

СИБИРСКИЕ ЭЛЕКТРОННЫЕ
МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИЕ ИЗВЕСТИЯ

Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports

<http://semr.math.nsc.ru>

Том 9, стр. 151–155 (2012)

УДК 519.712.3
MSC 05C45, 05C85, 68R10SIMPLE ALGORITHM FOR FINDING A SECOND HAMILTON
CYCLE

TOMMY R. JENSEN

ABSTRACT. A classical theorem of C.A.B. Smith states that for every edge e of a cubic graph G , the number of Hamilton cycles containing e in G is an even number. Tutte proved Smith's theorem using a nonconstructive parity argument. Thomason later invented the lollipop algorithm and provided a first constructive proof.

We describe a simple algorithm based on Tutte's proof, thus providing an alternative constructive proof of Smith's theorem. Also this algorithm is exponential in the worst case.

Keywords: Smith Theorem, cubic graph, Hamilton cycle, lollipop algorithm, parity argument.

1. INTRODUCTION

The following theorem of Smith was published by Tutte in 1946.

Theorem. (C.A.B. Smith, [7]) If G is a cubic graph, then the number of Hamilton cycles of G through any given edge is an even number.

The proof of Smith's Theorem presented by Tutte in [7] is a nonconstructive parity argument. The same is true for Bondy's simplified version of the proof in [1]. The ingenious algorithmic proof by Thomason [6] is however independent of Tutte's proof.

We now present a simple algorithmic proof based on Tutte's original argument.

For any two subgraphs X, Y of a graph G define their *symmetric difference* $X\Delta Y$ as the subgraph of G induced by the edges $E(X \cup Y) \setminus E(X \cap Y)$.

Proof of Theorem. Assume that G is a cubic graph and e is an edge of G . The conclusion of the theorem is obvious if G contains no Hamilton cycle through e . So

TOMMY R. JENSEN, SIMPLE ALGORITHM FOR FINDING A SECOND HAMILTON CYCLE.

© 2012 T.R. JENSEN.

This research was supported by the Kyungpook National University Research Fund, 2009.

Received January, 16, 2012, published January, 27, 2012.

we assume that H is a Hamilton cycle through e in G . Since G is cubic, the length of H is even.

The following is a recursive construction of a sequence $\{F_0^n, F_1^n, F_2^n\}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$, each member of the sequence being a set of three distinct subgraphs of G . Inductively along the recursion, each $\{F_0^n, F_1^n, F_2^n\}$ is a 1-factorization of G such that $e \in F_i^n$ if $n + i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.

Let $F_0^0 = G - E(H)$ be the 1-factor of G consisting of the chords of H . Let F_1^0 be the 1-factor of H that contains e , and let $F_2^0 = H - E(F_1^0)$ be the other 1-factor of H .

Assume $n \geq 1$, $n + i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $n + j \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, and $n + k \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, where $\{i, j, k\} = \{0, 1, 2\}$. Let $C := F_i^{n-1} \cup F_j^{n-1}$. Then C is a 2-factor of G , and $e \in C$ follows from $e \in F_j^{n-1}$.

Let C_n be the component of C that contains e , and define $\{F_0^n, F_1^n, F_2^n\}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} F_i^n &= F_i^{n-1} \Delta C_n \\ F_j^n &= F_j^{n-1} \Delta C_n \\ F_k^n &= F_k^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let v be any vertex of G and assume that e_1, e_2, e_0 are the three edges incident to v in G , indexed with $e_\ell \in F_\ell^{n-1}$ for $\ell = 0, 1, 2$. If v is not a vertex of C_n , then $e_\ell \in F_\ell^n$ for $\ell = 0, 1, 2$ clearly follows. If v belongs to C_n , then $e_i, e_j \in C_n$ holds by construction of C and C_n , and we deduce $e_i \in F_j^n$, $e_j \in F_i^n$, and $e_k \in F_k^n$. Hence $\{F_0^n, F_1^n, F_2^n\}$ is again a 1-factorization of G . From $e \in C_n$ and $e \notin F_i^{n-1}$ we deduce $e \in F_i^n$.

If C_n is a Hamilton cycle of G , then the construction terminates with $N = n$ and $H' = C_n$.

Having described the construction of the sequence $\{F_0^n, F_1^n, F_2^n\}$, we show that the construction terminates, and that H' is distinct from H . It is then clear from the termination criterion that H' is a Hamilton cycle through e .

Consider a graph Γ whose vertices are all 1-factorizations $\{F_0, F_1, F_2\}$ of G with adjacencies defined as follows. Assume that $F = \{F_0, F_1, F_2\}$ is a vertex of Γ , where the indices are chosen so that $e \in F_0$. Let $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and let C^i be the component of the 2-factor $F_0 \cup F_i$ that contains e . Let $F' = \{F_i \Delta C^i, F_0 \Delta C^i, F_j\}$, where $j = 3 - i$. If F' and F are distinct, then they are adjacent in Γ .

If $F' = \{F_0', F_1', F_2'\}$, $F_0' = F_i \Delta C^i$, $F_i' = F_0 \Delta C^i$, and $F_j' = F_j$ then $F_0 = F_i' \Delta C^i$, $F_1 = F_0' \Delta C^i$, and $F_j = F_j'$, so that adjacency is indeed symmetrically determined. In particular it follows that the maximal degree of Γ is at most 2.

If C^i is a Hamilton cycle in G , then $C^i = F_0 \cup F_i$ implies $F_i \Delta C^i = F_0$ and $F_0 \Delta C^i = F_1$, hence $F = F'$, so that F has degree at most 1 in Γ . Conversely, if F has degree less than 2 in Γ , then $F_i = F_0 \Delta C^i$ for at least one $i \in \{1, 2\}$, hence $C^i = F_0 \Delta F_i$ implies that C^i is a Hamilton cycle. In particular F has degree zero in Γ if and only if both $F_0 \cup F_1$ and $F_0 \cup F_2$ are Hamilton cycles of G .

It is clear from the construction that $\{F_0^{n-1}, F_1^{n-1}, F_2^{n-1}\}$ and $\{F_0^n, F_1^n, F_2^n\}$ are adjacent in Γ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ if $N > 0$. It also follows easily that $\{F_0^n, F_1^n, F_2^n\}$ is distinct from $\{F_0^{n-2}, F_1^{n-2}, F_2^{n-2}\}$ for $n = 2, 3, \dots, N$ if $N > 1$. Hence the finite sequence $(\{F_0^0, F_1^0, F_2^0\}, \{F_0^1, F_1^1, F_2^1\}, \dots, \{F_0^N, F_1^N, F_2^N\})$ describes a path

P in Γ (possibly of length zero if $N = 1$ and $\{F_0^0, F_1^0, F_2^0\} = \{F_0^N, F_1^N, F_2^N\}$). The termination criterion of the recursion ensures that starting from the endvertex $\{F_0^0, F_1^0, F_2^0\}$, the endvertex $\{F_0^N, F_1^N, F_2^N\}$ is reached at the last step.

If P is nontrivial, its distinct ends correspond to 1-factorizations of G in which the union of two of the 1-factors is a Hamilton cycle containing e . If P is trivial, its single vertex corresponds to a 1-factorization in which the union of the 1-factor containing e with each of the other 1-factors is also such a Hamilton cycle. It follows that the number of Hamilton cycles of G containing e is even. \square

2. COMPLEXITY

The following open problem was first raised by Chrobak and Poljak, and it was also mentioned by Papadimitriou [5].

Problem (Chrobak and Poljak [3]) Is there a polynomial algorithm such that given any G and H as input, where G is cubic and H a Hamilton cycle of G , the algorithm finds a second Hamilton cycle?

An ingenious algorithmic proof by Thomason [6] is independent of Tutte's proof. As in the proof given above, Thomason's Lollipop Algorithm proves Smith's Theorem by constructing for any Hamilton cycle H in G with $e \in H$ a second Hamilton cycle $\text{lol}(H)$, where $\text{lol}(H) \neq H$ and $e \in \text{lol}(H)$, and so that lol is an involution defined on the set of all Hamilton cycles through e in G . Thus the number of such Hamilton cycles is even. It was proved by Cameron [2], based on examples first constructed by Krawczyk [4], that the worst case performance of the Lollipop Algorithm is exponential.

We will verify that the construction given in the proof in Section 1 also has worst case exponential behavior.

A *Möbius ladder* is a cubic graph obtained from a cycle $v_1v_2 \dots v_{2n}v_1$ by adding all diagonal edges v_iv_{n+i} , for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proposition. For every integer $m > 0$ there exists a cubic graph G of order $4m$ containing an edge e and a Hamilton cycle H through e , such that the algorithm of Section 1 when given G , e and H as input finds a second Hamilton cycle through e in no fewer than 2^{m-1} steps.

Proof of Proposition. Let m be a positive integer, let G be the Möbius ladder of order $4m$ with Hamilton cycle $H = v_1v_2 \dots v_{4m}v_1$, and let $e = v_1v_{4m}$. Denote the edges of H by $e_s = v_sv_{s+1}$ for $s = 1, \dots, 4m - 1$ and $e_0 = e$, and the chords of H in G by $c_s = v_sv_{2m+s}$ for $s = 1, 2, \dots, 2m$.

It is not difficult by induction on $n \geq 0$ to determine the structure of the cycle C_n that appears in step n of the construction. If n is an odd number, then C_n is a 4-cycle through the chords c_1 and c_{2m} of H . If n is even, C_n contains exactly two chords c_s and c_{2m+1-s} of H , for some $s \in \{2, \dots, m\}$, while the 1-factor F_k^n contains the chords c_t and c_{2m+1-t} of H for all $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, s-1\}$.

Hence C_n is a cycle $v_1v_2 \dots v_sv_{2m+s}v_{2m+s-1} \dots v_{2m+1-s}v_{4m+1-s}v_{4m+2-s} \dots \dots v_{4m}v_1$.

This may be deduced from the following more detailed statement.

Claim. For every $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ the chords c_s and c_{2m+1-s} of H belong to the 1-factor F_ℓ^n given by $\ell \equiv \lfloor 2^{-s}n + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor (-1)^{s-1} \pmod{3}$.

Before proving the Claim, we observe how it implies our Proposition.

The cycle C_n contains all vertices of G only if it contains the chord c_m . But $c_m \in C_n$ implies that c_m belongs either to $F_i^{n-1} \cap F_j^n$ or to $F_j^{n-1} \cap F_i^n$, where $i \neq j$. By the Claim, this is only possible if $\lfloor 2^{-m}n + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor \neq 0$, which does not occur unless $n \geq 2^{m-1}$. Therefore the algorithm needs at least 2^{m-1} steps to terminate. It is not hard to see that this bound is exact.

Proof of Claim. By induction on $n \geq 0$.

For $n = 0$ the 1-factor F_0^0 consists of the chords of H . Thus the claim holds, since $\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor (-1)^{s-1} = 0$ for all s .

Assume $n > 0$ and the claim is true for $n - 1$ in place of n . Assume that $i, j, k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, $\{F_0^n, F_1^n, F_2^n\}$, C_n and C are defined as in the n 'th step of the algorithm.

In the remaining part of this proof all congruences are taken modulo 3.

We write $n = q2^{p-1}$, where p and q are positive integers and q is odd.

By hypothesis, $c_s \in F_\ell^{n-1}$ holds if and only if $(-1)^{s-1}\ell \equiv \lfloor 2^{-s}(n-1) + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$, which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{s-1}(n + \ell) &\equiv \lfloor 2^{-s}(n-1) - (-1)^s n + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor \\ &= \lfloor q(1 - (-2)^s)2^{p-1-s} - 2^{-s} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

If $s < p$ holds, then $q(1 - (-2)^s)2^{p-1-s}$ is an integer divisible by 3, since $1 - (-2)^s \equiv 1 - 1^s = 0$. Hence

$$\lfloor q(1 - (-2)^s)2^{p-1-s} - 2^{-s} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor = q(1 - (-2)^s)2^{p-1-s} + \lfloor -2^{-s} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor \equiv \lfloor -2^{-s} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor = 0.$$

So in this case ℓ satisfies $n + \ell \equiv 0$, which implies $\ell = k$, and in particular $c_s \notin C = F_i^{n-1} \cup F_j^{n-1}$.

For $s = p$, we have

$$q(1 - (-2)^s)2^{p-1-s} - 2^{-s} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{q(1 - (-2)^p) + 1}{2} - 2^{-p}.$$

Here $q(1 - (-2)^p) + 1$ is an even integer, and

$$\frac{q(1 - (-2)^p) + 1}{2} \equiv -(q(1 - (-2)^p) + 1) \equiv -1.$$

Hence it follows that

$$\lfloor q(1 - (-2)^s)2^{p-1-s} - 2^{-s} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor \equiv -1 + \lfloor -2^{-s} \rfloor = -1 - 1 \equiv 1.$$

Then $c_p \in C$ follows from $n + \ell \not\equiv 0$, and $c_p \in C_n$ follows from $c_s \notin C$ for all $s < p$. We deduce from the symmetry that C_n is induced by the edges e_h for $h \in \{0, \dots, p-1\} \cup \{2m-p+1, \dots, 2m+p-1\} \cup \{4m-p+1, \dots, 4m-1\}$, together with c_p and c_{2m-p} .

The 1-factorization $\{F_0^n, F_1^n, F_2^n\}$ is constructed so that c_s and c_{2m-s} become edges of F_k^n for all $s < p$, and so that c_p and c_{2m-p} belong to F_i^n if they are in F_j^{n-1} and to F_j^n if they are in F_i^{n-1} , whereas every chord c_s for $s > p$ satisfies $c_s \in F_\ell^n$ if and only if $c_s \in F_\ell^{n-1}$ for every $\ell = 0, 1, 2$. We will show that this agrees with the statement of the Claim.

First assume $s < p$. Then $c_s, c_{2m-s} \in F_k^n$, where $n + k \equiv 0$. Now

$$(-1)^{s-1}n + \lfloor 2^{-s}n + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{n(1 - (-2)^s)}{2^s} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor = q(1 - (-2)^s)2^{p-1-s} + \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor \equiv 0.$$

Therefore $(-1)^{s-1}(n+k) \equiv 0$ implies $(-1)^{s-1}k \equiv \lfloor 2^{-s}n + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$, as desired.

Next assume $s = p$. Above we found $c_p, c_{2m-p} \in C_n$ and $c_p, c_{2m-p} \in F_\ell^{n-1}$ where $(-1)^{p-1}(n+\ell) \equiv 1$. By construction of F_i^n, F_j^n it follows that $c_p, c_{2m-p} \in F_\ell^n$ holds when $(-1)^{p-1}(n+\ell) \equiv 2$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{p-1}n + \lfloor 2^{-p}n + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor &= \lfloor \frac{(1 - (-2)^p)q + 1}{2} \rfloor = \frac{(1 - (-2)^p)q + 1}{2} \equiv \\ &\equiv -((1 - (-2)^p)q + 1) \equiv 2, \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that $c_p, c_{2m-p} \in F_\ell^n$ is satisfied when $(-1)^{p-1}\ell \equiv \lfloor 2^{-p}n + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$.

Finally assume $s > p$. Then

$$\lfloor 2^{-s}(n-1) + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{q - 2^{-p+1} + 2^{s-p}}{2^{s-p+1}} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{q + 2^{s-p}}{2^{s-p+1}} \rfloor = \lfloor 2^{-s}n + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor.$$

Since $c_s, c_{2m-s} \in F_\ell^n$ is equivalent to $c_s, c_{2m-s} \in F_\ell^{n-1}$, it follows that $c_s, c_{2m-s} \in F_\ell^n$ is equivalent as well to $\ell \equiv \lfloor 2^{-s}n + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor (-1)^{s-1}$.

This proves the Claim by induction, and hence the Proposition. \square

3. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to thank Artem Pyatkin for carefully reading the preliminary version of this paper and for providing many useful suggestions for improvement.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.A. Bondy, *Basic Graph Theory: Paths and Cycles*, In: Handbook of Combinatorics, Graham, R., Grötschel, M., Lovász, L. (eds.) Elsevier Science B.V. 1995. MR1373656
- [2] K. Cameron, *Krawczyk's graphs show Thomason's algorithm for finding a second Hamilton cycle through a given edge in a cubic graph is exponential*, Fifth Czech-Slovak Symposium on Combinatorics, Graph Theory, Algorithms and Applications, Prague; SIAM Conference on Discrete Mathematics, Toronto; July 1998.
- [3] M. Chrobak, S. Poljak, *On common edges in optimal solutions to traveling salesman and other optimization problems*, Discrete Appl. Math. **20** (1988), 101–111. MR0943711
- [4] A. Krawczyk, *The Complexity of Finding a Second Hamiltonian Cycle in Cubic Graphs*, J. Comput. Syst. Sci. **58**:3 (1999), 641–647. MR1705086
- [5] C.H. Papadimitriou, *On the Complexity of the Parity Argument and Other Inefficient Proofs of Existence*, J. Comput. Syst. Sci. **48**:3 (1994), 498–532. MR1279412
- [6] A.G. Thomason, *Hamilton cycles and uniquely edge-colourable graphs*, Ann. of Discrete Math. **3** (1978), 259–268. MR0499124
- [7] W.T. Tutte, *On Hamilton circuits*, J. London Math. Soc. **21** (1946), 98–101. MR0019300

TOMMY RENE JENSEN
 KYUNGPOOK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY,
 1370-1 SANGYEOK, BUK-GU
 702-701 DAEGU, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
 E-mail address: t.jensen@knu.ac.kr