

СИБИРСКИЕ ЭЛЕКТРОННЫЕ
МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИЕ ИЗВЕСТИЯ

Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports

<http://semr.math.nsc.ru>

Том 6, стр. 505–509 (2009)

УДК 512.55
MSC 16N40, 16P40, 16S36A NOTE ON $\sigma(*)$ -RINGS AND THEIR EXTENSIONS

V. K. BHAT, NEETU KUMARI

ABSTRACT. Let R be an associative ring with identity $1 \neq 0$, and σ an endomorphism of R . We recall $\sigma(*)$ property on R (i.e. $a\sigma(a) \in P(R)$ implies $a \in P(R)$ for $a \in R$, where $P(R)$ is the prime radical of R). Also recall that a ring R is said to be 2-primal if and only if the prime radical $P(R)$ and nil radical are same, i.e. if the prime radical is a completely semiprime ideal. It can be seen that a $\sigma(*)$ ring is a 2-primal ring.

Let R be a ring and σ an automorphism of R . Then we know that σ can be extended to an automorphism of the skew polynomial ring $R[x; \sigma]$. In this paper we show that if R is a Noetherian ring and σ is an automorphism of R such that R is a $\sigma(*)$ -ring, then $R[x; \sigma]$ is also a $\sigma(*)$ -ring.

Keywords: minimal prime, prime radical, automorphism, $\sigma(*)$ -ring.

1. INTRODUCTION

A ring R always means an associative ring with identity $1 \neq 0$. The set of prime ideals of R is denoted by $Spec(R)$. The sets of minimal prime ideals of R is denoted by $Min.Spec(R)$. The prime radical and the nil radical of R are denoted by $P(R)$ and $N(R)$ respectively. The set of positive integers is denoted by \mathbb{N} , the ring of integers is denoted by \mathbb{Z} , the field of rational numbers is denoted by \mathbb{Q} and the field of complex numbers is denoted by \mathbb{C} . Let R be a ring and σ an automorphism of R . Let I be an ideal of R such that $\sigma^m(I) = I$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote $\bigcap_{i=1}^m \sigma^i(I)$ by I^0 .

V. K. BHAT, NEETU KUMARI, A NOTE ON $\sigma(*)$ -RINGS AND THEIR EXTENSIONS.

© 2009 V. K. BHAT, NEETU KUMARI.

The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the referee for the suggestions.

Received August, 6, 2008, published November, 27, 2009.

This article concerns the study of skew polynomial ring (Ore extension) over a $\sigma(*)$ -ring R , where σ is an automorphism of R .

Definition 1.1. (Krempa [3]) Let R be a ring and σ an endomorphism of R . Then σ is said to be a rigid endomorphism if $a\sigma(a) = 0$ implies that $a = 0$, for $a \in R$. The ring R is said to be a σ -rigid ring if there exists a σ -rigid endomorphism of R .

Example 1.2. Let $R = \mathbb{C}$, and $\sigma : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the map defined by $\sigma(a + ib) = a - ib$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then it can be seen that σ is a rigid endomorphism of R .

Definition 1.3. (Kwak [4]) Let R be a ring and σ an endomorphism of R . Then R is said to be a $\sigma(*)$ -ring if $a\sigma(a) \in P(R)$ implies $a \in P(R)$ for $a \in R$.

Example 1.4. Let $R = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$, where F is a field. Then $P(R) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Let $\sigma : R \rightarrow R$ be defined by $\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$. Then it can be seen that σ is an endomorphism of R and R is a $\sigma(*)$ -ring.

We note that the above ring is not σ -rigid. For let $0 \neq a \in F$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sigma \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ but } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall that a ring R is 2-primal if and only if $N(R) = P(R)$ if and only if the prime radical is a completely semiprime ideal. An ideal I of a ring R is called completely semiprime if $a^2 \in I$ implies $a \in I$ for $a \in R$. We note that a commutative ring is 2-primal and so is a reduced ring.

Recall that $R[x; \sigma]$ is the usual polynomial ring with coefficients in R , in which multiplication is subject to the relation $ax = x\sigma(a)$ for all $a \in R$. We take any $f(x) \in R[x; \sigma]$ to be of the form $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n x^i a_i$. We denote $R[x; \sigma]$ by $S(R)$. If an ideal I of a ring R is σ -stable (i.e. $\sigma(I) = I$), then we denote as usual $I[x; \sigma]$ by $S(I)$.

We also note that if σ is an automorphism of R , then it can be extended to an automorphism of $R[x; \sigma]$ such that $\sigma(x) = x$; i.e. $\sigma(\sum_{i=0}^n x^i a_i) = \sum_{i=0}^n x^i \sigma(a_i)$. The study of skew polynomial rings has been of interest to many authors. For example [1, 2, 4].

Definition 1.5. (Goodearl and Warfield [2]) A ring R is said to be right(left) Noetherian ring if it satisfies the ascending chain condition on right(left) ideals. Explicitly this means: given an increasing sequence of right(left) ideals

$$I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq \dots$$

there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which

$$I_n = I_{n+1} = I_{n+2} = \dots$$

Equivalently R is right(left) Noetherian if every right(left) ideal of R is finitely generated. R is said to be Noetherian if it is both right and left Noetherian.

Some examples: The ring of integers \mathbb{Z} , any field, any principal ideal domain, the ring of polynomials in finitely-many variables over the integers or a field.

The ring of polynomials in infinitely-many variables, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots is not Noetherian as the ascending sequence of ideals $(x_1) \subseteq (x_1, x_2) \subseteq (x_1, x_2, x_3) \subseteq \dots$ does not terminate.

We note that a right Noetherian ring need not be left Noetherian. For example consider $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } a \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } b, c \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$. Then R is right Noetherian but not left Noetherian.

We now state the main result of this paper in the form of the following Theorem [Theorem (2.6)]:

Theorem: *If R is a Noetherian ring and σ is an automorphism of R such that R is a $\sigma(\ast)$ -ring, then $R[x; \sigma]$ is also a $\sigma(\ast)$ -ring.*

2. SKEW POLYNOMIAL RINGS OVER $\sigma(\ast)$ -RINGS

We begin this section with the following Proposition:

Proposition 2.1. *Let R be a ring and σ an automorphism of R . Then R is a $\sigma(\ast)$ -ring implies R is 2-primal.*

Proof. Let $a \in R$ be such that $a^2 \in P(R)$. Then $a\sigma(a)\sigma(a\sigma(a)) = a\sigma(a)\sigma(a)\sigma^2(a) \in \sigma(P(R)) = P(R)$. Therefore $a\sigma(a) \in P(R)$ and hence $a \in P(R)$.

The following example shows that there exists an endomorphism σ of a ring R such that the converse of the above Proposition does not hold.

Example 2.2. *Let $R = F[x]$, F a field. Then R is a commutative domain, and therefore is 2-primal with $P(R) = 0$. Let $\sigma : R \rightarrow R$ be defined by $\sigma(f(x)) = f(0)$. Let $f(x) = xa$, $0 \neq a \in F$. Then $f(x)\sigma(f(x)) \in P(R)$, but $f(x) \notin P(R)$. Therefore R is not a $\sigma(\ast)$ -ring.*

Recall that an ideal J of R is called completely prime if $ab \in J$ implies $a \in J$ or $b \in J$ for $a, b \in R$.

We know that for any ring R , the prime radical $P(R)$ is the intersection of prime ideals of R . Now Proposition (3.3) of Goodearl and Warfield [2] implies that every prime ideal of R contains a minimal prime ideal. Therefore, the prime radical $P(R)$ is the intersection of minimal prime ideals of R (Proposition (3.10) of Goodearl and Warfield [2]). With this, we have the following:

Theorem 2.3. *Let R be a Noetherian ring, and σ an automorphism of R . Then R is a $\sigma(\ast)$ -ring if and only if for each minimal prime U of R , $\sigma(U) = U$ and U is completely prime ideal of R .*

Proof. Let R be a Noetherian ring such that for each minimal prime U of R , $\sigma(U) = U$ and U is completely prime ideal of R . Let $a \in R$ be such that $a\sigma(a) \in P(R) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i$, where U_i are the minimal primes of R . Now for each i , $a \in U_i$ or $\sigma(a) \in U_i$ as U_i are completely prime. Now $\sigma(a) \in U_i = \sigma(U_i)$ implies that $a \in U_i$. Therefore $a \in P(R)$. Hence R is a $\sigma(\ast)$ -ring.

Conversely, suppose that R is a $\sigma(\ast)$ -ring and let $U = U_1$ be a minimal prime ideal of R . Now by Proposition (2.1), $P(R)$ is completely semiprime. Now $\text{Min.Spec}(R)$ is finite by Theorem (3.4) of Goodearl and Warfield [2]. Let U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n be the

other minimal primes of R . Suppose that $\sigma(U) \neq U$. Then $\sigma(U)$ is also a minimal prime ideal of R . Renumber so that $\sigma(U) = U_n$. Let $a \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} U_i$. Then $\sigma(a) \in U_n$, and so $a\sigma(a) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i = P(R)$. Therefore $a \in P(R)$, and thus $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} U_i \subseteq U_n$, which implies that $U_i \subseteq U_n$ for some $i \neq n$, which is impossible. Hence $\sigma(U) = U$.

Now suppose that $U = U_1$ is not completely prime. Then there exist $a, b \in R \setminus U$ with $ab \in U$. Let c be any element of $b(U_2 \cap U_3 \cap \dots \cap U_n)a$. Then $c^2 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i = P(R)$. So $c \in P(R)$ and, thus $b(U_2 \cap U_3 \cap \dots \cap U_n)a \subseteq U$. Therefore $bR(U_2 \cap U_3 \cap \dots \cap U_n)Ra \subseteq U$ and, as U is prime, $a \notin U$, $U_i \subseteq U$ for some $i \neq 1$ or $b \in U$. None of these can occur, so U is completely prime.

Note that in above Theorem the condition of completely primeness of minimal prime ideals can not be deleted. Towards this we have the following:

Remark 2.4. Let R be a Noetherian ring and σ an automorphism of R such that $\sigma(U) = U$ for each minimal prime ideal U of R . Then $R[x; \sigma]$ need not be a $\sigma(*)$ -ring. (Example (2.2))

We note that if R is a Noetherian ring, then as mentioned above, $Min.Spec(R)$ is finite. Now if σ is an automorphism of R , then $\sigma^j(U) \in Min.Spec(R)$ for any $U \in Min.Spec(R)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, there exists some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma^m(U) = U$ for all $U \in Min.Spec(R)$. We denote $\bigcap_{i=1}^m \sigma^i(U)$ by U^0 . We now have the following:

Theorem 2.5. *Let R be a Noetherian ring and σ an automorphism of R . Then $P \in Min.Spec(S(R))$ if and only if there exists $Q \in Min.Spec(R)$ such that $S(P \cap R) = P$ and $P \cap R = Q^0$.*

Proof. See Theorem (2.4) of Bhat [1].

Theorem 2.6. *Let R be a Noetherian ring and σ an automorphism of R such that R is a $\sigma(*)$ -ring. Then $R[x; \sigma]$ is also a $\sigma(*)$ -ring.*

Proof. First of all we show that $\sigma(P) = P$, for all $P \in Min.Spec(S(R))$. Let $P \in Min.Spec(S(R))$. Then by Theorem (2.5) there exists $U \in Min.Spec(R)$ such that $P = U^0[x; \sigma]$. Now R is a $\sigma(*)$ -ring implies that $\sigma(U) = U$ by Theorem (2.3), and therefore $U^0 = U$. So $P = U[x; \sigma]$ and thus $\sigma(P) = P$.

We now show that P is completely prime. Let

$$f(x) = x^n a_n + x^{n-1} a_{n-1} + \dots + a_0,$$

and

$$g(x) = x^m b_m + x^{m-1} b_{m-1} + \dots + b_0 \text{ in } R[x; \sigma] \text{ be such that}$$

$$f(x)g(x) \in P = U[x; \sigma], \text{ and } g(x) \notin U[x; \sigma].$$

This implies that

$$x^{n+m} \sigma^m(a_n) b_m + x^{n+m-1} \sigma^m(a_{n-1}) b_m + x^{n+m-1} \sigma^{m-1}(a_n) b_{m-1} + \dots + a_0 b_0 \in U[x; \sigma].$$

Now $g(x) \notin U[x; \sigma]$ (say $b_m \notin U$). Now $\sigma^m(a_n) b_m \in U$. Also U is completely prime by Theorem(2.3), therefore, $\sigma^m(a_n) \in U$; i.e. $a_n \in U$.

Now $\sigma^m(a_{n-1}) b_m + \sigma^{m-1}(a_n) b_{m-1} \in U$ implies that $\sigma^m(a_{n-1}) b_m \in U$. Now $b_m \notin U$ implies that $\sigma^m(a_{n-1}) \in U$; i.e. $a_{n-1} \in U$.

With the same process in a finite number of steps it can be seen that $a_i \in U$ for all i , $0 \leq i \leq n-2$ also.

Therefore $a_i \in U$ for all i , $0 \leq i \leq n$; i.e. $f(x) \in P = U[x; \sigma]$.

Thus $\sigma(P) = P$ and P is completely prime for all $P \in \text{Min.Spec}(S(R))$. Moreover $S(R)$ is Noetherian by Theorem (1.14) of Goodearl and Warfield [2]. Hence by Theorem (2.3), we get that $R[x; \sigma]$ is also a $\sigma(*)$ -ring.

Remark 2.7. (1) Let R be a Noetherian ring and σ an automorphism of R such that R is a $\sigma(*)$ -ring. Then $R[x; \sigma]$ is also a $\sigma(*)$ -ring. Therefore Proposition (2.1) implies that $R[x; \sigma]$ is 2-primal.

(2) If R is 2-primal Noetherian ring, then $R[x; \sigma]$ need not be 2-primal. For example consider \mathbb{Z}_2 and let $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$. Then R is a commutative reduced ring with $P(R) = 0$, and therefore, R is 2-primal. Define $\sigma : R \rightarrow R$ by $\sigma(a, b) = (b, a)$. Then it can be seen that $P(R[x; \sigma]) = 0$, but $P(R[x; \sigma])$ is not completely semiprime as $((1, 0)x)^2 = 0 = P(R[x; \sigma])$, but $(1, 0)x \notin P(R[x; \sigma])$. Thus $R[x; \sigma]$ is not 2-primal.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. K. Bhat, *Associated prime ideals of skew polynomial rings*. Beitrage Algebra Geom. **49**: 1 (2008), 277–283. MR2410584 (2009e:16046).
- [2] K. R. Goodearl and R. B. Warfield Jr., *An introduction to non-commutative Noetherian rings*. Second Edition, Cambridge Uni. Press, 2004. MR2080008 (2005b:16001).
- [3] J. Krempa, *Some examples of reduced rings*. Algebra Colloq. **3**: 4 (1996), 289–300. MR1422968 (98e:16027).
- [4] T. K. Kwak, *Prime radicals of skew-polynomial rings*. Int. J. Math. Sci. **2**: 2 (2003), 219–227. MR2061508. (2006a:16035).

V. K. BHAT AND NEETU KUMARI
 SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS,
 SMVD UNIVERSITY, P/O SMVD UNIVERSITY,
 182320, KATRA, J AND K, INDIA
E-mail address: vijaykumarbhat2000@yahoo.com