

СИБИРСКИЕ ЭЛЕКТРОННЫЕ  
МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИЕ ИЗВЕСТИЯ

Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports

<http://semr.math.nsc.ru>

Том 4, стр. 278–281 (2007)

УДК 512.54.03

MSC 03C64

CAYLEY'S THEOREM FOR ORDERED GROUPS:  
O-MINIMALITY

BEKTUR BAIZHANOV, JOHN BALDWIN, VIKTOR VERBOVSKIY

ABSTRACT. It has long been known [1] that any group could be represented in a strongly minimal theory by just writing down the relations of the group as unary functions. We show the same process works for ordered groups and yields an o-minimal group.

In group theory, Cayley's theorem states that every group  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of the symmetric group on  $G$  [2]. This can be understood as an example of the group action of  $G$  on the elements of  $G$ . For o-minimal structures Baizhanov showed:

**Fact 1.** *If  $M$  is an o-minimal structure and  $p \in S(A)$  is non-algebraic then the family of all unary  $A$ -definable functions defined on the realizations of  $p$  forms an ordered group  $G$ , where the group operation is the composition of functions and  $g \in G$  is positive if  $g(a) > a$  for some ( $\simeq$  any) element  $a$  realizing  $p$ .*

He asked,

**Question 2.** *What ordered groups can be realized in this manner?*

We show

**Theorem 3.** *If  $G$  is a linearly ordered group, then  $G$  can be represented as the collection of definable unary functions acting on a complete type in an o-minimal structure.*

BAIZHANOV B., BALDWIN J., VERBOVSKIY V., CAYLEY'S THEOREM FOR ORDERED GROUPS:  
O-MINIMALITY.

© 2007 BAIZHANOV B., BALDWIN J., VERBOVSKIY V.

Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0100594 and CDRF grant KZM1-2620.

Received April, 10, 2007, published May, 28, 2007.

Proof of Fact 1. This follows from a short analysis of  $p$ -stable formulas [3]. A 2-ary formula,  $\phi(x, y)$ , over  $A$  is  $p$ -stable if for some (equivalently for every) realization  $\alpha \in p(M)$  there exist  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in p(M)$  such that  $\gamma_1 < \phi(M, \alpha) < \gamma_2$ . (In particular,  $x = y$  is such a 2-formula.)

By o-minimality,  $\phi(M, \alpha) = \cup_j I_j$ , is equal to finite number of  $L(A\alpha)$ -definable intervals and points. Thus each  $p$ -stable formula determines a finite number of functions:  $f_i$  maps  $\alpha$  to the  $i$ th point in a linear ordering of the end points of these intervals. Let  $f$  be such function. The image  $f(\alpha)$  and pre-image  $f^{-1}(\alpha)$  of an arbitrary element  $\alpha \in p(M)$  belong to  $p(M)$ . We can define  $f^{-1}$ , because models of an o-minimal theory satisfy the algebraic exchange principle [4]. So  $f$  is a bijection on  $p(M)$ .

Classical work in o-minimality ([4], [5]) shows every function on an o-minimal model has only a finite number of singular points, where the function changes its monotonicity (e.g. from increasing to decreasing), and all such points are  $A$ -definable. Consequently, these points do not satisfy the non-algebraic one-type  $p \in S_1(A)$ . So,  $f$  is strictly monotone on some interval  $I_f$ ,  $p(M) \subseteq I_f$ . It can not be constant, because  $p \in S_1(A)$  is non-algebraic.

In fact,  $f$  is strictly increasing. Suppose  $\alpha < f(\alpha)$ . Let  $\beta = f(\alpha)$ ,  $\gamma = f(\beta)$ . We have  $\alpha < \beta < \gamma$ , because  $\models \forall x(x \in I_f \rightarrow x < f(x))$ . So we have  $f$  is strictly increasing, and because  $\alpha = f^{-1}(\beta) < f^{-1}(\gamma) = \beta$ ,  $f^{-1}$  is strictly increasing too.

Let  $G_{p,A}$  be set of all  $L(A)$ -definable bijections on  $p(M)$ ; this is a group of actions under composition. Define the order ( $<^1$ ) on this group: for every pair  $f, g \in G_{p,A}$ ,  $f <^1 g$ , if for some  $\alpha \in p(M)$ ,  $f(\alpha) < g(\alpha)$ . It is straightforward to show this notion is well-defined and the group is ordered in the sense described in Definition 4.  $\square_1$

The proof of Theorem 3 consists of two steps.

- (1) Observe that any ordered group can be represented as  $|G|$  unary functions acting on itself.
- (2) Show that the theory of  $(G, <, t_g)_{g \in G}$  just defined admits quantifier elimination and is therefore o-minimal

Recall:

**Definition 4.**  $(G, \cdot, <)$  is an (linearly) ordered group if

- (1)  $(G, \cdot)$  is a group;
- (2)  $<$  linearly orders  $G$ ;
- (3) (left order) if  $g_1 < g_2$  then  $hg_1 < hg_2$ ;
- (4) (right order) if  $g_1 < g_2$  and  $g_1 h < g_2 h$ ;
- (5) if  $g < 1$  then for any  $x$ ,  $gx < x$ ;
- (6) if  $g > 1$  then for any  $x$ ,  $gx > x$ .

The following fact is evident from a little reflection on the definition.

**Fact 5.** Let  $(G, \cdot, <)$  be an ordered group.

- (1) The order  $(G, <)$  is 1-transitive.
- (2) Consequently  $(G, <)$ 
  - (a) is discrete and isomorphic to copies of  $(\mathbb{Z}, <)$  or
  - (b) is dense.

Form a language  $L^*$  with  $<$  and unary function symbols  $t_g$  for  $g$  in  $G$ .

**Definition 6.** *The structure  $\langle X, <, t_g \rangle_{g \in G}$  is a unary representation of  $G$  on  $X$  if:*

- (1)  $t_g(t_h) = t_{gh}$ ;
- (2) If for some  $x$ ,  $t_g(x) = t_h(x)$  then  $g = h$ ;
- (3) if  $g_1 < g_2$  then for all  $g$ ,  $t_{g1}(x) < t_{g2}(x)$ ;
- (4) each  $t_g$  is increasing;
- (5) if  $g < 1$  then for any  $x$ ,  $t_g(x) < x$ ;
- (6) if  $g > 1$  then for any  $x$ ,  $t_g(x) > x$ ;
- (7) each  $t_g$  is onto.

Just translating from Definition 4 to Definition 6, we see:

**Lemma 7.** *If  $G$  is any linearly ordered group, the structure  $\langle G, <, t_g \rangle_{g \in G}$ , with for any  $h \in G$ ,  $t_g(h) = gh$ , gives a unary representation of  $G$  on itself.*

**Theorem 8.** *For any linearly ordered group  $G$  the theory  $T_G$  of  $\langle G, <, t_g \rangle_{g \in G}$  (defined above) admits quantifier elimination and is therefore o-minimal.*

Proof. The theory  $T_G$  is axiomatized by the properties in Definition 6 and from identities of the form  $\prod_{i \leq k} g_i = h$  that hold in  $G$ , axioms  $t_{g_0} \circ t_{g_2} \dots \circ t_{g_k} = t_h$ . From these axioms we deduce the following Conditions which are in the theory  $T_G$ . For any  $g_1, g_2, x, y$ :

- (1)  $t_{g_1}(x) < t_{g_2}(y) \equiv x < t_{g_1^{-1}g_2}(y)$
- (2)  $\neg[t_{g_1}(x) < t_{g_2}(y)] \equiv [t_{g_2}(y) < t_{g_1}(x)] \wedge [t_{g_1}(x) = t_{g_2}(y)]$
- (3)  $\neg[t_{g_1}(x) = t_{g_2}(y)] \equiv [t_{g_2}(y) < t_{g_1}(x)] \wedge [t_{g_1}(x) < t_{g_2}(y)]$

Now consider an arbitrary existential formula

$$\psi(\mathbf{y}) = (\exists x)\phi(x, \mathbf{y}) = (\exists x) \bigvee \bigwedge \theta_{ij}(x, y_1, \dots, y_k)$$

where each  $\theta_{ij}$  is atomic or neg-atomic. Using Conditions 2 and 3, each  $\theta_{ij}$  which is neg-atomic can be replaced by a disjunction of atomic formulas. Since  $T_G$  is unary, the representation of each term by a single  $t_h$ , and using Condition 1), each atomic  $\theta_{ij}(x, y_1, \dots, y_k)$  has the form  $x < t_g(y_i)$  or  $t_{g_s}(y_i) < t_{g_r}(y_j)$ . Let  $x, y_1, \dots, y_k, t_{g_j}(y_i)$  (for  $i < k$  and appropriate  $j$ ) be a complete list of all terms occurring in  $\phi(x, \mathbf{y})$ . Now each  $\bigwedge_j \theta_{ij}$  is equivalent to a finite disjunction (caused by the removal of the neg-atomic formulas) of formulas of the form:  $\bigvee_s \bigwedge_t \mu_{st}$  where  $\bigwedge_t \mu_{st}$  has the form:

$$t_{\sigma(1)}(y_{\tau(1)}) < \dots t_{\sigma(i)}(y_{\tau(i)}) < x < t_{\sigma(i+1)}(y_{\tau(i+1)}) < \dots t_{\sigma(k)}(y_{\tau(k)})$$

where by varying  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  we get all linear orders of the terms occurring in  $\theta_{ij}$ .

Now there are two cases depending on whether the ordering on  $G$  is dense or discrete.

If  $(G, <)$  is dense, we just drop  $x$  from the matrix to have the equivalent formula in  $T_G$ .

If  $(G, <)$  is discrete, suppose that  $t_g(y_1) < x < t_h(y_2)$  are the terms immediately surrounding  $x$  in  $\bigwedge_t \mu_{st}$ . Suppose  $f$  is the least element of  $G$  greater than 1. Then  $(\exists x) \bigwedge_t \mu_{st}(x, \mathbf{y})$  is equivalent to replacing the occurrence of  $t_g(y_1) < x < t_h(y_2)$  in  $\mu_{st}$  by  $t_f(t_g(y_1)) < t_h(y_2)$ .

This establishes the quantifier elimination and o-minimality follows immediately.  $\square_8$

Let us remark that a discrete  $G$  can also be represented as acting on a dense linear order by applying Theorem 8 to  $G \times Q$  and then taking the reduct to the unary functions naming elements of  $G$ .

This note reflects simplifications by the three authors of an earlier argument by Baizhanov.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Urbanik, *A representation theorem for  $v^*$ -algebras*, Fundamenta Mathematica, **53** (1963), 291–317.
- [2] D.J.S. Robinson, *A course in the theory of Groups*. Springer-Verlag 1982 (New York Heidelberg Berlin).
- [3] B. Baizhanov, *Orthogonality of one-types in weakly o-minimal theories*, In Pinus A.G. and Ponomaryov K.N., editors, *Algebra and Model Theory 2*, Novosibirsk State Technical University, Novosibirsk, 1999, 3–28.
- [4] A. Pillay and Ch. Steinhorn, *Definable sets in ordered structures*, I, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, **295** (1986), 565–592.
- [5] D. Marker and Ch. Steinhorn, *Definable types in o-minimal theories*, The Journal of Symbolic Logic, **59** (1994), 155–194.

BEKTUR BAIZHANOV  
 INSTITUTE FOR INFORMATICS AND CONTROL PROBLEMS,  
 ALMATY, KAZAKHSTAN

JOHN T. BALDWIN  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
 STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE,  
 UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO

VIKTOR VERBIOVSKIY  
 INSTITUTE FOR INFORMATICS AND CONTROL PROBLEMS,  
 ALMATY, KAZAKHSTAN