

**EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEM FOR A KIRCHHOFF-LOVE
PLATE CONTACTING WITH THE LATERAL
SURFACE ALONG A STRIP OF A GIVEN WIDTH****N.P. LAZAREV, D.Y. NIKIFOROV, AND G.M. SEMENOVA***Communicated by E.M. RUDOV*

Abstract: A new model of a Kirchhoff-Love plate is justified, which may come into contact by its lateral surface with a non-deformable obstacle along a strip of a given width. The non-deformable obstacle restricts displacements of the plate along the outer lateral surface. The obstacle is specified by a cylindrical surface, the generatrices of which are perpendicular to the midplane of the plate. A problem is formulated in variational form. A set of admissible displacements is determined in a suitable Sobolev space in the framework of a clamping condition and a non-penetration condition of the Signorini type. The non-penetration condition is given as a system of two inequalities. The existence and uniqueness of a solution to the problem is proven. An equivalent differential formulation and optimality conditions are found under the assumption of additional regularity of the solution to the variational problem. A qualitative connection has been established between the proposed model and a previously studied problem in which the plate is in contact over the entire lateral surface.

LAZAREV, N.P., NIKIFOROV, D.Y., SEMENOVA, G.M. EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEM FOR A KIRCHHOFF-LOVE PLATE.

© 2023 LAZAREV N.P., NIKIFOROV D.Y., SEMENOVA G.M.

The results of the first and third sections were obtained N. P. Lazarev with the support of the Russian Science Foundation (project 24-21-00081).

The results of the second section were obtained by D. Y. Nikiforov and G. M. Semanova with the support of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (agreement No. 075-02-2024-1441, February 28, 2024).

Received April, 8, 2024, Published October, 21, 2024.

Keywords: contact problem, limit passage, variational inequality, nonpenetration condition.

1 Introduction

Boundary value problems of the theory of elasticity with inequality type conditions describing the equilibrium of bodies are successfully studied on the basis of variational inequalities [1, 2, 3]. Within the framework of nonlinear problems with non-penetration conditions, mathematical models are often studied in which elastic bodies may come into mechanical contact interaction with non-deformable obstacles [4, 5, 6, 7], or with another deformable body [8, 9, 10, 11]. For studies of the regularity of solutions to obstacle problems we refer to [12, 13, 14]. Asymptotic analysis for problems of solid mechanics with inequality type constraints can be found, for example, in [15, 16, 17]. In the case where a body has a crack (or cracks), the interaction of opposite crack faces can also be described using models subject to unilateral constraints [18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24], etc. For problems of this type, numerical methods are proposed, for example, in [25, 26]. Within the framework of the theory of elasticity, a qualitative connection between nonlinear problems describing contact interaction with obstacles and problems of the crack theory has been established for a number of mathematical models [27, 28, 29, 30]. Note that cases of simultaneous possible contact of the plate along the front surface and lateral edge are also of interest [31, 32]. We can mention the works for pointwise contact problems [33, 34], where minimization problems over nonconvex sets are investigated.

In this work, we consider a special configuration of a non-deformable obstacle in contact with a strip on the lateral cylindrical surface of a plate. In this case, the obstacle in the initial state does not come into contact with the points of the plate along the entire width, as, for example, in the works [29, 30, 35], but along a strip of a given width. It is shown that when the parameter of the width of the contact zone tends to the value of the plate thickness, we get as a limiting problem the previously known problem studied in [28]. Thus, the presented mathematical model generalizes the previously known problem describing the contact of a Kirchhoff-Love plate with a non-deformable obstacle.

2 The Variational Problem

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ be a bounded with a smooth boundary Γ , which consists of two continuous curves $\Gamma = \bar{\Gamma}_0 \cup \bar{\Gamma}_1$, $\Gamma_0 \cap \Gamma_1 = \emptyset$, $\text{mes}(\Gamma_0) > 0$, $\text{mes}(\Gamma_1) > 0$. Denote by $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2)$ the external unit normal vector to Γ . For simplicity, suppose the plate has a uniform thickness $2h$. Let us assign a three-dimensional Cartesian space $\{x_1, x_2, z\}$ with the set $\{\Omega\} \times \{0\} \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ corresponding to the middle plane of the plate.

Denote by $\chi = \chi(x) = (W, w)$ the displacement vector of the mid-surface points ($x \in \Omega$), by $W = (w_1, w_2)$ the displacements in the plane $\{x_1, x_2\}$, and by w the displacements along the axis z (deflections). The strain and integrated stress tensors are denoted by $\varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ij}(W)$, $\sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{ij}(W)$, respectively [5]:

$$\varepsilon_{ij}(W) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial w_j}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial x_j} \right), \quad \sigma_{ij}(W) = a_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{kl}(W), \quad i, j = 1, 2,$$

where $\{a_{ijkl}\}$ is the given elasticity tensor, assumed to be symmetric and positive definite:

$$a_{ijkl} = a_{klij} = a_{jikl}, \quad i, j, k, l = 1, 2, \quad a_{ijkl} \in L^\infty(\Omega), \\ a_{ijkl}\xi_{ij}\xi_{kl} \geq c_0|\xi|^2, \quad \forall \xi, \quad \xi_{ij} = \xi_{ji}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \quad c_0 = \text{const} > 0.$$

A summation convention over repeated indices is used in the sequel. Next we denote the bending moments by formulas [5]

$$m_{ij}(w) = -d_{ijkl}w_{,kl}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \quad (w_{,kl} = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_k \partial x_l})$$

where tensor $\{d_{ijkl}\}$ has the same symmetry, boundedness, and positive definiteness characteristics as tensor $\{a_{ijkl}\}$. Let $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ be a bilinear form defined by the equality

$$B(\chi, \bar{\chi}) = \int_{\Omega} \{ \sigma_{ij}(W) \varepsilon_{ij}(\bar{W}) - m_{ij}(w) \bar{w}_{,ij} \} dx, \quad (1)$$

where $\chi = (W, w)$, $\bar{\chi} = (\bar{W}, \bar{w})$.

Introduce the Sobolev spaces

$$H_{\Gamma_0}^{1,0}(\Omega) = \left\{ v \in H^1(\Omega) \mid v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0 \right\}, \\ H_{\Gamma_0}^{2,0}(\Omega) = \left\{ v \in H^2(\Omega) \mid v = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0 \right\}, \\ H(\Omega) = H_{\Gamma_0}^{1,0}(\Omega)^2 \times H_{\Gamma_0}^{2,0}(\Omega).$$

It is well known that the standard expression for a potential energy functional of a Kirchhoff–Love plate has the following representation

$$\Pi(\chi) = \frac{1}{2} B(\chi, \chi) - \int_{\Omega} F \chi dx, \quad \chi = (W, w),$$

where vector $F = (f_1, f_2, f_3) \in L_2(\Omega)^3$ describes the body forces [5]. Note that the following inequality providing coercivity of functional $\Pi(\chi)$

$$B(\chi, \chi) \geq c \|\chi\|^2 \quad \forall \chi \in H(\Omega), \quad (\|\chi\| = \|\chi\|_{H(\Omega)}) \quad (2)$$

with a constant $c > 0$ independent of χ , holds for the bilinear form $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ [5].

Let us start with the description of a non-deformable obstacle. The obstacle has a special shape such that the plate in the initial state is in contact along a strip of the width l , where $l \in \mathbf{R}$ is a fixed number such that $0 < l \leq 2h$. Namely, we specify the obstacle by the following set:

$$\{(x_1, x_2, z) \mid (x_1, x_2) \in \Gamma_1, \quad z \in (-\infty, -h + l)\}.$$

Obviously, for $l = 2h$ we obtain full contact along the lateral surface of the plate, studied in [28].

In order to introduce boundary conditions of the Signorini type, we recall the well-known relations of the Kirchhoff-Love model for displacements of points $(x, z) \in \Omega \times [-h, h]$:

$$W^z(x, z) = W(x) - z \nabla w, \quad |z| \leq h, \quad w^z(x, z) = w(x). \quad (3)$$

Taking into account (3) and arguing as in [5, 28], we impose the following condition for displacements on Γ_1 describing the non-penetration of plate points into a non-deformable obstacle. We require the following relations to be satisfied

$$W\nu - z \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \leq 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1, \quad z \in [-h, -h + l], \quad (4)$$

where $W\nu = w_i \nu_i$. The inequality (4), due to linearity, can be equivalently represented as a system of two inequalities

$$W\nu + h \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \leq 0, \quad W\nu + (h - l) \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \leq 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1. \quad (5)$$

Now we can introduce the following set of admissible functions

$$K_l = \{\chi = (W, w) \in H(\Omega) \mid \chi \text{ satisfying (4)}\}.$$

Let us formulate a variational statement of an equilibrium problem. It is required to find a function $\xi = (U, u) \in K_l$, such that

$$\Pi(\xi) = \inf_{\chi \in K_l} \Pi(\chi). \quad (6)$$

Theorem 1. *The problem (6) has a unique solution.*

Proof. We will apply the well known Weierstrass theorem in order to show solution existence of the minimization problem [36]. The energy functional is coercive and weakly lower semicontinuous on $H(\Omega)$ [5]. It is easy to see that the set K_l has the convexity and closedness properties. These properties of the set of admissible displacements ensure that the set K_l is weakly closed. Consequently, for the minimization problem (6) all conditions of the Weierstrass theorem are satisfied both for the functional $\Pi(\chi)$ and for the set of admissible functions K_l . This means that problem (6) has at least one solution. The functional is convex and differentiable, and as a consequence the problem (6) is equivalent to the following variational inequality

$$\xi \in K_l, \quad B(\xi, \chi - \xi) \geq \int_{\Omega} F(\chi - \xi) dx \quad \forall \chi \in K_l. \quad (7)$$

Assuming that there are two different solutions ξ_1 and ξ_2 , we extract two inequalities from the variational inequality

$$B(\xi_1, \xi_2 - \xi_1) \geq \int_{\Omega} F(\xi_2 - \xi_1) dx,$$

$$B(\xi_2, \xi_1 - \xi_2) \geq \int_{\Omega} F(\xi_1 - \xi_2) dx.$$

Adding the last two inequalities we get that

$$B(\xi_2 - \xi_1, \xi_2 - \xi_1) \leq 0.$$

This means, in view of (2), that $\xi_1 = \xi_2$, and also entails the uniqueness of the solution to the problem (6). \square

3 The Differential Statement

Let l be a fixed number such that $0 < l \leq 2h$. Let us assume that the solution $\xi = (U, u) \in K_l$ and elasticity tensors $\{a_{ijkl}\}$, $\{d_{ijkl}\}$ are sufficiently smooth. Furthermore, in addition to the prescribed properties of the solution, it is sufficient to require that $\xi \in H^2(\Omega)^2 \times H^4(\Omega)$. Our aim is to find from the variational inequality the equilibrium equations fulfilled in Ω and optimality conditions satisfied on Γ_1 . We will apply the following Green's formulas (8) for the functions $\chi = (W, w) \in K_l$ [5],

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma_{ij}(U) \varepsilon_{ij}(W) dx = - \int_{\Omega} \sigma_{ij,j}(U) w_i dx + \int_{\Gamma} \left(\sigma_{\nu}(U) W \nu + \sigma_{\tau}(U) W \tau \right) d\Gamma, \quad (8)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} m_{ij}(u) w_{,ij} dx = \int_{\Omega} m_{ij,ij}(u) w dx + \int_{\Gamma} \left(t^{\nu}(u) w - m_{\nu}(u) \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \right) d\Gamma, \quad (9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\nu}(U) &= \sigma_{ij}(U) \nu_i \nu_j, & m_{\nu}(u) &= -m_{ij} \nu_i \nu_j, \\ \sigma_{\tau}(U) &= (\sigma_{\tau}^1(U), \sigma_{\tau}^2(U)) = (\sigma_{1j}(U) \nu_j, \sigma_{2j}(U) \nu_j) - \sigma_{\nu}(U) \nu, \\ t^{\nu}(u) &= -m_{ij,k} \tau_k \tau_j \nu_i - m_{ij,j} \nu_i, & \tau &= (-\nu_2, \nu_1), \\ W \nu &= w_i \nu_i, & W \tau &= (W_{\tau}^1, W_{\tau}^2), & w_i &= (W \nu)_i + W_{\tau}^i, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Along with the variational statement (6), one can deal with the corresponding differential statement. Namely, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2. *Supposing the solution $\xi = (U, u)$ as well as elasticity tensors $\{a_{ijkl}\}$, $\{d_{ijkl}\}$ to be sufficiently smooth, the variational problem (6) is equivalent to the following boundary value problem*

$$-m_{ij,ij}(u) = f_3 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (10)$$

$$-\sigma_{ij,j}(U) = f_i \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (11)$$

$$\sigma_{\nu}(U) - \frac{1}{h} m_{\nu}(u) \leq 0, \quad -\sigma_{\nu}(U)(h-l) + m_{\nu}(u) \leq 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1, \quad (12)$$

$$\sigma_{\nu}(U) \leq 0, \quad U \nu + h \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \leq 0, \quad U \nu + (h-l) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \leq 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1, \quad (13)$$

$$\sigma_{\tau}(U) = (0, 0), \quad t^{\nu}(u) = 0, \quad \sigma_{\nu}(U) U \nu + m_{\nu}(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1, \quad (14)$$

$$U = (0, 0), \quad u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0. \quad (15)$$

Proof. Substituting $\bar{\chi} = \xi \pm \tilde{\chi}$, where $\tilde{\chi} \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)^3$, as a test function in (7), we obtain the following relation

$$\int_{\Omega} (\sigma_{ij}(U) \varepsilon_{ij}(\tilde{W}) - m_{ij}(u) \tilde{w}_{,ij}) dx = \int_{\Omega} F \tilde{\chi} dx,$$

that is, the equilibrium equations

$$-m_{ij,ij}(u) = f_3 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (16)$$

$$-\sigma_{ij,j}(U) = f_i \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (17)$$

hold in terms of distribution.

Applying Green's formulas to (7) and using (16), (17), one can show that

$$\int_{\Gamma} \left(\sigma_{\nu}(U)(W - U)\nu + \sigma_{\tau}(U)(W - U)\tau - t^{\nu}(u)(w - u) + m_{\nu}(u) \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right) \right) d\Gamma \geq 0 \quad \forall \chi = (W, w) \in K_l. \quad (18)$$

Since K_l is a convex cone in $H(\Omega)$, one can substitute $\chi = \lambda\xi$ with $\lambda \geq 0$ in (18) and deduce

$$\int_{\Gamma} \left(\sigma_{\nu}(U)U\nu + \sigma_{\tau}(U)U\tau - t^{\nu}(u)u + m_{\nu}(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right) d\Gamma = 0, \quad (19)$$

$$\int_{\Gamma} \left(\sigma_{\nu}(U)W\nu + \sigma_{\tau}(U)W\tau - t^{\nu}(u)w + m_{\nu}(u) \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \right) d\Gamma \geq 0, \quad (20)$$

for all $\chi = (W, w) \in K_l$. Since the function $\chi = (W, w) \in K_l$ satisfies zero boundary conditions on Γ_0 , we can rewrite (20) as follows

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} \left(\sigma_{\nu}(U)W\nu + \sigma_{\tau}(U)W\tau - t^{\nu}(u)w + m_{\nu}(u) \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \right) d\Gamma \geq 0. \quad (21)$$

Since the inequalities (4) does not depend on $W\tau$, due to arbitrariness of $W\tau$ on Γ_1 , we infer that

$$\sigma_{\tau}(U) = (0, 0) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1.$$

Therefore, we can reduce (21) in the following form

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} \left(\sigma_{\nu}(U)W\nu - t^{\nu}(u)w + m_{\nu}(u) \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \right) d\Gamma \geq 0 \quad \forall \chi = (W, w) \in K_l. \quad (22)$$

By choosing functions $\chi = (W, w)$ such that $W = (0, 0)$, $\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} = 0$ on Γ_1 for (22), we get

$$t^{\nu}(u) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1.$$

Now we can substitute test functions with the property $w = 0$, $W\nu + h\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \geq 0$ on Γ_1

As a result, we have

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} \left(\sigma_{\nu}(U)W\nu - \frac{1}{h}m_{\nu}(u)W\nu \right) d\Gamma \geq 0. \quad (23)$$

From here, since the value $W\nu \leq 0$ can be arbitrary, we get

$$\sigma_{\nu}(U) - \frac{1}{h}m_{\nu}(u) \leq 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1.$$

Now, substituting into (22) test functions satisfying $W\nu + (h - l)\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} = 0$, $\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \leq 0$ on Γ_1 , we find

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} \left(-\sigma_{\nu}(U)(h - l) \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} + m_{\nu}(u) \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \right) d\Gamma \geq 0.$$

Whence it follows that

$$-\sigma_\nu(U)(h-l) + m_\nu(u) \leq 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1. \quad (24)$$

Substituting further into (22) $\eta = (W, w)$ such that $w = 0$, $W\nu \leq 0$, $\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} = 0$, it is not difficult to establish the inequality

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} \sigma_\nu(U)W\nu \, d\Gamma \geq 0,$$

which means that

$$\sigma_\nu(U) \leq 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1. \quad (25)$$

Note that due to $\xi = (U, u) \in K_l$ and the following revealed relations

$$t^\nu(u) = 0, \quad \sigma_\nu(U) \leq 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1,$$

$$\sigma_\nu(U) - \frac{1}{h}m_\nu(u) \leq 0, \quad -\sigma_\nu(U)(h-l) + m_\nu(u) \leq 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1,$$

the expression $\sigma_\nu(U)W\nu + m_\nu(u)\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}$ is non-negative on Γ_1 . Indeed, for the subset Γ_1^+ of Γ_1 , where $\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \geq 0$ a.e. on Γ_1^+ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_\nu(U)W\nu + m_\nu(u)\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} = \\ & = \sigma_\nu(U) \left(W\nu + h\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \right) + (m_\nu(u) - h\sigma_\nu(U))\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

and for the subset Γ_1^- of Γ_1 , where $\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \leq 0$ a.e. on Γ_1^- , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_\nu(U)W\nu + m_\nu(u)\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} = \\ & = \sigma_\nu(U) \left(W\nu + (h-l)\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \right) + (-\sigma_\nu(U)(h-l) + m_\nu(u))\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Now we recall the relation (19). Since the integrand of (19) is non-negative a.e. on Γ . Therefore, we get

$$\sigma_\nu(U)U\nu + m_\nu(u)\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1.$$

Conversely, in order to obtain from (10)–(15) the variational inequality (7) we multiply (10) by $(u-w)$ and each equality of (11) by corresponding $(u_i - w_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, where $W = (w_1, w_2)$, w such that $\chi = (W, w) \in K_l$. Then after integrating over Ω and summing, we get

$$-\int_{\Omega} (\sigma_{ij,j}(U)(U-W) + m_{ij,ij}(u)(w-u)) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} F(\chi - \xi) \, dx.$$

At this point, recalling the Green formulas, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (\sigma_{ij}(U)\varepsilon_{ij}(W-U) - m_{ij}(u)(w-u)_{,ij}) \, dx - \\ & - \int_{\Gamma} (\sigma_\nu(U)(W\nu - U\nu) + \sigma_\tau(U)(W\tau - U\tau)) \, d\Gamma + \\ & + \int_{\Gamma} (t^\nu(u)(w-u) - m_\nu(u)(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu})) \, d\Gamma = \int_{\Omega} F(\chi - \xi) \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Taking into account that $\sigma_\tau(U) = (0, 0)$ on Γ_1 , and zero boundary conditions for ξ, χ on Γ_0 , we can represent the sum of integrals over Γ in the left side of (28) as follows

$$I = \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(t^\nu(u)(w - u) - m_\nu(u) \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right) - \sigma_\nu(U)(W\nu - U\nu) \right) d\Gamma. \tag{29}$$

Then bearing in mind the equalities $\sigma_\tau(U) = (0, 0)$, $t^\nu(u) = 0$ on Γ_1 , we can rewrite (29) as the following sum

$$I = \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(-m_\nu(u) \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right) - \sigma_\nu(U)(W\nu - U\nu) \right) d\Gamma. \tag{30}$$

As we can see the integrand in the last integral is nonpositive because of $\chi \in K_l$ and relations (12)–(15). It remains to note that since $I \leq 0$, the equality (28) yields the variational inequality (7). The theorem is proved. \square

Remark 1. *We can note that in the framework of the theorem 1 instead of the fixed number l the existence and uniqueness can be proved for some function $l \in L^2(\Gamma_1)$ satisfying $0 < l \leq 2h$ a.e. on Γ_1 . Furthermore, bearing in mind reasonings of the proof to the theorem 2 it can be seen that same result is true for some continuous $l \in C(\bar{\Gamma}_1)$ such that $0 < l(x) < 2h$ for all $x \in \Gamma_1$.*

4 Passage to the limit as $l \rightarrow 2h$

Passages to the limit as parameters characterizing the sizes or relative positions of structural elements, or the distances between objects inside solid bodies have been studied, for example, in [37, 38, 39, 40, 41]. The dependence of solutions on perturbation of the geometry of objects within the framework of nonlinear models of solid mechanics is also of scientific interest, see [42, 43] etc. In this section we will show that the previously studied problem in [28], which corresponds to the value $l = 2h$, is a limit problem for a family of problems with different values $l \in (0, 2h]$. Namely, we consider the sequence of functions $\{l_n\} \subset L_2(\Gamma_1)$, satisfying the properties $0 < l_n \leq 2h$ and $l_n \rightarrow 2h$ in the space $L_2(\Gamma_1)$.

Let us consider a family of variational problems with different sets of admissible displacements K_{l_n} , $n \in \mathbf{N}$:

$$\xi_n \in K_{l_n}, \quad B(\xi_n, \chi - \xi_n) \geq \int_{\Omega} F(\chi - \xi_n) dx \quad \forall \chi \in K_{l_n}. \tag{31}$$

Substituting the test function $\chi = (0, 0, 0)$ into (31) we obtain the inequality

$$B(\xi_n, \xi_n) \leq \int_{\Omega} F\xi_n dx.$$

Hence, we get the following uniform estimate

$$\|\xi_n\| \leq C,$$

where $C > 0$ does not depend on $n \in \mathbf{N}$. The reflexivity of the space allows us to extract a subsequence ξ_{n_k} that weakly converges in $H(\Omega)$ to some function $\tilde{\xi}$. As the next step we show that $\tilde{\xi} = (\tilde{U}, \tilde{u}) \in K_{2h}$. Since $l_n \rightarrow 2h$ converges strongly in $L_2(\Gamma_1)$, we can extract a subsequence l_{n_k} that converges almost everywhere on Γ_1 to $2h$. Extracting a subsequence again if necessary, we assume that $\{\xi_{n_k}\}$ converges on

Γ_1 almost everywhere. Based on the properties of these convergent subsequences, we can pass to the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the following inequalities:

$$U_{n_k} \nu + h \frac{\partial u_{n_k}}{\partial \nu} \leq 0, \quad U_{n_k} \nu + (h - l_{n_k}) \frac{\partial u_{n_k}}{\partial \nu} \leq 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } \Gamma_1.$$

As limiting relations we obtain

$$\tilde{U} \nu + h \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \nu} \leq 0, \quad \tilde{U} \nu - h \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \nu} \leq 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } \Gamma_1.$$

That is $\tilde{\xi} \in K_{2h}$. Let $\tilde{\eta} \in K_{2h}$ be an arbitrary test function, it is obvious that in this case $\tilde{\eta} \in K_{l_n}$, for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Therefore, passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the inequalities

$$\xi_n \in K_{l_n}, \quad B(\xi_n, \tilde{\eta} - \xi_n) \geq \int_{\Omega} F(\tilde{\eta} - \xi_n) dx$$

with the fixed function $\tilde{\eta}$, we get

$$B(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta} - \tilde{\xi}) \geq \int_{\Omega} F(\tilde{\eta} - \tilde{\xi}) dx. \quad (32)$$

Thus, due to the uniqueness of the solution to the variational inequality, it follows from (32) that $\tilde{\xi}$ is a solution to the problem (6) corresponding to the value $l = 2h$ for K_{2h} .

References

- [1] A. Signorini, *Questioni di elasticità non linearizzata e semilinearizzata*, Rend. Mat. Appl., V. Ser., **18** (1959), 95–139. Zbl 0091.38006
- [2] G. Fichera, *Boundary Value Problems of Elasticity with Unilateral Constraints*, in Flügge, S. (ed.), *Handbook der Physik, Band VIa/2*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972. Zbl 0277.73001
- [3] G. Dal Maso, G. Paderni, [Variational inequalities for the biharmonic operator with variable obstacles](#), Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., IV. Ser., **153** (1988), 203–227. Zbl 0674.49006
- [4] A. Rademacher, K. Rosin, [Adaptive optimal control of Signorini's problem](#), Comput. Optim. Appl., **70**:2 (2018), 531–569. Zbl 1397.49015
- [5] A.M. Khludnev, V.A. Kovtunenکو, *Analysis of cracks in solids*, WIT-Press, Southampton, Boston, 2000.
- [6] N. Lazarev, E. Rudoy, [Optimal location of a finite set of rigid inclusions in contact problems for inhomogeneous two-dimensional bodies](#), J. Comput. Appl. Math., **403** (2022), Article ID 113710. Zbl 1477.49017
- [7] N.P. Lazarev, E.F. Sharin, E.S. Efimova, [Equilibrium problem for an inhomogeneous Kirchhoff-Love plate contacting with a partially delaminated inclusion](#), Lobachevskii J. Math., **44**:10 (2023), 4127–4134. Zbl 7853798
- [8] A.I. Furtsev, [On contact between a thin obstacle and a plate containing a thin inclusion](#), J. Math.Sci., New York, **237**:4 (2019), 530–545. Zbl 1438.74107
- [9] A.I. Furtsev, [The unilateral contact problem for a Timoshenko plate and a thin elastic obstacle](#), Sib. Èlectron. Mat. Izv., **17** (2020), 364–379. Zbl 1435.35374
- [10] E.V. Pyatkina, [A Contact of two elastic plates connected along a thin rigid inclusion](#), Sib. Èlectron. Mat. Izv., **17** (2020), 1797–1815. Zbl 1448.35502
- [11] A.M. Khludnev, K. Hoffmann, N.D. Botkin, [The variational contact problem for elastic objects of different dimensions](#), Sib. Math. J., **47**:3 (2006), 584–593. Zbl 1115.74038

- [12] P. Piersanti, *On the improved interior regularity of the solution of a fourth order elliptic problem modelling the displacement of a linearly elastic shallow shell lying subject to an obstacle*, Asymptotic Anal., **127**:1–2 (2022), 35–55. Zbl 1509.35309
- [13] P. Piersanti, *On the improved interior regularity of a boundary value problem modelling the displacement of a linearly elastic elliptic membrane shell subject to an obstacle*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **42**:2 (2022), 1011–1037.
- [14] A.G. Grimaldi, *Regularity results for solutions to a class of obstacle problems*, Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl., **62** (2021), Article ID 103377. Zbl 1477.49058
- [15] P.G. Ciarlet, C. Mardare, P. Piersanti, *An obstacle problem for elliptic membrane shells*, Math. Mech. Solids, **24**:5 (2019), 1503–1529. Zbl 1440.74205
- [16] N.P. Lazarev, V.A. Kovtunenکو, *Asymptotic analysis of the problem of equilibrium of an inhomogeneous body with hinged rigid inclusions of various widths*, J. Appl. Mech. Tech. Phys., **64**:5 (2023), 911–920. Zbl 1536.74035
- [17] P. Piersanti, *Asymptotic analysis of linearly elastic flexural shells subjected to an obstacle in absence of friction*, J. Nonlinear Sci., **33**:4 (2023), Paper No. 58. Zbl 1519.35167
- [18] D. Knees, A. Schröder, *Global spatial regularity for elasticity models with cracks, contact and other nonsmooth constraints*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., **35**:15 (2012), 1859–1884. Zbl 1255.35068
- [19] A.I. Furtsev, *Problem of equilibrium for hyperelastic body with rigid inclusion and non-penetrating crack*, Sib. Electron. Math. Izv., **21**:1 (2024), 17–40.
- [20] V.A. Kovtunenکو, *Poroelastic medium with non-penetrating crack driven by hydraulic fracture: Variational inequality and its semidiscretization*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., **405** (2022), Article ID 113953. Zbl 1483.74028
- [21] V.A. Kovtunenکو, H. Itou, A.M. Khludnev, E.M. Rudoy, *Non-smooth variational problems and applications*, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A., **380** (2022), Article ID 20210364.
- [22] V. Shcherbakov, *Shape derivatives of energy and regularity of minimizers for shallow elastic shells with cohesive cracks*, Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl., **65** (2022), Article ID 103505. Zbl 1492.74133
- [23] H. Itou, V.A. Kovtunenکو, K.R. Rajagopal, *Nonlinear elasticity with limiting small strain for cracks subject to non-penetration*, Math. Mech. Solids, **22**:6 (2017), 1334–1346. Zbl 1371.74245
- [24] H. Itou, V.A. Kovtunenکو, K.R. Rajagopal, *Well-posedness of the problem of non-penetrating cracks in elastic bodies whose material moduli depend on the mean normal stress*, Int. J. Eng. Sci., **136** (2019), 17–25. Zbl 1425.74081
- [25] A. Furtsev, H. Itou, E. Rudoy, *Modeling of bonded elastic structures by a variational method: Theoretical Analysis and Numerical Simulation*, Int. J. Solids Struct., **182–183** (2020), 100–111.
- [26] E.M. Rudoy, V.V. Shcherbakov, *Domain decomposition method for a membrane with a delaminated thin rigid inclusion*, Sib. Electron. Math. Izv., **13** (2016), 395–410. Zbl 1342.65227
- [27] V.D. Stepanov, A.M. Khludnev, *The method of fictitious domains in the Signorini problem*, Sib. Math. J., **44**:6 (2003), 1061–1074. Zbl 1071.35057
- [28] N.A. Nikolaeva, *Method of fictitious domains for Signorini's problem in Kirchhoff–Love theory of plates*, J. Math. Sci., **221**:6 (2017), 872–882.
- [29] N.P. Lazarev, H. Itou, N.V. Neustroeva, *Fictitious domain method for an equilibrium problem of the Timoshenko-type plate with a crack crossing the external boundary at zero angle*, Japan J. Ind. Appl. Math., **33**:1 (2016), 63–80. Zbl 1335.49019
- [30] N.P. Lazarev, V.V. Everstov, N.A. Romanova, *Fictitious domain method for equilibrium problems of the Kirchhoff–Love plates with nonpenetration conditions for known configurations of plate edges*, J. Sib. Fed. Univ. Math. Phys., **12**:6 (2019), 674–686. Zbl 1534.74064

- [31] N.P. Lazarev, G.M. Semenova, E.D. Fedotov, *An equilibrium problem for a Kirchhoff-Love plate, contacting an obstacle by top and bottom edges*, Lobachevskii J. Math., **44**:2 (2023), 614–619. Zbl 1515.74048
- [32] N.P. Lazarev, D.Y. Nikiforov, N.A. Romanova, *Equilibrium problem for a Timoshenko plate contacting by the side and face surfaces*, Chelyabinskii Fiz.-Mat. Zh., **8**:4 (2023), 528–541. Zbl 7831227
- [33] N.P. Lazarev, V.A. Kovtunenکو, *Signorini-type problems over non-convex sets for composite bodies contacting by sharp edges of rigid inclusions*, Mathematics, **10**:2 (2022), Article ID 250.
- [34] N.P. Lazarev, E.D. Fedotov, *Three-dimensional Signorini-type problem for composite bodies contacting with sharp edges of rigid inclusions*, Chelyabinskii Fiz.-Mat. Zh., **7**:4 (2022), 412–423. Zbl 1505.74165
- [35] N.P. Lazarev, *Fictitious domain method in the equilibrium problem for a Timoshenko-type plate contacting with a rigid obstacle*, J. Math. Sci., **203**:4 (2014), 527–539.
- [36] C. Baiocchi, A. Capello, *Variational and quasivariational inequalities. Applications to free boundary problems*, Wiley, New York, 1984. Zbl 0551.49007
- [37] E.M. Rudoy, H. Itou, N.P. Lazarev, *Asymptotic justification of the models of thin inclusions in an elastic body in the antiplane shear problem*, J. Appl. Ind. Math., **15**:1 (2021), 129–140. Zbl 1511.74020
- [38] E. Rudoy, S. Sazhenkov, *Variational approach to modeling of curvilinear thin inclusions with rough boundaries in elastic bodies: case of a rod-type inclusion*, Mathematics, **11**:16 (2023), Article ID 3447.
- [39] I.V. Fankina, A.I. Furtsev, E.M. Rudoy, S.A. Sazhenkov, *A quasi-static model of a thermoelastic body reinforced by a thin thermoelastic inclusion*, Math. Mech. Solids, **29**:4 (2024), 796–817.
- [40] I.V. Fankina, A.I. Furtsev, E.M. Rudoy, S.A. Sazhenkov, *The homogenized quasi-static model of a thermoelastic composite stitched with reinforcing threads*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., **434** (2023), Article ID 115346. Zbl 1517.35224
- [41] N.P. Lazarev, N.A. Romanova, *Optimal control of the angle between two rigid inclusions in an inhomogeneous 2D body*, Mat. Zamet. SVFU, **30**:3 (2023), 38–57. Zbl 1535.49003
- [42] V.A. Kovtunenکو, K. Kunisch, *Shape derivative for penalty-constrained nonsmooth-nonconvex optimization: cohesive crack problem*, J. Optim. Theory Appl., **194**:2 (2022), 597–635. Zbl 1495.35220
- [43] A.M. Khludnev, *Shape control of thin rigid inclusions and cracks in elastic bodies*, Arch. Appl. Mech., **83**:10 (2013), 1493–1509. Zbl 1293.74136

NYURGUN PETROVICH LAZAREV
NORTH-EASTERN FEDERAL UNIVERSITY,
KULAKOVSKY STR., 48,
677000, YAKUTSK, RUSSIA
Email address: nyurgunlazarev@yandex.ru

DJULUSTAN YAKOVLEVICH NIKIFOROV
NORTH-EASTERN FEDERAL UNIVERSITY,
KULAKOVSKY STR., 48,
677000, YAKUTSK, RUSSIA
Email address: dju92@mail.ru

GALINA MIKHAILOVNA SEMENOVA
NORTH-EASTERN FEDERAL UNIVERSITY,
KULAKOVSKY STR., 48,
677000, YAKUTSK, RUSSIA
Email address: sgm.08@yandex.ru