\bar{d}}}\left(\bar{a}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}, g_{1}\left(d_{1}\right), \ldots, g_{k}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}\right)\right),
$$

then

$$
{ }_{S} D_{\alpha} \models \Psi_{\varepsilon_{\bar{b}}}\left(\bar{a}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}, g_{1}\left(c_{1}\right), \ldots, g_{k}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}\right)\right),
$$

where $g_{i}\left(\bar{c}^{i}\right)=f_{i}\left(\bar{c}^{i}\right)$ if $i \notin J$, and $g_{i}\left(\bar{c}^{i}\right)=f_{i}\left(\bar{d}^{i}\right)$ if $i \in J$.
Thus, we construct functions $g_{i}: D_{\alpha}^{i} \rightarrow D_{\alpha}(1 \leq i \leq k)$ such that

$$
{ }_{S} D_{\alpha} \models \forall y_{1} \ldots y_{k} \bigvee_{\varepsilon \in E} \Psi_{\varepsilon}\left(\bar{a}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}, g_{1}\left(y_{1}\right), \ldots, g_{k}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)\right)
$$

So ${ }_{S} D_{\alpha} \models \Phi(\bar{a})$ for any $\alpha \geq \alpha_{0}$. Since the ultrafilter $F$ contains Fréchet filter, then by Los's Theorem ${ }_{S} D \models \Phi\left(\bar{a}^{\prime}\right)$.

Corollary 1. Let ${ }_{S} A=\coprod_{\alpha<\kappa}{ }_{S} A_{\alpha}$, $\kappa$ be an infinite ordinal, ${ }_{S} A_{\alpha}$ be the connected components of ${ }_{S} A, \Phi$ be a sentence of language $L_{S}$, and ${ }_{S} A \models$ $\Phi$. Then there is a finite set $\left\{{ }_{S} B_{1}, \ldots,{ }_{S} B_{m}\right\} \subseteq\left\{{ }_{S} A_{\alpha} \mid \alpha<\kappa\right\}$ such that ${ }_{S} B_{1} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup_{S} B_{m}=\Phi$.

Proof. Let the conditions of Corollary be satisfied. We will use the notation introduced in the proof of Lemma 1. As in Lemma 1, we can assume that

$$
\Phi \leftrightharpoons \forall y_{1} \exists z_{1} \ldots \forall y_{k} \exists z_{k} \bigvee_{\varepsilon \in E} \Psi_{\varepsilon}(\bar{y}, \bar{z})
$$

where $\bar{y}=\left\langle y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right\rangle, \bar{z}=\left\langle z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right\rangle, E \subseteq E^{(2 k)}$. As the desired $S$-act ${ }_{S} B_{1} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup_{S} B_{m}$ we take an $S$-act ${ }_{S} D_{i_{0}}$, constructed in the proof of Lemma 1 for $n=0$.

Theorem 1 follows directly from Corollary 1.
Theorem 1. Any coproduct of finite $S$-acts is a pseudofinite $S$-act.
Since any $S$-act over a group is a cyclic $S$-act, we get
Corollary 2. Any $S$-act, where $S$ is a finite group, is a pseudofinite $S$-act.
In [12], there are the examples of connected infinite pseudofinite and nonpseudofinite $S$-acts over a finite monoid $S$.
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